Use these links to rapidly review the document **TABLE OF CONTENTS** Part IV

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE **SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

SIMON PROPERTY GROUP, L.P.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

333-11491 (Commission File No.)

34-1755769 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

225 West Washington Street Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

(Address of principal executive offices) (ZIP Code)

(317) 636-1600

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

2.375% Senior Unsecured Notes due 2020

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer (as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act). Yes 🗵 No o

Indicate by check mark if the Registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No 🗵

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes 🗵 No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes 🗵 No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. 🗵

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer \boxtimes (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Indicate by checkmark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in rule 12-b of the Act). Yes o No 🗵

Registrant had no publicly-traded voting equity as of June 30, 2013.

Registrant has no common stock outstanding.

Documents Incorporated By Reference

None.

Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries Annual Report on Form 10-K December 31, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Item No.	<u>Part I</u>	Page No.
<u>1.</u> <u>1A.</u> <u>1B.</u> <u>2.</u> <u>3.</u> <u>4.</u>	Business Risk Factors Unresolved Staff Comments Properties Legal Proceedings Mine Safety Disclosures	3 7 12 12 42 42
	Part II	
5. 6. 7. <u>7A.</u> 8. <u>9.</u> 9 <u>A.</u> 9B.	Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities Selected Financial Data Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosure About Market Risk Financial Statements and Supplementary Data Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure Controls and Procedures Other Information Part III	43 44 45 64 65 102 102 102
<u>10.</u> <u>11.</u> <u>12.</u> <u>13.</u> <u>14.</u>	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance Executive Compensation Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence Principal Accountant Fees and Services	<u>103</u> <u>103</u> <u>103</u> <u>103</u> <u>103</u>
	<u>Part IV</u>	
<u>15.</u>	Exhibits, and Financial Statement Schedules	<u>104</u>
<u>Signature</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>105</u>
	2	

Item 1. Business

Simon Property Group, L.P. is a Delaware limited partnership and the majority-owned partnership subsidiary of Simon Property Group, Inc. In this discussion, the terms "Operating Partnership", "we", "us" and "our" refer to Simon Property Group, L.P. and its subsidiaries and the term "Simon Property" refers specifically to Simon Property Group, Inc. Simon Property, a Delaware corporation, is a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. REITs will generally not be liable for federal corporate income taxes as long as they continue to distribute not less than 100% of their taxable income. According to our partnership agreement, we are required to pay all expenses of Simon Property.

We own, develop and manage retail real estate properties, which consist primarily of malls, Premium Outlets®, The Mills®, and community/lifestyle centers. As of December 31, 2013, we owned or held an interest in 308 income-producing properties in the United States, which consisted of 156 malls, 66 Premium Outlets, 62 community/lifestyle centers, 13 Mills and 11 other shopping centers or outlet centers in 38 states and Puerto Rico. We have several Premium Outlets under development and have redevelopment and expansion projects, including the addition of anchors and big box tenants, underway at more than 25 properties in the U.S., Asia, and Mexico. Internationally, as of December 31, 2013, we had ownership interests in nine Premium Outlets in Japan, three Premium Outlets in South Korea, one Premium Outlet in Canada, one Premium Outlet in Mexico, and one Premium Outlet in Malaysia. In 2013, we acquired noncontrolling interests in five operating properties in Europe through our joint venture with McArthurGlen. Of the five properties, two are located in Italy and one each is located in Austria, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom. Additionally, as of December 31, 2013, we owned a 28.9% equity stake in Klépierre SA, or Klépierre, a publicly traded, Paris-based real estate company, which owns, or has an interest in, shopping centers located in 13 countries in Europe.

On December 13, 2013, we announced a plan to spin off our interests in 98 properties comprised of substantially all of our strip center business and our smaller enclosed malls into an independent, publicly traded REIT (SpinCo). The spin-off is expected to be effectuated through a pro rata special distribution of all of the outstanding common shares of SpinCo to holders of Simon Property common stock as of the distribution record date, and is intended to qualify as a tax-free distribution for U.S. federal income tax purposes. At the time of the separation and distribution, SpinCo will own a percentage of the outstanding units of partnership interest of SpinCo L.P. that is equal to the percentage of our outstanding units of partnership interest, or units, of us owned by Simon Property, with the remaining units of SpinCo L.P. owned by our limited partners. We expect the transaction will become effective in the second quarter of 2014. The transaction is subject to certain conditions, including declaration by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission that SpinCo's registration statement on Form 10 is effective, filing and approval of SpinCo's listing application, customary third party consents, and formal approval and declaration of the distribution by Simon Property's Board of Directors. We may, at any time and for any reason until the proposed transaction is complete, abandon the spin-off or modify or change its terms.

For a description of our operational strategies and developments in our business during 2013, see Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of this Form 10-K.

Other Policies

The following is a discussion of our investment policies, financing policies, conflict of interest policies and policies with respect to certain other activities. One or more of these policies may be amended or rescinded from time to time by Simon Property without a vote by our limited partners.

Investment Policies

While we emphasize equity real estate investments, we may also provide secured financing to or invest in equity or debt securities of other entities engaged in real estate activities or securities of other issuers consistent with Simon Property's qualification as a REIT. However, any of these investments would be subject to the percentage ownership limitations and gross income tests necessary for REIT qualification. These REIT limitations mean that we cannot make an investment that would cause Simon Property's real estate assets to be less than 75% of its total assets. Simon Property must also derive at least 75% of its gross income directly or indirectly from investments relating to real property or mortgages on real property, including "rents from real property," dividends from other REITs and, in certain circumstances, interest from certain types of temporary investments. In addition, Simon Property must also derive at

3

least 95% of its gross income from such real property investments, and from dividends, interest and gains from the sale or dispositions of stock or securities or from other combinations of the foregoing.

Subject to REIT limitations, we may invest in the securities of other issuers in connection with acquisitions of indirect interests in real estate. Such an investment would normally be in the form of general or limited partnership or membership interests in special purpose partnerships and limited liability companies that own one or more properties. We may, in the future, acquire all or substantially all of the securities or assets of other REITs, management companies or similar entities where such investments would be consistent with our investment policies.

Financing Policies

Because Simon Property's REIT qualification requires it to distribute at least 90% of its taxable income, we regularly access the debt markets to raise the funds necessary to finance acquisitions, develop and redevelop properties, and refinance maturing debt. We must comply with the covenants contained in our financing agreements that limit our ratio of debt to total assets or market value, as defined. For example, our lines of credit and the indentures for our debt securities contain covenants that restrict the total amount of debt to 65%, or 60% in relation to certain debt, of total assets, as defined under the related arrangement, and secured debt to 50% of total assets. In addition, these agreements contain other covenants requiring compliance with financial ratios. Furthermore, the amount of debt that we may incur is limited as a practical matter by our desire to maintain acceptable ratings for Simon Property's equity securities and our debt securities. We strive to maintain investment grade ratings on our debt securities at all times, but we cannot assure you that we will be able to do so in the future.

We may raise additional capital by issuing units or debt securities, creating joint ventures with existing ownership interests in properties, entering into joint venture arrangements for new development projects, retaining cash flows or a combination of these methods. If Simon Property's Board of Directors determines to raise equity capital at the Operating Partnership level, we may, without limited partner approval, issue additional units or other equity interests in us. We may issue units in any manner and on such terms and for such consideration as we deem appropriate. This may include issuing units in exchange for property. We may issue preferred units that could be senior to our units and may be convertible into units. Existing holders of units have no preemptive right to purchase units in any subsequent offerings. Any such offering could dilute a limited partner's investment in us.

We expect most future borrowings would be made in the form of bank borrowings, publicly and privately placed debt instruments, or purchase money obligations to the sellers of properties. Any such indebtedness may be secured or unsecured. Any such indebtedness may also have full or limited recourse to the borrower or cross-collateralized with other debt, or may be fully or partially guaranteed by us. Although we may borrow to fund the payment of distributions, we currently have no expectation that we will regularly do so.

We have an unsecured revolving credit facility, or Credit Facility. The Credit Facility's initial borrowing capacity of \$4.0 billion can be increased at our sole option to \$5.0 billion during its term. The Credit Facility will initially mature on October 30, 2015 and can be extended for an additional year at our sole option. We also have an additional unsecured revolving credit facility, or Supplemental Facility, with an initial borrowing capacity of \$2.0 billion which can be increased at our sole option to \$2.5 billion during its term. The Supplemental Facility will initially mature on June 30, 2016 and can be extended for an additional year at our sole option. We may issue debt securities which may be convertible into units, preferred units or be accompanied by warrants to purchase equity interests or be exchangeable for stock of Simon Property. We also may sell or securitize our lease receivables.

We may also finance acquisitions through the following:

- issuance of additional units or preferred units;
- issuance of other securities including unsecured notes and mortgage debt;
- draws on our credit facilities; or
- sale or exchange of ownership interests in properties.

We may also issue units to transferors of properties or other partnership interests which may permit the transferor to defer gain recognition for tax purposes.

We do not have a policy limiting the number or amount of mortgages that may be placed on any particular property. Mortgage financing instruments, however, usually limit additional indebtedness on such properties. Additionally,

our unsecured credit facilities, unsecured note indentures and other contracts may limit our ability to borrow and contain limits on mortgage indebtedness we may incur.

Typically, we invest in or form special purpose entities to assist us in obtaining secured permanent financing at attractive terms. Permanent financing may be structured as a mortgage loan on a single property, or on a group of properties, and generally requires us to provide a mortgage lien on the property or properties in favor of an institutional third party, as a joint venture with a third party, or as a securitized financing. For securitized financings, we create special purpose entities to own the properties. These special purpose entities, which are common in the real estate industry, are structured so that they would not be consolidated in a bankruptcy proceeding involving a parent company. We decide upon the structure of the financing based upon the best terms then available to us and whether the proposed financing is consistent with our other business objectives. For accounting purposes, we include the outstanding securitized debt of special purpose entities owning consolidated properties as part of our consolidated indebtedness.

Conflict of Interest Policies

We maintain policies and have entered into agreements designed to reduce or eliminate potential conflicts of interest. Simon Property has adopted governance principles governing the function, conduct, selection, orientation and duties of its subsidiaries and the Simon Property Board of Directors, as well as written charters for each of the standing Committees of the Board of Directors. In addition, the Board of Directors of Simon Property has a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, which applies to all of its officers, directors, and employees and those of its subsidiaries. At least a majority of the members of the Simon Property Board of Directors must qualify as independent under the listing standards for New York Stock Exchange, or NYSE, companies and cannot be affiliated with the Simon family who are significant stockholders of Simon Property and/or unitholders in us. In addition, the Audit and Compensation Committees of Simon Property's Board of Directors are comprised of independent members in accordance with the independence requirements of the NYSE. Any transaction between us and the Simons, including property acquisitions, service and property management agreements and retail space leases, must be approved by a majority of Simon Property's non-affiliated directors.

The sale of certain of our properties may have an adverse tax impact on the Simons or the other limited partners. In order to avoid any conflict of interest, the Simon Property charter requires that at least six of the non-affiliated directors of the Board of Directors must authorize and require us to sell any property we own. Any such sale is subject to applicable agreements with third parties. Noncompetition agreements executed by Herbert Simon and David Simon contain covenants limiting their ability to participate in certain shopping center activities.

Policies With Respect To Certain Other Activities

We intend to make investments which are consistent with Simon Property's qualification as a REIT, unless the Board of Directors determines that it is no longer in Simon Property's best interests to so qualify as a REIT. The Board of Directors may make such a determination because of changing circumstances or changes in the REIT requirements. We have authority to offer units or other securities in exchange for property. We also have authority to repurchase or otherwise reacquire our units or any other securities. Our policy prohibits us from making any loans to the directors or executive officers of Simon Property for any purpose. We may make loans to the joint ventures in which we participate. Additionally, we may make or buy interests in loans for real estate properties owned by others.

Competition

The retail industry is dynamic and competitive. We compete with numerous merchandise distribution channels including malls, outlet centers, community/lifestyle centers, and other shopping centers in the United States and abroad. We also compete with internet retailing sites and catalogs which provide retailers with distribution options beyond existing brick and mortar retail properties. The existence of competitive alternatives could have a material adverse effect on our ability to lease space and on the level of rents we can obtain. This results in competition for both the tenants to occupy the properties that we develop and manage as well as for the acquisition of prime sites (including land for development and operating properties). We believe that there are numerous factors that make our properties highly desirable to retailers including:

- the quality, location and diversity of our properties;
- our management and operational expertise;

5

- our extensive experience and relationships with retailers and lenders; and
- our mall marketing initiatives and consumer focused strategic corporate alliances.

Certain Activities

During the past three years, we have:

- issued 7,975,779 units to Simon Property reflecting the redemption or the exchange of units for common stock upon the exchange of 8,628,404 units for shares of Simon Property common stock;
- issued 338,074 restricted units to Simon Property and 1,960,333 long-term incentive performance units, or LTIP units, net of forfeitures, under The Simon Property Group 1998 Stock Incentive Plan, or the 1998 Plan;
- issued 250,030 units to Simon Property in exchange for cash contributed by Simon Property resulting from the exercise of stock options under the 1998 Plan, net of 76,969 shares used to fund withholding tax;
- redeemed 2,000,000 units for \$124.00 per unit in cash;
- issued 9,137,500 units to Simon Property in exchange for the cash proceeds of public offerings of an equal number of shares of Simon Property common stock;
- issued 278,763 units in exchange for the acquisition of a 100% interest in two outlet properties;
- entered into the Credit Facility in October 2011 which provides an initial borrowing capacity of \$4.0 billion and can be increased at our sole option to \$5.0 billion during its term;
- entered into the Supplemental Facility in June 2012 which provides an initial borrowing capacity of \$2.0 billion and can be increased at our sole option to \$2.5 billion during its term;
- borrowed a maximum amount of \$3.1 billion under the credit facilities; the outstanding amount of borrowings under the credit facilities as of December 31, 2013 was \$1.2 billion, of which \$660.1 million was related to U.S. dollar equivalent of Euro-denominated borrowings and \$212.2 million was related to U.S. dollar equivalent of Yen-denominated borrowings; and
- issued €750.0 million (\$1.0 billion USD equivalent) of unsecured notes on October 2, 2013 at a fixed interest rate of 2.375% with a maturity date of October 2, 2020.

Employees

At December 31, 2013, we and our affiliates employed approximately 5,700 persons at various properties and offices throughout the United States, of which approximately 2,300 were part-time. Approximately 1,100 of these employees were located at our corporate headquarters in Indianapolis, Indiana.

Corporate Headquarters

Our corporate headquarters are located at 225 West Washington Street, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204, and our telephone number is (317) 636-1600.

Available Information

Our Internet website address is www.simon.com. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, are available or may be accessed free of charge through the "About Simon/Investor Relations/Financial Information" section of our Internet website as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC. Our Internet website and the information contained therein or connected thereto are not intended to be incorporated into this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following factors, among others, could cause our actual results to differ materially from those contained in forward-looking statements made in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and presented elsewhere by our management from time to time. These factors may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows, and you should carefully consider them. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us or which are currently not believed to be material may also affect our actual results. We may update these factors in our future periodic reports.

Risks Relating to Debt and the Financial Markets

We have a substantial debt burden that could affect our future operations.

As of December 31, 2013, our consolidated mortgages and unsecured indebtedness, excluding related premium and discount, totaled \$23.6 billion. We are subject to the risks normally associated with debt financing, including the risk that our cash flow from operations will be insufficient to meet required debt service. Our debt service costs generally will not be reduced if developments at the property, such as the entry of new competitors or the loss of major tenants, cause a reduction in the income from the property. Should such events occur, our operations may be adversely affected. If a property is mortgaged to secure payment of indebtedness and income from such property is insufficient to pay that indebtedness, the property could be foreclosed upon by the mortgagee resulting in a loss of income and a decline in our total asset value.

Disruption in the credit markets or downgrades in our credit ratings may adversely affect our ability to access external financings for our growth and ongoing debt service requirements.

We depend on external financings, principally debt financings, to fund the growth of our business and to ensure that we can meet ongoing maturities of our outstanding debt. Our access to financing depends on our credit rating, the willingness of banks to lend to us and conditions in the capital markets. We cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain the financing we need for future growth or to meet our debt service as obligations mature, or that the financing available to us will be on acceptable terms.

Adverse changes in our credit rating could affect our borrowing capacity and borrowing terms.

Our outstanding senior unsecured notes and the preferred stock of Simon Property are periodically rated by nationally recognized credit rating agencies. The credit ratings are based on our operating performance, liquidity and leverage ratios, overall financial position, and other factors viewed by the credit rating agencies as relevant to our industry and the economic outlook in general. Our credit rating can affect the amount of capital we can access, as well as the terms of any financing we obtain. Since we depend primarily on debt financing to fund our growth, adverse changes in our credit rating could have a negative effect on our future growth.

Our hedging interest rate protection arrangements may not effectively limit our interest rate risk.

We selectively manage our exposure to interest rate risk by a combination of interest rate protection agreements to effectively fix or cap a portion of our variable rate debt. In addition, we refinance fixed rate debt at times when we believe rates and terms are appropriate. Our efforts to manage these exposures may not be successful.

Our use of interest rate hedging arrangements to manage risk associated with interest rate volatility may expose us to additional risks, including a risk that a counterparty to a hedging arrangement may fail to honor its obligations. Developing an effective interest rate risk strategy is complex and no strategy can completely insulate us from risks associated with interest rate fluctuations. There can be no assurance that our hedging activities will have the desired beneficial impact on our results of operations or financial condition. Termination of these hedging agreements typically involves costs, such as transaction fees or breakage costs.

Factors Affecting Real Estate Investments and Operations

We face risks associated with the acquisition, development, redevelopment and expansion of properties.

We regularly acquire and develop new properties and expand and redevelop existing properties, and these activities are subject to various risks. We may not be successful in pursuing acquisition, development or redevelopment/expansion opportunities. In addition, newly acquired, developed or redeveloped/expanded properties may not perform as well as expected. We are subject to other risks in connection with any acquisition, development and redevelopment/expansion activities, including the following:

- construction costs of a project may be higher than projected, potentially making the project unfeasible or unprofitable;
- we may not be able to obtain financing or to refinance loans on favorable terms, if at all;
- we may be unable to obtain zoning, occupancy or other governmental approvals;
- occupancy rates and rents may not meet our projections and the project may not be profitable; and
- we may need the consent of third parties such as department stores, anchor tenants, mortgage lenders and joint venture partners, and those consents may be withheld.

If a development or redevelopment/expansion project is unsuccessful, either because it is not meeting our expectations when operational or was not completed according to the project planning, we could lose our investment in the project. Further, if we guarantee the property's financing, our loss could exceed our investment in the project.

Real estate investments are relatively illiquid.

Our properties represent a substantial portion of our total consolidated assets. These investments are relatively illiquid. As a result, our ability to sell one or more of our properties or investments in real estate in response to any changes in economic or other conditions may be limited. If we want to sell a property, we cannot assure you that we will be able to dispose of it in the desired time period or that the sales price of a property will exceed the cost of our investment.

Our international expansion may subject us to different or greater risk from those associated with our domestic operations.

As of December 31, 2013, we held interests in joint venture properties that operate in Austria, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, the Netherlands, South Korea, Canada, and the United Kingdom. We also have an equity stake in Klépierre, a publicly-traded European real estate company. Accordingly, our operating results and the value of our international operations may be impacted by any unhedged movements in the foreign currencies in which those operations transact and in which our net investment in the foreign operation is held. We may pursue additional expansion and development opportunities outside the United States. International development and ownership activities carry risks that are different from those we face with our domestic properties and operations. These risks include:

- adverse effects of changes in exchange rates for foreign currencies;
- changes in foreign political and economic environments, regionally, nationally, and locally;
- challenges of complying with a wide variety of foreign laws including corporate governance, operations, taxes, and litigation;
- differing lending practices;
- differences in cultures;
- changes in applicable laws and regulations in the United States that affect foreign operations;
- difficulties in managing international operations; and
- obstacles to the repatriation of earnings and cash.

Although our international activities currently are a relatively small portion of our business (international properties represented approximately 8.4% of net operating income, or NOI, for the year ended December 31, 2013), to the extent that we expand our international activities, these risks could increase in significance which in turn could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Environmental Risks

As owners of real estate, we can face liabilities for environmental contamination.

Federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the protection of the environment may require us, as a current or previous owner or operator of real property, to investigate and clean up hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum product releases at a property or at impacted neighboring properties. These laws often impose liability regardless of whether the property owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of hazardous or toxic substances. These laws and regulations may require the abatement or removal of asbestos containing materials in the event of damage, demolition or renovation, reconstruction or expansion of a property and also govern emissions of and exposure to asbestos fibers in the air. Those laws and regulations also govern the installation, maintenance and removal of underground storage tanks used to store waste oils or other petroleum products. Many of our properties contain, or at one time contained, asbestos containing materials or underground storage tanks (primarily related to auto service center establishments or emergency electrical generation equipment). The costs of investigation, removal or remediation of hazardous or toxic substances may be substantial and could adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition but is not estimable. The presence of contamination, or the failure to remediate contamination, may also adversely affect our ability to sell, lease or redevelop a property or to borrow using a property as collateral.

Our efforts to identify environmental liabilities may not be successful.

Although we believe that our portfolio is in substantial compliance with federal, state and local environmental laws, ordinances and regulations regarding hazardous or toxic substances, this belief is based on limited testing. Nearly all of our properties have been subjected to Phase I or similar environmental audits. These environmental audits have not revealed, nor are we aware of, any environmental liability that we believe will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition. However, we cannot assure you that:

- existing environmental studies with respect to the portfolio reveal all potential environmental liabilities;
- any previous owner, occupant or tenant of a property did not create any material environmental condition not known to us;
- the current environmental condition of the portfolio will not be affected by tenants and occupants, by the condition of nearby properties, or by other unrelated third parties; or
- future uses or conditions (including, without limitation, changes in applicable environmental laws and regulations or the interpretation thereof) will not result in environmental liabilities.

Retail Operations Risks

Overall economic conditions may adversely affect the general retail environment.

Our concentration in the retail real estate market means that we are subject to the risks that affect the retail environment generally, including the levels of consumer spending, seasonality, the willingness of retailers to lease space in our shopping centers, tenant bankruptcies, changes in economic conditions, increasing use of the internet by retailers and consumers, consumer confidence, casualties and other natural disasters, and the potential for terrorist activities. The economy and consumer spending appear to be recovering from the effects of the recent recession. We derive our cash flow from operations primarily from retail tenants, many of whom have been and continue to be under some degree of economic stress. A significant deterioration in our cash flow from operations could require us to curtail planned capital expenditures or seek alternative sources of financing.

We may not be able to lease newly developed properties and renew leases and relet space at existing properties.

We may not be able to lease new properties to an appropriate mix of tenants or for rents that are consistent with our projections. Also, when leases for our existing properties expire, the premises may not be relet or the terms of reletting, including the cost of allowances and concessions to tenants, may be less favorable than the current lease terms. To the extent that our leasing plans are not achieved, our cash generated before debt repayments and capital expenditures could be adversely affected. Changes in economic and operating conditions that occur subsequent to our review of recoverability of investment property and other assets could impact the assumptions used in that assessment and could result in future charges to earnings if assumptions regarding those investments differ from actual results.

Some of our properties depend on anchor stores or major tenants to attract shoppers and could be adversely affected by the loss of one or more of these anchor stores or major tenants.

Our properties are typically anchored by department stores and other large nationally recognized tenants. The value of some of our properties could be materially adversely affected if these department stores or major tenants fail to comply with their contractual obligations or cease their operations.

For example, among department stores and other large stores — often referred to as "big box" stores — corporate merger activity typically results in the closure of duplicate or geographically overlapping store locations. Further sustained adverse pressure on the results of our department stores and major tenants may have a similarly sustained adverse impact upon our own results. Certain department stores and other national retailers have experienced, and may continue to experience for the foreseeable future given current macroeconomic uncertainty and less-than-desirable levels of consumer confidence, considerable decreases in customer traffic in their retail stores, increased competition from alternative retail options such as those accessible via the Internet and other forms of pressure on their business models. As pressure on these department stores and national retailers increases, their ability to maintain their stores, meet their obligations both to us and to their external lenders and suppliers, withstand takeover attempts by investors or rivals or avoid bankruptcy and/or liquidation may be impaired and result in closures of their stores. Other tenants may be entitled to modify the economic or other terms of their existing leases in the event of such closures. The modification could be unfavorable to us as the lessor, and could decrease rents or expense recovery charges.

Additionally, department store or major tenant closures may result in decreased customer traffic, which could lead to decreased sales at our properties. If the sales of stores operating in our properties were to decline significantly due to the closing of anchor stores or other national retailers, adverse economic conditions, or other reasons, tenants may be unable to pay their minimum rents or expense recovery charges. In the event of any default by a tenant, whether a department store, national retailer or otherwise, we may not be able to fully recover, and/or may experience delays and costs in enforcing our rights as landlord to recover, amounts due to us under the terms of our agreements with such parties.

We face potential adverse effects from tenant bankruptcies.

Bankruptcy filings by retailers occur regularly in the course of our operations. We continually seek to re-lease vacant spaces resulting from tenant terminations. The bankruptcy of a tenant, particularly an anchor tenant, may make it more difficult to lease the remainder of the affected properties. Future tenant bankruptcies could adversely affect our properties or impact our ability to successfully execute our re-leasing strategy.

We face a wide range of competition that could affect our ability to operate profitably.

Our properties compete with other retail properties and other forms of retailing such as catalogs and e-commerce websites. Competition may come from malls, outlet centers, community/lifestyle centers, and other shopping centers, both existing as well as future development projects, as well as catalogs and e-commerce. The presence of competitive alternatives affects our ability to lease space and the level of rents we can obtain. New construction, renovations and expansions at competing sites could also negatively affect our properties.

We also compete with other retail property developers to acquire prime development sites. In addition, we compete with other retail property companies for tenants and qualified management.

Risks Relating to Joint Venture Properties and our Investment in Klépierre

We have limited control with respect to some properties that are partially owned or managed by third parties, which may adversely affect our ability to sell or refinance them.

As of December 31, 2013, we owned interests in 111 income-producing properties with other parties. Of those, 18 properties are included in our consolidated financial statements. We account for the other 93 properties, or the joint venture properties, as well as our investment in Klépierre, using the equity method of accounting. We serve as general partner or property manager for 70 of these 93 properties; however, certain major decisions, such as approving the operating budget and selling, refinancing and redeveloping the properties require the consent of the other owners. Of the properties for which we do not serve as general partner or property manager, 20 are in our international joint ventures. The international properties are managed locally by joint ventures in which we share control of the properties with our partner. The other owners have participating rights that we consider substantive for purposes of determining control over

the properties' assets. The remaining joint venture properties and Klépierre are managed by third parties. These limitations may adversely affect our ability to sell, refinance, or otherwise operate these properties.

We guarantee debt or otherwise provide support for a number of joint venture properties.

Joint venture debt is the liability of the joint venture and is typically secured by a mortgage on the joint venture property, which is non-recourse to us. As of December 31, 2013, we had guaranteed joint venture related mortgage indebtedness of \$190.8 million (of which we have a right of recovery from our venture partners of \$83.0 million). A default by a joint venture under its debt obligations may expose us to liability under a guaranty or letter of credit. We may elect to fund cash needs of a joint venture through equity contributions (generally on a basis proportionate to our ownership interests), advances or partner loans, although such fundings are not typically required contractually or otherwise.

Other Factors Affecting Our Business

Some of our potential losses may not be covered by insurance.

We maintain insurance coverage with third party carriers who provide a portion of the coverage for specific layers of potential losses including commercial general liability, fire, flood, extended coverage and rental loss insurance on all of our properties in the United States. The initial portion of coverage not provided by third party carriers is either insured through our wholly-owned captive insurance companies or other financial arrangements controlled by us. A third party carrier has, in turn, agreed to provide evidence of coverage for this layer of losses under the terms and conditions of the carrier's policy. A similar policy written through our captive insurance entities also provides initial coverage for property insurance and certain windstorm risks at the properties located in coastal windstorm locations.

There are some types of losses, including lease and other contract claims, which generally are not insured. If an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occurs, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a property, as well as the anticipated future revenue it could generate.

We currently maintain insurance coverage against acts of terrorism on all of our properties in the United States on an "all risk" basis in the amount of up to \$1 billion. The current federal laws which provide this coverage are expected to operate through 2014. Despite the existence of this insurance coverage, any threatened or actual terrorist attacks where we operate could adversely affect our property values, revenues, consumer traffic and tenant sales.

Risks Relating to Income Taxes

The failure of our REIT subsidiaries to maintain their qualifications as REITs or the loss of favorable tax treatment in certain of our international operations could have adverse tax consequences to us, our unitholders, and Simon Property.

We are subject to certain income-based taxes, both domestically and internationally, and other taxes, including state and local taxes, franchise taxes, and withholding taxes on dividends from certain of our international investments. We currently receive favorable tax treatment in various domestic and international jurisdictions through tax rules and regulations or through international treaties. Should we no longer receive such benefits, the amount of taxes we pay may increase.

In the U.S., Simon Property and certain of our subsidiaries have elected to qualify as REITs. Qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes is governed by highly technical and complex provisions for which there are only limited judicial or administrative interpretations. We believe our REIT subsidiaries are organized and have been operated in a manner which allows our REIT subsidiaries and Simon Property to qualify for taxation as REITs. We intend to continue to operate in this manner. However, qualification as a REIT depends upon meeting ongoing asset and income tests and other requirements for asset diversification, distribution levels and diversity of ownership under the Internal Revenue Code. If a REIT subsidiary fails to comply with those provisions and if available relief provisions do not apply:

- the REIT subsidiary will not be allowed a deduction for distributions to us in computing its taxable income;
- the REIT subsidiary will be subject to corporate level income tax, including any applicable alternative minimum tax, on its taxable income at regular corporate rates;
- unless entitled to relief under relevant statutory provisions, the REIT subsidiary will also be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which qualification was lost; and



Simon Property would also fail to qualify as a REIT as a result of the REIT subsidiary's failure and the same adverse consequences would apply to it and its stockholders.

As a result, net income and funds available for distribution to our unitholders would be reduced for those years in which a REIT subsidiary fails to qualify as a REIT. Although we currently intend to operate the REIT subsidiaries so as to qualify each as a REIT, we cannot assure you we will succeed or that future economic, market, legal, tax or other considerations might not cause us to revoke the REIT election of a REIT subsidiary.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

United States Properties

Our U.S. properties primarily consist of malls, Premium Outlets, The Mills, community/lifestyle centers, and other properties. These properties contain an aggregate of approximately 236.6 million square feet of gross leasable area, or GLA.

Malls typically contain at least one traditional department store anchor or a combination of anchors and big box retailers with a wide variety of smaller stores connecting the anchors. Additional stores are usually located along the perimeter of the parking area. Our 156 malls are generally enclosed centers and range in size from approximately 400,000 to 2.5 million square feet of GLA. Our malls contain in the aggregate more than 17,100 occupied stores, including approximately 674 anchors, which are predominately national retailers.

Premium Outlets generally contain a wide variety of designer and manufacturer stores located in open-air centers. Our 66 Premium Outlets range in size from approximately 150,000 to 850,000 square feet of GLA. The Premium Outlets are generally located near major metropolitan areas and/or tourist destinations.

The 13 properties in The Mills generally range in size from 1.0 million to 2.3 million square feet of GLA and are located in major metropolitan areas. They have a combination of traditional mall, outlet center, and big box retailers and entertainment uses.

Community/lifestyle centers are generally unenclosed and smaller than our malls. Our 62 community/lifestyle centers generally range in size from approximately 100,000 to 950,000 square feet of GLA. Community/lifestyle centers are designed to serve a larger trade area and typically contain anchor stores and other national retail tenants, which occupy a significant portion of the GLA of the center. We also own traditional community shopping centers that focus primarily on value-oriented and convenience goods and services. These centers are usually anchored by a supermarket, discount retailer, or drugstore and are designed to service a neighborhood area. Finally, we own open-air centers adjacent to our malls designed to take advantage of the drawing power of the mall.

We also have interests in 11 other shopping centers or outlet centers. These properties range in size from approximately 200,000 to 1.0 million square feet of GLA, are considered non-core to our business model, and in total represent less than 1% of our total operating income before depreciation and amortization.

As of December 31, 2013, approximately 96.1% of the owned GLA in malls and Premium Outlets was leased, approximately 98.5% of the owned GLA for The Mills was leased and approximately 95.0% of the owned GLA in the community/lifestyle centers was leased.

We wholly own 217 of our properties, effectively control 18 properties in which we have a joint venture interest, and hold the remaining 73 properties through unconsolidated joint venture interests. We are the managing or co-managing general partner or member of 305 properties. Certain of our joint venture properties are subject to various rights of first refusal, buy-sell provisions, put and call rights, or other sale or marketing rights for partners which are customary in real estate partnership agreements and the industry. We and our partners in these joint ventures may initiate these provisions (subject to any applicable lock up or similar restrictions) which may result in either the sale of our interest or the use of available cash or borrowings, or the use of units, to acquire the joint venture interest from our partner.

The following property table summarizes certain data for our malls and Premium Outlets, The Mills, and community/lifestyle centers located in the United States, including Puerto Rico, as of December 31, 2013.



	Duranta N	<u>Ctata</u>		Ownership Interest (Expiration if	Legal	Year Built or	0(T)	TelCLA	Retail Anchors and
	Property Name Malls		City (CBSA)	Lease) (3)	<u>Ownership</u>	Acquired	Occupancy (5)	Total GLA	Selected Major Tenants
	Anderson Mall Apple	SC VA	Anderson Winchester	Fee	100.0%	Built 1972 Acquired	86.7% 95.4%	671,312 471,794	Belk, JCPenney, Sears, Dillard's, Books-A-Million Belk, JCPenney, Sears,
	Blossom Mall Auburn Mall	MA	Auburn	Fee	49.1% (4) 56.4% (4)	1999 Acquired	95.4%	587,602	Carmike Cinemas Macy's (9), Sears
		FL	Miami Beach	Fee		1999 Built	98.8%	2,105.667	
	(1)		(Miami)	ree	33.3% (4)	1983		2,105,007	Bloomingdale's, Macy's, Macy's Men's & Home Furniture, JCPenney, Sears, Nordstrom, Equinox Fitness Clubs, AMC Theatres
5.	Avenues, The	FL	Jacksonville	Fee	25.0% (4)(2)	Built 1990	97.1%	1,114,364	Belk, Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, Forever 21
6.	Bangor Mall	ME	Bangor	Fee	67.1% (15)	Acquired 2003	98.7%	652,531	Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Dick's Sporting Goods
	Barton Creek Square	TX	Austin	Fee	100.0%	Built 1981	98.9%	1,429,895	Nordstrom, Macy's, Dillard's (9), JCPenney, Sears, AMC Theatre
	Battlefield Mall	МО	Springfield	Fee and Ground Lease (2056)	100.0%	Built 1970	92.3%	1,199,105	Macy's, Dillard's (9), JCPenney, Sears, MC Sporting Goods
	Bay Park Square	WI	Green Bay	Fee	100.0%	Built 1980	93.4%	711,738	Younkers, Younkers Home Furniture Gallery, Kohl's, ShopKo, Marcus Cinema 16
	Bowie Town Center	MD	Bowie (Washington, D.C.)	Fee	100.0%	Built 2001	95.2%	684,963	Macy's, Sears, Barnes & Noble, Best Buy, Safeway, L.A. Fitness, Off Broadway Shoes
	Boynton Beach Mall	FL	Boynton Beach (Miami)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1985	92.0%	1,094,007	Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, Cinemark Theatres, You Fit Health Clubs
12.	Brea Mall	CA	Brea (Los Angeles)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	99.0%	1,319,094	Nordstrom, Macy's (9), JCPenney, Sears
	Briarwood Mall	MI	Ann Arbor	Fee	50.0% (4)	Acquired 2007	96.6%	969,804	Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Von Maur, MC Sporting Goods
	Broadway Square	ΤX	Tyler	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1994	100.0%	627,370	Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears
15.	Brunswick Square	NJ	East Brunswick (New York)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1973	100.0%	760,311	Macy's, JCPenney, Barnes & Noble, Starplex Luxury Cinema
	Burlington Mall	MA	Burlington (Boston)	Fee and Ground Lease (2048) (7)	100.0%	Acquired 1998	98.2%	1,317,275	Macy's, Lord & Taylor, Sears, Nordstrom, Crate & Barrel
	Cape Cod Mall	MA	Hyannis	Fee and Ground Leases (2029- 2073) (7)	56.4% (4)	Acquired 1999	96.8%	721,330	Macy's (9), Sears, Best Buy Marshalls, Barnes & Noble, Regal Cinema
	Castleton Square	IN	Indianapolis	Fee	100.0%	Built 1972	96.9%	1,383,207	Macy's, Von Maur, JCPenney, Sears, Dick's Sporting Goods, AMC Theatres
	Charlottesville Fashion Square	VA	Charlottesville	Ground Lease (2076)	100.0%	Acquired 1997	95.3%	576,748	Belk (9), JCPenney, Sears
20.	Chautauqua Mall	NY	Lakewood	Fee	100.0%	Built 1971	91.2%	427,568	Sears, JCPenney, Bon Ton, Office Max, Dipson Cinema
21.	Chesapeake Square	VA	Chesapeake (Virginia Beach)	Fee and Ground Lease (2062)	75.0% (12)	Built 1989	85.3%	759,897	Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Target, Burlington Coat Factory, Cinemark Theatres
	Cielo Vista Mall	ТХ	El Paso	Fee and Ground Lease (2022) (7)	100.0%	Built 1974	98.2%	1,241,496	Macy's, Dillard's (9), JCPenney, Sears, Cinemark Theatres
23.	Circle Centre	IN	Indianapolis	Property Lease (2097)	14.7% (4)(2)	Built 1995	96.7%	767,698	Carson's, United Artists Theatre, Indianapolis Star (6)
24.	Coconut Point	FL	Estero	Fee	50.0% (4)	Built 2006	93.7%	1,204,941	Dillard's, Barnes & Noble, Bed Bath & Beyond, Best Buy, DSW, Office Max, PetsMart, Ross Dress for Less, Cost Plus World Market, T.J. Maxx, Hollywood Theatres, Super Target, Michael's, Sports Authority
	Coddingtown Mall	CA	Santa Rosa	Fee	50.0% (4)	Acquired 2005	74.9%	674,014	Macy's, JCPenney, Whole Foods, Target (6)
	College Mall	IN	Bloomington	Fee and Ground Lease	100.0%	Built 1965	96.5%	636,325	Macy's, Sears, Target, Dick's Sporting Goods, Bed Bath & Beyond
	Columbia Center	WA	Kennewick	(2048) (7) Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1987	99.8%	770,584	Macy's (9), JCPenney, Sears, Barnes & Noble,
28.	Copley Place	MA	Boston	Fee	98.1%	Acquired	99.5%	1,241,760	Regal Cinema Neiman Marcus, Barneys
29.	Coral Square	FL	Coral Springs	Fee	97.2%	2002 Built	100.0%	943,812	New York Macy's (9), JCPenney,
30.	Cordova Mall	FL	(Miami) Pensacola	Fee	100.0%	Acquired	99.2%	832,857	Sears, Kohl's Dillard's, Belk, Best Buy, Bed Bath & Beyond, Cost
	•		(Miami)			Built 1984			

								Plus World Market, Ross Dress for Less, Dick's Sporting Goods
Cottonwood Mall	NM	Albuquerque	Fee	100.0%	Built 1996	98.0%	1,034,461	Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, Regal Cinema, Conn's Electronic & Appliance (6) (11)

S2. Crysial Mall C1. Watehold Fee 72.25 (a) Actual of Actual A Server Mall Deck The Theorem Server Mall Deck The Theorem Mall Deck Theorem Mall <thdeck theorem<br="">Mall <thdeck theorem<br="">Mall</thdeck></thdeck>		Barrow S. S.	- C (Ownership Interest (Expiration if	Legal	Year Built or	0	THE	Retail Anchors and
33. Dialeda FL Main Fee 50.% (c) Acquired Acquired Subscience 92.7% (c) L67.27 Subscience (c) (c) Subscience (c) (c) 34. Datason Falance Ga Tarance (Ga Subscience) Tarance (Ga Subscience) Tarance (Ga Subscience) Subscience) Subscience (Ga <subscience)< td=""> Subscience) Subscience (Ga<subscience)< td=""> Subscience (Ga<subscince)< td=""> Subscince (Ga<subscience)< td=""> <td< th=""><th>32.</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>Acquired</th><th></th><th></th><th>Bed Bath & Beyond,</th></td<></subscience)<></subscince)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<></subscience)<>	32.						Acquired			Bed Bath & Beyond,
34 Del Aron Esclaro CA Esclaro Former (I/D) Esclaro Former (I/D) Esclaro Former (I/D) Esclaro Former (I/D) Esclaro End (I/D) Esclaro Balts 2,291,200 Mercy (I/D) Esclaro Mercy (I/D) Esclaro 33. Domain, The TX TX Antin Fer 100,0% Init 97.3% 1,223,961 Mercy (I/D) Esclaro Network (I/D) Esclaro	33.		FL	Miami	Fee	50.0% (4)		98.2%	1,497,287	Saks Fifth Avenue, Nordstrom, Macy's (9),
35. Domain, The TX Austin Fee 100,1% Built 97.3% 1,222.89 Network properties (Code),	34.	Fashion	CA		Fee	50.0% (4)		80.1%	2,291,720	Macy's (9), Macy's Home & Furniture Gallery, JCPenney, Sears, Marshalls, T.J. Maxx, Barnes & Noble, JoAnn Fabrics, Crate & Barrel, L.A. Fitness, Burlington Coat Factory, AMC Theatres,
Si. Dover Mall Die More Gound Gou	35.	Domain, The	ТХ	Austin	Fee	100.0%		97.3%	1,232,958	Neiman Marcus, Macy's, Dick's Sporting Goods, iPic Theaters, Dillard's, Arhaus Furniture, Punch Bowl Social
37. Edison Mall FL Fort Myers Fee 100.0% Acquired 94.2% 1.033.377 Dillards, Mary's (f), UCPENNEY, Sen, Boold-Julion 38. Empire Mall SD North Attheborg Fee 56.4% (4) Acquired 92.7% 1.113.50 Mary's (b), UCPENNEY, Sen, Boold-Julion 39. Empire Mall SD Siour Falls Fee cond 100.0% Acquired 100.0% 838.081 Biocontragilizér, Mary's (F), UCPENNEY, Sen, Boold-Julion 40. Falls, The FL Maari Fee 50.0% (d) Acquired 100.0% 838.081 Biocontragilizér, Mary's (F), UCPENNEY, Sen, Goold-Julion 41. Fashen FL Maari Fee 50.0% (d) Acquired 100.0% 838.081 Biocontragilizér, Mary's (F), UCPENNEY, Sen, Goold-Mary's (F), UCPENNEY, UCPENNEY, UCPENNEY, UCPENNEY, UCPENNEY, UCPENNEY, UCPENNEY, UCPENNEY, UCPEN	36.	Dover Mall	DE	Dover	Ground Lease	68.1% (4)		95.0%	928,097	Macy's, JCPenney, Boscov's, Sears, Carmike Cinemas,
33. Encade Square MA North Attemptor Fee Ground Less (203) (7) 56.4% (4) Acquired 1998 93.7% 1.022.740 Mary's (9). LFPenney, Ser Mary's, Younkers, LFPen Deck's Spuring Code 40. Falls, The Care of t	37.	Edison Mall	FL	Fort Myers		100.0%		94.2%	1,053,577	JCPenney, Sears, Books-A-
39. Empire Mail SD Sinx Falls (sear (2013) (*) Per and Group 100.0% (9) Acquired (900) (*) 97.2% L113.549 Sense, Contrass, IJ-Ver Dick's Sporting Coords (Contrast, IJ-Ver Dick's Sporting Coords (Contrast, IJ-Ver Contrast, Contrast, Contrast, IJ-Ver Contrast, Contrast, Contrast, IJ-Ver Contrast, Contrast, Contre Contrast, Contrast, Contrast, Contrast, Contrast, Contrast, Co	38.		MA	Attleboro (Providence,	Fee	56.4% (4)		93.7%	1,022,740	Macy's (9), JCPenney, Sears
40. Falls. The FL Maani Fee 50.0% (A) Acquired 200% 100.0% 838.081 Bloomingales, Marky, Market AC 41. Fashion (Contrame and Contrame and Cont	39.	Empire Mall	SD		Ground Lease	100.0%		97.2%	1,113,549	Macy's, Younkers, JCPenney, Sears, Gordmans, Hy-Vee, Dick's Sporting Goods
11. Feakhon A. Arlington (Centre at DC) Fee (2007) 42.5% (4) Built (1989) 98.9% 991.609 Nordstrom, Macy's (2007) 42. Fashion Mall Te IN Indanapolis (2007) Fee and (2007) 100.0% Acquired (2007) 94.6% 710.151 Sales Fifh Avenue, Cate in (2007) 43. Fashion Mall Valley CA San Diego Fee 50.0% (4) Acquired 2001 98.4% 1.729.614 Forever, 21, Neiman Marc Biomingdales, Nordstrom, Macy's, Emerse- Biomingdales, Nordstrom Macy's, Emerse- Biomingdales, Nordstrom, Macy's, Emerse- Biomingdales, Nordstrom, Mac	40.	Falls, The	FL	Miami		50.0% (4)		100.0%	838,081	Regal Cinema, The Fresh
42. Fashion Mall The IN Indianapolis Coround Lesse The Fee and Coround Lesse The 100.0% Acquired 201 94.6% 710.151 Basks Fifth Avenue, Craet- Barrel, Nordstrom, Kysta Art Cinema 43. Fashion The CA San Diego Fee 50.0% (4) Acquired 201 98.4% 1,729,614 Forewer 21, Neiman Marc Boomingdats, Nordstrom, Narys, JCPenney, AMC Town Center 44. Firewheel Town Center TX Garland (Dallas) Fee 100.0% Built 2005 98.1% 998,129 Dillard's, Macys, Barnes i Noble, DSW, Cost Plus USBbolies R Us 45. Florida Mall, Florida Mall FL Orlando Fee 50.0% (4) Built 1965 99.5% 1,768,516 Saks Fifth Avenue (19), Nordstrom, Mary S, DILLAR, Nordstrom, Mary S, DILLAR, Nordst	41.	Centre at Pentagon	VA	(Washington,	Fee	42.5% (4)		98.9%	991,609	
43. Fashion Valley CA Valley San Diego Fee 50.0% (4) Acquired 2001 98.4% 1.729.614 Forever 21, Netman Marc Marcy's, JCPenney, AMC 44. Firewheel Town Center TX Garland (Dailas) Fee 100.0% Built 2005 98.1% 998.129 Dillarit's, Marcy's, Diemer, AMC 45. Florida Mall, The FL Orlando Fee 50.0% (4) Built 1986 99.5% 1,768.516 Norkstrom, Marcy's, Diang Code, Stann Mien, Tors World Market, AMC Theatres, Dick's Sporting Code, Stann Mien, Tors World Market, AMC Theatres, Dick Mienter, Dick's Sporting Code, Stann Mienter, Mient	42.	Fashion Mall at Keystone,	IN	Indianapolis	Ground Lease	100.0%		94.6%	710,151	Saks Fifth Avenue, Crate & Barrel, Nordstrom, Keystone Art Cinema
44. Firewheel Town Center TX (Dallas) Garland (Dallas) Fee 100.0% Built 2005 98.1% 998,129 Dillard's, Macy's, Bames, World Market, AMC 45. Florida Mall, The FL Orlando Fee 50.0% (4) Built 1986 99.5% 1,768,516 Sake Fifth Avenue (19), Ordstrom, Macy's, Dillar JCPenney, Sears, H&M, American Girl (6) 46. Forest Mall WI Fond Du Lac Fee 100.0% Built 1973 86.7% 500.273 JCPenney (19), Kohls, Younkers, Sears, Cinema II 47. Forum Shops The NV Las Vegas Ground Lease 100.0% Built 1973 98.0% 671,947 48. Galleria, The Mall TX Houston Fee 50.4% (4) Acquired 2002 98.9% 2,149,969 Sake Fifth Avenue, Neima Marcus, Nordstrom, Macy (9), Galleria Tanis/Athle Club 49. Grear Lakes Mall OH Mentor (Cleveland) Fee and foround Lease 56.4% (4) Acquired 1999 93.5% 429,711 Built Sans, Macy, Solitard's, JCPenney, Sons, Alas Dillard's, Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney, Sons, Alas Dillard's, Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney, Sons, Solitard's, JCPenney, Sons, Solitard'	43.		CA	San Diego		50.0% (4)		98.4%	1,729,614	Theatres, The Container
45. Florida Mall, The FL Orlando Fee 50.0% (4) Built 1986 99.5% 1,768,516 Saks Fifth Avenue (19), Nordstrom, Macy's, Dillar (CPenney, Sears, H&M, American Gift (6) 46. Forest Mall WI Fond Du Lac Fee 100.0% Built 1973 86.7% 500.273 ICPenney, Sears, H&M, American Gift (6) 47. Forum Shops The NV Las Vegas Ground Lease 100.0% Built 1992 98.0% 671,947 Source (19), Kohl's, Younkers, Sears, Cinema II II 48. Galleria, The TX Houston Fee 50.4% (4) Acquired 2002 98.9% 2,149.969 Saks Fifth Avenue, Neima Macrus, Nordstrom, Macy (9), Galleria Tennis/Athle Club 49. Great Lakes Mall OH Mentor (Cleveland) Fee 100.0% Built 1961 91.5% 1,232,358 Dillard's (9), Macy's, ICPenney, Sears, Atlas Cinema St Aoble, Dick's Sporting Goods (6) 50. Greenwood Mall IN Greenwood (Indianapolis) Fee 100.0% Acquired 1979 93.5% 429,711 TJ.Maxx N More, Best Noble, Regal Cinema Nacy's, Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, Dick's Sporting Goods, Barmes & Noble, Regal Cinema Neacy's, Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, Dick's Sporting Goods, Barmes & Noble, Regal Cinema Neacy's	44.		TX		Fee	100.0%		98.1%	998,129	World Market, AMC Theatres, Dick's Sporting Goods, Ethan Allen, Toys 'R
46. Forest Mall WI Fond Du Lac Fee 100.0% Built 1973 86.7% 500,273 JCPenney (19), Kohls, Younkers, Sears, Cinema II 47. Forum Shops at Caesars, The NV Las Vegas Ground Lease (2050) 100.0% Built 1992 98.0% 671,947 48. Galleria, The TX Houston Fee 50.4% (4) Acquired 2002 98.9% 2,149,969 Saks Fifth Avenue, Neima Marcus, Nordstrom, Macy (9), Galleria Tennis/Athlet Chub 49. Great Lakes OH Mentor (Cleveland) Fee 100.0% Built 1961 91.5% 1,232,358 Dillard's (9), Macy's, ICPenney, Sears, Atlas Cinema Stadium 16, Barnes & Noble, Dick's Sporting Goods (6) 50. Greendale Mall MA Worcester (Boston) Fee 100.0% Acquired 1999 93.5% 429,711 TJ, Maxx' N More, Best Buy, DSW, Big Lots 52. Gulf Yiew Square FL Port Richey (Tampa) Fee 100.0% Acquired 1980 90.1% 754,818 Macy's, Von Maur, ICPenney, Sears, Dick's Sporting Goods, Barnes & Noble, Regal Cinema Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenne (1996) Sass Bel Buy, T.J. Maxx 53. Haywood Mall SC Greenville Ground Lease (2067) (7)<	45.		FL	Orlando	Fee	50.0% (4)		99.5%	1,768,516	Nordstrom, Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, H&M, Forever 21, Zara (18),
at Caesars, TheLease (2050)199248.Galleria, The (Caleria, The MallTXHousonFee50.4% (4)Acquired 200298.9%2,149,969Saks Fifth Avenue, Neima Marcus, Nordstrom, Macy (9), Galleria Tennis/Athlet Club49.Great Lakes MallOH (Cleveland)Mentor (Cleveland)Fee100.0%Built 196191.5%1,232,358Dillard's (9), Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Atlas Cinema Stadium 16, Barnes & Noble, Dick's Sporting Goods (6)50.Greendale MallMA (Boston)Fee and (Boston)56.4% (4)Acquired 199993.5%429,711TJ, Maxx 'N More, Best Buy, DSW, Big Lots51.Greenwood Park MallIN (Indianapolis)Fee100.0% FeeAcquired 197996.6%1,288,320 1288,320Macy's, Von Maur, JCPenney, Sears, Dick's Sporting Goods, Barnes & Noble, Regal Cinema Madey's, Dillard's, JCPenne (Tampa)52.Gulf View QuareFL (Tampa)Port Richey (Tampa)Fee and foround Lease (2019) (7)90.1%754,818 1980Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenne Sears, Belk UT, UA Maxy, Sontilard's, JCPenne Sears, Belk100.0% (Cleveland)Acquired 199897.8%866,145Dillard's, Macy's, Sears Sonting Cooks, Sames & Sonting Cooks, Sames & Sonting Cooks (Creveland)53.Independence (Kansas City)Fee100.0% (Coop(7) (7)Acquired 199697.8%866,145Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenne Sears, AMC Theatres Sears, AMC Theatres Sears, AMC Theatres54. <td>46.</td> <td>Forest Mall</td> <td>WI</td> <td>Fond Du Lac</td> <td>Fee</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td></td> <td>86.7%</td> <td>500,273</td> <td>JCPenney (19), Kohl's, Younkers, Sears, Cinema I &</td>	46.	Forest Mall	WI	Fond Du Lac	Fee	100.0%		86.7%	500,273	JCPenney (19), Kohl's, Younkers, Sears, Cinema I &
48. Galleria, The TX Houston Fee 50.4% (4) Acquired 2002 98.9% 2,149,969 Saks Fifth Avenue, Neima Marcus, Nordstrom, Macy (9), Galleria Tennis Athlet Club 49. Great Lakes OH Mentor (Cleveland) Fee 100.0% Built 1961 91.5% 1,232,358 Dillard's (9), Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Atlas Clinema Stadium 16, Barnes & Noble, Dick's Sporting Goods (6) 50. Greendale Mall MA Worcester (Boston) Fee and Ground Lease (2019) (7) 56.4% (4) Acquired 1999 93.5% 429,711 TJ. Maxx 'N More, Best Buy, DSW, Big Lots 51. Greenwood Park Mall IN Greenwood (Indianapolis) Fee 100.0% Acquired 1979 96.6% 1,288,320 Macy's, Von Maur, JCPenney, Sears, Dick's Sporting Goods, Barnes & Noble, Regal Clinema (19), Sears, Best Buy, TJ. Maxx 52. Gulf View Square FL Port Richey (Tampa) Fee 100.0% Acquired 1980 90.1% 754,818 Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenne (19), Sears, Best Buy, TJ. Maxx 53. Haywood Mall SC Greenville Fee and Ground Lease (2067) (7) 1998 98.8% 1,229,033 Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenne Sears, Belk 53. Independence Center	47.	at Caesars,	NV	Las Vegas	Lease	100.0%		98.0%	671,947	
Mall(Cleveland)1961JCPenney, Sears, Atlas Cinema Stadium 16, Barnes & Noble, Dick's Sporting Goods (6)50.Greendale MallMAWorcester (Boston)Fee and Ground Lease (2019) (7)Acquired 199993.5%429,711T.J. Maxx 'N More, Best Buy, DSW, Big Lots51.Greenwood Park MallINGreenwood (Indianapolis)Fee100.0%Acquired 197996.6%1,288,320Macy's, Von Maur, JCPenney, Sears, Dick's Sporting Goods, Barnes & Noble, Regal Cinema52.Gulf View SquareFLPort Richey (Tampa)Fee100.0%Built 198090.1%754,818 20.1%Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenne Sears, Belk53.Haywood MallSCGreenville Ground Lease (2057) (7)100.0%Acquired 199497.8%866,145Dillard's, Macy's, Sears54.Independence CenterMOIndependence (Kansas City)Fee100.0%Acquired 199497.8%866,145Dillard's, Macy's, Sears55.Indian River MallFLVero BeachFee50.0% (4)Built 199487.3%736,141Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenne Sears, AMC Thearers56.Ingram ParkTXSan AntonioFee100.0%Built97.7%1,120,881Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenne Sears, AMC Thearers	48.	Galleria, The	TX	Houston	Fee	50.4% (4)		98.9%	2,149,969	Saks Fifth Avenue, Neiman Marcus, Nordstrom, Macy's (9), Galleria Tennis/Athletic Club
50.Greendale MallMA MallWorcester (Boston)Fee and Ground Lease (2019) (7)56.4% (4) Acquired 1999Acquired 199993.5%429,711T.J. Maxx 'N More, Best Buy, DSW, Big Lots51.Greenwood Park MallIN Park MallGreenwood (Indianapolis)Fee100.0% PeeAcquired 197996.6%1,288,320 PeeMacy's, Von Maur, JCPenney, Sears, Dick's Sporting Goods, Barnes & Noble, Regal Cinema (19), Sears, Best Buy, T.J. Maxx52.Gulf View SquareFL (Tampa)Fee100.0% PeeBuilt 198090.1%754,818 Point Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney (19), Sears, Best Buy, T.J. Maxx53.Haywood MallSC Conund Lease (2067) (7)Fee and Fee100.0% PeeAcquired 199898.8%1,229,033 PeisonMacy's, Dillard's, JCPenney Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney Sears, Belk54.Independence CenterMO (Kansas City)Fee100.0% FeeAcquired 199497.8%866,145Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenney Sears, AMC Theatres 199655.Indian River MallFL MallVero Beach FeeFee50.0% (4)Built 199687.3%736,141Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenne Sears, AMC Theatres 199456.Ingram Park MallTX San AntonioFee100.0% FeeBuilt 199697.7%1,120,881Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenne Sears, AMC Theatres	49.		ОН		Fee	100.0%		91.5%	1,232,358	JCPenney, Sears, Atlas Cinema Stadium 16, Barnes & Noble, Dick's
51. Greenwood Park Mall IN Park Mall Greenwood (Indianapolis) Fee 100.0% Acquired 1979 96.6% 1,288,320 Macy's, Von Maur, JCPenney, Sears, Dick's Sporting Goods, Barnes & Noble, Regal Cinema 52. Gulf View Square FL Volbe, Regal Cinema Port Richey (Tampa) Fee 100.0% Built 1980 90.1% 754,818 Macy's, Von Maur, JCPenney, Sears, Dick's Sporting Goods, Barnes & Noble, Regal Cinema 53. Haywood Mall SC Greenville Fee and Ground Lease (2067) (7) 100.0% Acquired 1998 98.8% 1,229,033 Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney Sears, Belk 54. Independence Center MO Independence (Kansas City) Fee 100.0% Acquired 1994 97.8% 866,145 Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenney Sears, AMC Theatres 55. Indian River Mall FL Vero Beach Fee 50.0% (4) Built 1996 87.3% 736,141 Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenney Sears, AMC Theatres 56. Ingram Park TX San Antonio Fee 100.0% Built 97.7% 1,120,881 Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenney Sears, AMC Theatres	50.		MA		Ground Lease	56.4% (4)		93.5%	429,711	T.J. Maxx 'N More, Best
52. Gulf View Square FL Square Port Richey (Tampa) Fee 100.0% Built 1980 90.1% 754,818 Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenne (19), Sears, Best Buy, T.J. Maxx 53. Haywood Mall SC Greenville Fee and Ground Lease (2067) (7) 100.0% Acquired 1998 98.8% 1,229,033 Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenne Sears, Belk 54. Independence Center MO Independence (Kansas City) Fee 100.0% Acquired 1994 97.8% 866,145 Dillard's, Macy's, Sears 55. Indian River Mall FL Vero Beach Fee 50.0% (4) Built 1996 87.3% 736,141 Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenne Sears, AMC Theatres 56. Ingram Park TX San Antonio Fee 100.0% Built 97.7% 1,120,881 Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenne	51.		IN			100.0%		96.6%	1,288,320	JCPenney, Sears, Dick's Sporting Goods, Barnes &
53. Haywood Mall SC Greenville Fee and Ground Lease (2067) (7) 100.0% Acquired 1998 98.8% 1,229,033 Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenne Sears, Belk 54. Independence Center MO Independence (Kansas City) Fee 100.0% Acquired 1994 97.8% 866,145 Dillard's, Macy's, Sears 55. Indian River Mall FL Vero Beach Fee 50.0% (4) Built 1996 87.3% 736,141 Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenne Sears, AMC Theatres 56. Ingram Park TX San Antonio Fee 100.0% Built 97.7% 1,120,881 Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenne	52.		FL		Fee	100.0%		90.1%	754,818	Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney (19), Sears, Best Buy, T.J.
54. Independence Center MO Independence (Kansas City) Fee 100.0% Acquired 1994 97.8% 866,145 Dillard's, Macy's, Sears 55. Indian River Mall FL Vero Beach Fee 50.0% (4) Built 87.3% 736,141 Dillard's, Macy's, JCPennu Sears, AMC Theatres 56. Ingram Park TX San Antonio Fee 100.0% Built 97.7% 1,120,881 Dillard's, Macy's, JCPennu	53.		SC	Greenville	Ground Lease	100.0%		98.8%	1,229,033	Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney,
55. Indian River Mall FL Vero Beach Fee 50.0% (4) Built 87.3% 736,141 Dillard's, Macy's, JCPennu Sears, AMC Theatres 56. Ingram Park TX San Antonio Fee 100.0% Built 97.7% 1,120,881 Dillard's, Macy's, JCPennu	54.		MO			100.0%		97.8%	866,145	Dillard's, Macy's, Sears
56. Ingram Park TX San Antonio Fee 100.0% Built 97.7% 1,120,881 Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenne	55.	Indian River	FL		Fee	50.0% (4)	Built	87.3%	736,141	Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenney,
	56.		ТХ	San Antonio	Fee	100.0%		97.7%	1,120,881	Sears, AMC Theatres Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Bealls, (8)

57.	Irving Mall	ТХ	Irving (Dallas)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1971	89.9%	1,052,527	Macy's, Dillard's, Sears, Burlington Coat Factory, La Vida Fashion and Home Décor, AMC Theatres, Fitness Connection, Shoppers World
58.	Jefferson Valley Mall	NY	Yorktown Heights (New York)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1983	89.2%	555,950	Macy's, Sears
59.	King of Prussia Mall	PA	King of Prussia (Philadelphia)	Fee	96.1%	Acquired 2003	94.1%	2,475,088	Neiman Marcus, Bloomingdale's, Nordstrom, Lord & Taylor, Macy's, JCPenney, Sears (6), Crate & Barrel, Arhaus Furniture, The Container Store (6), Dick's Sporting Goods (6)
					14	L			

				Ownership Interest (Expiration if	Legal	Year Built or			Retail Anchors and Selected
	Property Name	State	City (CBSA)	Lease) (3)	Ownership	or Acquired	Occupancy (5)	Total GLA	Major Tenants
0.	Knoxville	TN	Knoxville	Fee	100.0%	Built	76.4%	961,007	JCPenney, Belk, Sears, The Rush
51.	Center La Plaza	TX	McAllen	Fee and	100.0%	1984 Built	98.3%	1,221,369	Fitness Center, Regal Cinema Macy's (9), Dillard's, JCPenney,
	Mall			Ground Lease (2040) (7)		1976			Sears, Joe Brand
52.	Lakeline Mall	ΤX	Cedar Park (Austin)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1995	98.0%	1,097,510	Dillard's (9), Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Regal Cinema
53.	Lehigh Valley Mall	PA	Whitehall	Fee	38.0% (4)		97.9%	1,180,061	Macy's, JCPenney, Boscov's, Barnes & Noble, hhgregg, Babie
4.	Lenox Square	GA	Atlanta	Fee	(15 100.0%) Acquired 1998	97.8%	1,556,863	'R Us Neiman Marcus, Bloomingdale's
65.	Liberty Tree Mall	MA	Danvers (Boston)	Fee	49.1% (4)	Acquired 1999	95.0%	856,240	Macy's Marshalls, Sports Authority, Target, Kohl's, Best Buy, Staples AC Moore, AMC Theatres, Nordstrom Rack, Off Broadway Shoes, (8)
66.	Lima Mall	OH	Lima	Fee	100.0%	Built 1965	95.5%	743,356	Macy's, JCPenney, Elder- Beerman, Sears, MC Sporting Goods
67.	Lincolnwood	IL	Lincolnwood	Fee	100.0%	Built	94.0%	421,773	Kohl's, Carson's
68.	Town Center Lindale Mall	IA	(Chicago) Cedar Rapids	Fee	100.0%	1990 Acquired 1998	97.0%	712,682	Von Maur, Sears, Younkers
59.	Livingston	NJ	Livingston (Name March)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired	92.8%	968,028	Macy's, Lord & Taylor, Sears,
70.	Mall Longview	TX	(New York) Longview	Fee	100.0%	1998 Built	95.9%	638,520	Barnes & Noble Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, Beall
71.	Mall Mall at Chestnut	MA	Chestnut Hill (Boston)	Fee	94.4%	1978 Acquired 2002	97.4%	468,992	La Patricia Bloomingdale's (9)
72.	Hill, The Mall at Rockingham Park, The	NH	Salem (Boston)	Fee	28.2% (4)	Acquired 1999	96.5%	1,020,524	JCPenney, Sears, Macy's, Lord & Taylor
73.	Mall at Tuttle Crossing, The	ОН	Dublin (Columbus)	Fee	50.0% (4)	Acquired 2007	96.3%	1,128,407	Macy's (9), JCPenney, Sears
74.	Mall of Georgia	GA	Buford (Atlanta)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1999	96.4%	1,817,390	Nordstrom, Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenney, Belk, Dick's Sporting Goods, Barnes & Noble, Haverty's Furniture, Regal Cinema
75.	Mall of New Hampshire, The	NH	Manchester	Fee	56.4% (4)	Acquired 1999	96.1%	811,241	Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Best Buy, A.C. Moore
76.	Maplewood Mall	MN	St. Paul (Minneapolis)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2002	93.6%	926,291	Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Kohl's, Barnes & Noble
77.	Markland Mall	IN	Kokomo	Ground Lease (2041)	100.0%	Built 1968	99.0%	418,193	Sears, Target, MC Sporting Goods, Carson's
78.	McCain Mall	AR	N. Little Rock	Fee	100.0%	Built 1973	92.2%	786,997	Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, Regal Cinema
79.	Meadowood	NV	Reno	Fee		Acquired	95.3%	883,567	Macy's (9), Sears, JCPenney, (8)
30.	Mall Melbourne Square	FL	Melbourne	Fee	(4) 100.0%	2007 Built 1982	89.7%	702,105	Macy's, Dillard's (9), JCPenney, Dick's Sporting Goods, L.A. Fitness (6)
31.	Menlo Park Mall	NJ	Edison (New York)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1997	98.9%	1,319,598	Nordstrom, Macy's, Barnes & Noble, AMC Dine-In Theatre, WOW! Work Out World, Fortunoff Backyard Store
82.	Mesa Mall	CO	Grand Junction	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	95.8%	880,469	Sears, Herberger's, JCPenney, Target, Cabela's, Sports Authority Jo-Ann Fabrics
33.	Miami International Mall	FL	Miami	Fee	47.8% (4)	Built 1982	94.6%	1,084,606	Macy's (9), JCPenney, Sears, Kohl's
84.	Midland Park Mall	ΤХ	Midland	Fee	100.0%	Built 1980	98.1%	621,710	Dillard's (9), JCPenney, Sears, Bealls, Ross Dress for Less
85.	Mall Miller Hill Mall	MN	Duluth	Fee	100.0%	1980 Built 1973	98.8%	833,203	JCPenney, Sears, Younkers, Barnes & Noble, DSW, Dick's Sporting Goods
36.	Montgomery	PA	North Wales	Fee	60.0%	Acquired	80.6%	1,125,227	Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Dick's
87.	Mall Muncie Mall	IN	(Philadelphia) Muncie	Fee	(15 100.0%	Built	99.5%	635,840	Sporting Goods, Wegmans Macy's, JCPenney, Sears,
38.	North East Mall	TX	Hurst (Dallas)	Fee	100.0%	1970 Built 1971	97.8%	1,669,736	Carson's Nordstrom, Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Dick's Sporting
89.	Northgate Mall	WA	Seattle	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1987	99.6%	1,053,259	Goods, Rave Theatre Nordstrom, Macy's, JCPenney, Barnes & Noble, Bed Bath & Boycond, DSW, Nordstrom Back
90.	Northlake Mall	GA	Atlanta	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	91.3%	963,134	Beyond, DSW, Nordstrom Rack Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Kohl's
91.	Northshore Mall	MA	Peabody (Boston)	Fee	56.4% (4)	Acquired 1999	97.0%	1,592,107	JCPenney, Sears, Nordstrom, Macy's Men's & Furniture, Macys, Barnes & Noble, Toys 'R Us, Shaw's Grocery, The

15

				Ownership Interest (Expiration if	Legal	Year Built or			Retail Anchors and Selected
92.	Property Name Northwoods Mall	e <u>State</u> IL	City (CBSA) Peoria	Lease) (3) Fee	Ownership 100.0%	Acquired Acquired 1983	Occupancy (5) 96.7%	Total GLA 693,369	Major Tenants Macy's, JCPenney, Sears
93.	Oak Court Mall	TN	Memphis	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1997	93.2%	849,785	Dillard's (9), Macy's
94.	Ocean County Mall	NJ	Toms River (New York)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	92.6%	898,361	Macy's, Boscov's, JCPenney, Sears
95.	Orange Park Mall	FL	Orange Park (Jacksonville)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1994	99.0%	959,331	Dillard's, JCPenney Sears, Belk, Dick's Sporting Goods, AMC Theatres
96.	Orland Square	IL	Orland Park (Chicago)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1997	96.5%	1,234,795	Macy's, Carson's, JCPenney, Sears, Dave & Buster's
97.	Oxford Valley Mall	PA	Langhorne (Philadelphia)	Fee	64.9% (15)	Acquired 2003	89.4%	1,332,132	Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, United Artists Theatre, (8)
98.	Paddock Mall	FL	Ocala	Fee	100.0%	Built 1980	91.9%	552,603	Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Belk
99.	Penn Square Mall	OK	Oklahoma City	Ground Lease (2060)	94.5%	Acquired 2002	98.9%	1,063,729	Macy's, Dillard's (9), JCPenney, AMC Theatres
100.	Pheasant Lane Mall	NH	Nashua	_	0.0% (14)	Acquired 2002	96.7%	979,652	JCPenney, Sears, Target, Macy's, Dick's Sporting Goods
101.	Phipps Plaza	GA	Atlanta	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	93.5%	831,365	Saks Fifth Avenue, Nordstrom, Belk, AMC Theatres, Arhaus Furniture, Legoland Discovery Center
102.	Plaza Carolina	PR	Carolina (San Juan)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	98.1%	1,109,680	JCPenney, Sears, Tiendas Capri, Econo, Best Buy, T.J. Maxx, DSW, Sports Authority (6)
103.	Port Charlotte Town Center	FL	Port Charlotte	Fee	80.0% (12)	Built 1989	88.7%	764,717	Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenney, Bealls, Sears, DSW, Regal Cinema
104.	Prien Lake Mall	LA	Lake Charles	Fee and Ground Lease (2040) (7)	100.0%	Built 1972	97.5%	847,902	Dillard's, JCPenney Sears, Cinemark Theatres, Kohl's, Dick's Sporting Goods
105.	Quaker Bridge Mall	NJ	Lawrenceville	Fee	50.0% (4)	Acquired 2003	95.1%	1,083,452	Macy's, Lord & Taylor, JCPenney, Sears
106.	Richmond Town Square	OH	Richmond Heights (Cleveland)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1966	94.5%	1,011,688	Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Regal Cinema
107.	River Oaks Center	IL	Calumet City (Chicago)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1997	98.8%	1,192,836	Macy's, JCPenney, (8)
108.	Rockaway Townsquare	NJ	Rockaway (New York)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	95.0%	1,246,823	Macy's, Lord & Taylor, JCPenney, Sears
109.	Rolling Oaks Mall	ТΧ	San Antonio	Fee	100.0%	Built 1988	89.4%	882,349	Dillard's, Macy's, JCPenney, Sears
110.	Roosevelt Field	NY	Garden City (New York)	Fee and Ground Lease (2090) (7)	100.0%	Acquired 1998	96.8%	2,227,923	Bloomingdale's, Bloomingdale's Furniture Gallery, Nordstrom, Macy's, JCPenney, Dick's Sporting Goods, Loews Theatre, XSport Fitness, Neiman Marcus (6)
111.	Ross Park Mall	PA	Pittsburgh	Fee	100.0%	Built 1986	99.3%	1,240,541	JCPenney, Sears, Nordstrom, L.L. Bean, Macy's, Crate & Barrel
112.	Rushmore Mall	SD	Rapid City	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	78.3%	829,292	JCPenney, Herberger's, Sears, Carmike Cinemas, Hobby Lobby, Toys 'R Us
113.	Santa Rosa Plaza	CA	Santa Rosa	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	94.7%	694,172	Macy's, Sears, Forever 21
114.	Seminole Towne Center	FL	Sanford (Orlando)	Fee	45.0% (4)(2)	Built 1995	84.7%	1,104,631	Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, United Artists Theatre, Dick's Sporting Goods, Burlington Coat Factory
115.	Shops at Nanuet, The	NY	Nanuet	Fee	100.0%	Redeveloped 2013	95.7%	750,092	Macy's, Sears, Fairway Market, Regal Cinema, 24 Hour Fitness
116.	Shops at Mission Viejo, The	CA	Mission Viejo (Los Angeles)	Fee	51.0% (4)	Built 1979	99.7%	1,151,846	Nordstrom, Macy's Women's, Macy's Men's and Furniture, Forever 21
117.	Shops at Riverside,	NJ	Hackensack (New York)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2007	95.6%	770,808	Bloomingdale's, Saks Fifth Avenue,

	The								Barnes & Noble, Arhaus Furniture
118.	Shops at Sunset Place, The	FL	S. Miami	Fee	37.5% (4)(2)	Built 1999	80.2%	513,896	Barnes & Noble, Gametime, Z Gallerie, LA Fitness, AMC Theatres, Splitsville, (8)
					16				

	Burn i Y	- G t - 1		Ownership Interest (Expiration if	Legal	Year Built or		must or t	Retail Anchors and Selected Major
119.	Property Name Smith Haven Mall	e <u>State</u> NY	City (CBSA) Lake Grove (New York)	Lease) (3) Fee	<u>Ownership</u> 25.0% (4)(2)	Acquired Acquired 1995	Occupancy (5) 96.6%	Total GLA 1,291,726	Tenants Macy's, Macy's Furniture Gallery, JCPenney, Sears, Dick's Sporting Goods, Barnes & Noble
120.	Solomon	MA	Marlborough	Fee	56.4% (4)	Acquired	96.4%	883,446	Macy's, JCPenney,
121.	Pond Mall South Hills Village	PA	(Boston) Pittsburgh	Fee	100.0%	1999 Acquired 1997	95.7%	1,121,941	Sears, Regal Cinema Macy's, Macy's Furniture Gallery, Sears, Barnes & Noble, Carmike Cinemas, Dick's Sporting Goods, Target, DSW (6), Ulta (6)
122.	South Shore Plaza	MA	Braintree (Boston)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	97.8%	1,583,996	Macy's, Lord & Taylor, Sears, Nordstrom, Target, DSW
123.	Southdale Center	MN	Edina (Minneapolis)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2007	85.5%	1,270,149	Macy's, JCPenney, AMC Theatres, Herberger's, Gordmans (6)
124.	Southern Hills Mall	IA	Sioux City	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	88.8%	794,407	Younkers, JCPenney, Sears, Scheel's All Sports, Barnes & Noble, Carmike Cinemas, Hy-Vee
125.	Southern Park Mall	ОН	Youngstown	Fee	100.0%	Built 1970	85.9%	1,201,877	Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, Cinemark Theatres
126.	SouthPark	NC	Charlotte	Fee and Ground Lease (2040) (10)	100.0%	Acquired 2002	94.9%	1,675,660	Neiman Marcus, Nordstrom, Macy's, Dillard's, Belk, Dick's Sporting Goods, Crate & Barrel, The Container Store
127.	Southridge Mall	WI	Greendale (Milwaukee)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2007	93.1%	1,171,431	JCPenney, Sears, Kohl's, Boston Store, Macy's
128.	Springfield Mall (1)	PA	Springfield (Philadelphia)	Fee	38.0% (4)(15)	Acquired 2005	85.3%	610,965	Macy's, Target
129.	Square One Mall	MA	(Boston)	Fee	56.4% (4)	Acquired 1999	98.8%	929,978	Macy's, Sears, Best Buy, T.J. Maxx N More (18), Dick's Sporting Goods, Work Out World, BD's (6)
130.	St. Charles Towne Center	MD	Waldorf (Washington, D.C.)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1990	97.0%	980,757	Macy's (9), JCPenney, Sears, Kohl's, Dick Sporting Goods, AMC Theatres
131.	St. Johns Town Center	FL	Jacksonville	Fee	50.0% (4)	Built 2005	100.0%	1,235,037	Dillard's, Target, Ashley Furniture Home Store, Barnes & Noble, Dick's Sporting Goods, Ross Dress for Less, Staples, DSW, JoAnn Fabrics, PetsMart, Nordstrom (6)
132.	Stanford Shopping Center	CA	Palo Alto (San Jose)	Ground Lease (2054)	100.0%	Acquired 2003	99.4%	1,343,649	Neiman Marcus, Bloomingdale's (18), Nordstrom, Macy's (9), Crate and Barrel, The Container Store
133.	Stoneridge Shopping Center	CA	Pleasanton (San Francisco)	Fee	49.9% (4)	Acquired 2007	100.0%	1,301,210	Macy's (9), Nordstrom, Sears, JCPenney
134.	Summit Mall	OH	Akron	Fee	100.0%	Built 1965	96.6%	769,431	Dillard's (9), Macy's
135.	Sunland Park Mall	ΤX	El Paso	Fee	100.0%	Built 1988	96.4%	922,209	Macy's, Dillard's (9), Sears, Forever 21, Cinemark
136.	Tacoma Mall	WA	Tacoma (Seattle)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1987	99.0%	1,334,928	Nordstrom, Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, David's Bridal, Forever 21
137.	Tippecanoe Mall	IN	Lafayette	Fee	100.0%	Built 1973	98.4%	864,239	Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Kohl's, Dick's Sporting Goods, hhgregg
138.	Town Center at Aurora	CO	Aurora (Denver)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	91.9%	1,082,240	Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, Century Theatres
139.	Town Center at Boca Raton	FL	Boca Raton (Miami)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	99.8%	1,780,037	Saks Fifth Avenue, Neiman Marcus, Bloomingdale's, Nordstrom, Macy's, Sears, Crate & Barrel, The Container Store
140.	Town Center at Cobb	GA	Kennesaw (Atlanta)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	94.7%	1,279,979	Belk, Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Macy's Men's & Furniture
141.	Towne East	KS	Wichita	Fee	100.0%	Built	98.0%	1,134,172	Dillard's, Von Maur,
142.	Square Towne West Square	KS	Wichita	Fee	100.0%	1975 Built 1980	82.9%	941,344	JCPenney, Sears Dillard's (9), JCPenney, Sears, Dick's Sporting Goods, The Movie Machine

143.	Treasure Coast Square	FL	Jensen Beach	Fee	100.0%	Built 1987	94.4%	876,438	Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, hhgregg, Regal Cinema			
144.	Tyrone Square	FL	St. Petersburg (Tampa)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1972	99.2%	1,094,864	Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, DSW			
	17											

			City	Ownership Interest (Expiration if	Legal	Year Built or			Retail Anchors and
	Property Nam	e State	(CBSA)	Lease) (3)	Ownership	Acquired	Occupancy (5)	Total GLA	Selected Major Tenants
145.	University Park Mall	IN	Mishawaka	Fee	100.0%	Built 1979	97.7%	921,134	Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Barnes & Noble
146.	Valle Vista Mall	ТХ	Harlingen	Fee	100.0%	Built 1983	73.0%	650,634	Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, Big Lots, Forever 21
147.	Virginia Center Commons	VA	Glen Allen	Fee	100.0%	Built 1991	81.1%	774,503	Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Burlington Coat Factory, American Family Fitness (6)
148.	Walt Whitman Shops	NY	Huntington Station (New York)	Fee and Ground Lease (2032) (7)	100.0%	Acquired 1998	97.2%	1,078,406	Saks Fifth Avenue, Bloomingdale's, Lord & Taylor, Macy's
149.	West Ridge Mall	KS	Topeka	Fee	100.0%	Built 1988	85.5%	991,756	Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, Burlington Coat Factory, Furniture Mall of Kansas
150.	West Town Mall	TN	Knoxville	Ground Lease (2042)	50.0% (4)	Acquired 1991	96.5%	1,334,526	Belk (9), Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, Regal Cinema
151.	Westchester, The	NY	White Plains (New York)	Fee	40.0% (4)	Acquired 1997	95.9%	826,440	Neiman Marcus, Nordstrom
152.	Westminster Mall	CA	Westminster (Los Angeles)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	90.8%	1,198,549	Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, Target, DSW, Chuze Fitness
153.	White Oaks Mall	IL	Springfield	Fee	80.7%	Built 1977	92.3%	924,946	Macy's, Bergner's, Sears, Dick's Sporting Goods, hhgregg, LA Fitness
154.	Wolfchase Galleria	TN	Memphis	Fee	94.5%	Acquired 2002	95.2%	1,152,196	Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears, Malco Theatres
155.	Woodfield Mall	IL	Schaumburg (Chicago)	Fee	50.0% (4)	Acquired 2012	92.2%	2,172,434	Nordstrom, Macy's, Lord & Taylor, JCPenney, Sears, Arhaus Furniture (6)
156.	Woodland Hills Mall	OK	Tulsa	Fee	94.5%	Acquired 2002	99.5%	1,086,690	Macy's, Dillard's, JCPenney, Sears
	Total Mall GLA							161,461,866 (16)	

18

					0.0. Hoperaes				
				Ownership Interest (Expiration if	Legal	Year Built or			Retail Anchors and Selected Major
	Property Nam Premium	e <u>State</u>	City (CBSA)	Lease) (3)	Ownership	Acquired (Occupancy (5)	Total GLA	Tenants
1.	Outlets Albertville Premium Outlets	MN	Albertville (Minneapolis)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	96.9%	429,582	Adidas, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Calvin Klein, Coach, Columbia Sportswear, Gap Outlet, Guess, Kenneth Cole, Michael Kors, Nautica, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Tommy Hilfiger, Under Armour
2.	Allen Premium Outlets	TX	Allen (Dallas)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	98.7%	441,709	Adidas, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Cole Haan, Columbia Sportswear, Gap Outlet, Guess, J.Crew, Michael Kors, Lacoste, Last Call by Neiman Marcus, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Tommy Hilfiger
3.	Aurora Farms Premium Outlets	ОН	Aurora (Cleveland)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	99.7%	285,120	Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Gap Outlet, Michael Kors, Nautica, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Tommy Hilfiger, Under
4.	Birch Run Premium Outlets	MI	Birch Run (Detroit)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2010	92.4%	678,694	Armour Adidas, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, BCBG Max Azria, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Guess, J.Crew, Lacoste, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Puma, Tommy Hilfiger, The North Face
5.	Calhoun Premium Outlets	GA	Calhoun	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2010	96.9%	254,052	Ann Taylor, Carter's, Coach, Gap Outlet, Gymboree, Jones New York, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Tommy Hilfiger
6.	Camarillo Premium Outlets	CA	Camarillo (Los Angeles)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	100.0%	674,331	Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Diesel, Forever 21, Giorgio Armani, Hugo Boss, Last Call by Neiman Marcus, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Saks

									Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Sony, Tommy Hilfiger, Tory
7.	Carlsbad Premium Outlets	CA	Carlsbad (San Diego)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	100.0%	288,357	Burch Adidas, Banana Republic, BCBG Max Azria, Calvin Klein, Coach, Cole Haan, DKNY, Elie Tahari, Gap Outlet, Lacoste, Michael Kors, Polo Ralph Lauren, Theory
8.	Carolina Premium Outlets	NC	Smithfield (Raleigh)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	99.2%	438,897	Adidas, Banana Republic, Brothers, Coach, Gap Outlet, J.Crew, Levi's, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Talbots, Tommy Hillfiger, Under Armour
9.	Chicago Premium Outlets (20)	ΙL	Aurora (Chicago)	Fee	100.0%	Built 2004	99.5%	437,341	Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Diesel, Elie Tahari, Gap Outlet, Giorgio Armani, J.Crew, Kate Spade New York, Lacoste, Michael Kors, Polo Ralph Lauren, Salvatore Ferragamo, Sony, Theory
10.	Cincinnati Premium Outlets	ОН	Monroe (Cincinnati)	Fee	100.0%	Built 2009	99.8%	398,869	Adidas, Adidas, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Coach, Cole Haan, Gap Outlet, J.Crew, Lacoste, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Tommy Hilfiger, The North Face
11.	Clinton Crossing Premium Outlets	СТ	Clinton	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	99.3%	276,218	Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Cole Haan, Gap Outlet, J.Crew, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Talbots, Tommy Hillfiger
12.	Columbia Gorge Premium Outlets	OR	Troutdale (Portland)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	89.6%	163,699	Adidas, Carter's, Coach, Eddie Bauer, Gap Outlet, Gymboree, Levi's, Tommy Hilfiger
13.	Desert Hills Premium Outlets (20)	CA	Cabazon (Palm Springs)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	99.3%	494,490	Burberry, Coach, Dior, Elie Tahari, Giorgio Armani, Gucci, Lacoste, Last Call by Neiman Marcus, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Prada, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Salvatore Ferragamo, Theory, Tory

									Burch, True Religion, Yves Saint Laurent, Zegna
14.	Edinburgh Premium Outlets	IN	Edinburgh (Indianapolis)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	99.3%	377,826	Adidas, American Eagle Outfitters, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Calvin Klein, Coach, Coldwater Creek, DKNY, Gap Outlet, J.Crew, Levi's, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Tommy Hilfiger, Under Armour, White House Black Market
15.	Ellenton Premium Outlets	FL	Ellenton (Tampa)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2010	99.2%	476,510	Ann Taylor, Adidas, Banana Republic, Calvin Klein, Coach, DKNY, J.Crew, Kate Spade New York, Kenneth Cole, Lacoste, Lucky Brand, Michael Kors, Movado, Nike, Puma, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th
16.	Folsom Premium Outlets	CA	Folsom (Sacramento)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	99.5%	297,719	BCBG Max Azria, Banana Republic, Calvin Klein, Coach, Forever 21, Gap Outlet, Guess, Kenneth Cole, Loft Outlet, Nautica, Nike, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Tommy Hilfiger
17.	Gaffney Premium Outlets	SC	Gaffney (Greenville/Charlotte)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2010	91.0%	359,753	Adidas, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, BCBG Max Azria, Brooks Brothers, Coach, Gap Outlet, J.Crew, Michael Kors, Nautica, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren
18.	Gilroy Premium Outlets	CA	Gilroy (San Jose)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	99.2%	577,902	Adidas, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Elie Tahari, Forever 21, Hugo Boss, J.Crew, Lululemon, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Saks Fifth Avenue Off Sth, Sony, The North Face, Tommy Hilfiger, True Religion
19.	Grand Prairie Premium Outlets	ΤΧ	Grand Prairie (Dallas)	Fee	100.0%	Built 2012	100.0%	417,415	Bloomingdale's The Outlet Store, Coach, Cole Haan, DKNY, Hugo Boss, Kate Spade New York, J.Crew, Lucky Brand, Michael Kors, Nike, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Talbots, Tommy Hilfiger, Vince Camuto

				Ownership Interest (Expiration if	Legal	Year Built or			Retail Anchors and Selected Major
20	Property Nam Grove City	e <u>State</u> PA	City (CBSA) Grove City	Lease) (3) Fee	Ownership 100.0%	Acquired Acquired	Occupancy (5) 98.6%	Total GLA 531,713	Tenants American
	Premium Outlets		(Pittsburgh)			2010			Eagle Outfitters, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, BCBG Max Azria, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Gap Outlet, Guess, J.Crew, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, The North Face, Under Armour, Vera Bradley
	Gulfport Premium Outlets	MS	Gulfport	Ground Lease (2059)	100.0%	Acquired 2010	98.8%	300,250	Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, BCBG Max Azria, Coach, Gap Outlet, J.Crew, Nautica, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Talbots, Tommy Hilfiger, Under Armour
22.	Hagerstown Premium Outlets	MD	Hagerstown (Baltimore/Washington DC)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2010	99.9%	485,050	Adidas, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Gap Outlet, Guess, J.Crew, Kate Spade New York, Lee Jeans, Nike, The North Face, Timberland, Tommy Hilfiger, Under Armour
23.	Houston Premium Outlets	ΤΧ	Cypress (Houston)	Fee	100.0%	Built 2008	100.0%	541,634	Ann Taylor, A/X Armani Exchange, Banana Republic, Burberry, Calvin Klein, Coach, Cole Haan, DKNY, Elie Tahari, Gap Outlet, J.Crew, Juicy Couture, Lucky Brand, Michael Kors, Nike, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Tommy Hilfiger, Tory Burch
	Jackson Premium Outlets	NJ	Jackson (New York)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	97.3%	285,636	American Eagle Outfitters, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Gap Outlet, Guess, J.Crew, Lucky Brand, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Reebok, Timberland, Tommy Hilfiger, Under Armour
25.	Jersey Shore Premium Outlets	NJ	Tinton Falls (New York)	Fee	100.0%	Built 2008	97.4%	434,447	Adidas, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Burberry, Brooks Brothers, Coach, DKNY, Elie Tahari

Brothers, Coach, DKNY, Elie Tahari, Guess, J.Crew,

									Kate Spade New York, Lacoste, Michael Kors, Nike, Theory, Tommy Hilfiger, True Religion, Under Armour
26.	Johnson Creek Premium Outlets	WI	Johnson Creek	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	96.0%	276,373	Adidas, American Eagle Outfitters, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Calvin Klein, Columbia Sportswear, Eddie Bauer, Gap Outlet, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Tommy Hilfiger, Under Armour
27.	Kittery Premium Outlets	ME	Kittery	Fee and Ground Lease (2049) (7)	100.0%	Acquired 2004	97.2%	264,977	Adidas, Banana Republic, Calvin Klein, Chico's, Coach, Columbia Sportswear, Gap Outlet, J.Crew, Movado, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Reebok, Tommy Hilfiger
28.	Las Americas Premium Outlets	CA	San Diego	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2007	98.1%	554,966	Aeropostale, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Gap Outlet, Guess, Hugo Boss, J.Crew, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Reebok, Tommy Bahama, Tommy Hilfiger, True Religion
29.	Las Vegas Premium Outlets — North (20)	NV	Las Vegas	Fee	100.0%	Built 2003	97.7%	538,683	A/X Armani Exchange, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Burberry, Coach, David Yurman, Diesel, Dolce & Gabbana, Elie Tahari, Etro, Giorgio Armani, Hugo Boss, Lacoste, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Salvatore Ferragamo, St. John, TAG Heuer, Ted Baker, True Religion
30.	Las Vegas Premium Outlets — South	NV	Las Vegas	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	98.9%	535,467	Adidas, Adidas, Aeropostale, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Bose, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, DKNY, Gap Outlet, Kenneth Cole, Levi's, Michael Kors, Nautica, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Reebok, Tommy Hilfiger, Under Armour
31.	Lebanon Premium Outlets	TN	Lebanon (Nashville)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2010	90.5%	227,262	Aeropostale, Ann Taylor, Brooks Brothers, Coach, Eddie Bauer, Gap Outlet, Loft Outlet, Nike, Polo Ralph

Outlet, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren,

									Reebok, Samsonite
	Lee Premium Outlets	MA	Lee	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2010	99.8%	224,709	Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Chico's, Coach, Cole Haan, J.Crew, Lacoste, Levi's, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Talbots, Tommy Hilfiger, Under Armour
33.	Leesburg Corner Premium Outlets	VA	Leesburg (Washington D.C.)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	100.0%	518,003	Ann Taylor, Brooks Brothers, Burberry, Coach, Columbia Sportswear, Diesel, DKNY, Elie Tahari, Hugo Boss, Juicy Couture, Lacoste, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Restoration Hardware, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Under Armour, Vera Bradley, Williams- Sonoma
34.	Liberty Village Premium Outlets	NJ	Flemington (New York)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	86.6%	162,198	American Eagle Outfitters, Ann Taylor, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, G.H. Bass & Co., J.Crew, Michael Kors, Nautica, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Timberland, Zales Outlet
35.	Lighthouse Place Premium Outlets	IN	Michigan City (Chicago, IL)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	98.4%	454,641	Adidas, American Eagle Outfitters, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, BCBG Max Azria, Calvin Klein, Coach, Columbia Sportswear, DKNY, Gap Outlet, Guess, J.Crew, Movado, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Tommy
36.	Livermore Premium Outlets (20)	CA	Livermore (San Francisco)	Fee and Ground Lease (2021) (10)	100.0%	Built 2012	100.0%	511,646	Hilfiger Barneys New York, Bloomingdale's The Outlet Store, Coach, DKNY, Elie Tahari, Kate Spade New York, J.Crew, Lacoste, Last Call by Neiman Marcus, MaxMara, Michael Kors, Prada, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Tommy Hilfiger
37.	Merrimack Premium Outlets	NH	Merrimack	Fee	100.0%	Built 2012	100.0%	408,996	Hilfiger Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Bloomingdale's The Outlet Store, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Cole Haan, Gap Factory Store, J.Crew, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren,

Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Talbots, Tommy Hilfiger, Under Armour, White House Black Market

	Duon sute: N	Ct-t-		Ownership Interest (Expiration if	Legal	Year Built or	Occupancy	Tatal CI A	Retail Anchors and Selected Major
38.	Property Name Napa Premium Outlets	CA	<u>City (CBSA)</u> Napa	Lease) (3) Fee	Ownership 100.0%	Acquired Acquired 2004	<u>(5)</u> 98.5%	<u>Total GLA</u> 179,258	Tenants Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, BCBG Max Azria, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Cole Haan, Gap Outlet, J.Crew, Lucky Brand, Nautica, Tommy Hilfiger
39.	North Bend Premium Outlets	WA	North Bend (Seattle)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	97.3%	223,561	Banana Republic, Carter's, Coach, Eddie Bauer, Gap Outlet, G.H. Bass & Co., Izod, Nike, PacSun, Under Armour, Van Heusen, VF Outlet
40.	North Georgia Premium Outlets	GA	Dawsonville (Atlanta)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	99.9%	540,296	Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Burberry, Calvin Klein, Coach, Cole Haan, Elie Tahari, Hugo Boss, J.Crew, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Restoration Hardware, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Talbots, Tommy Hilfiger, Williams- Sonoma
41.	Orlando Premium Outlets — International Dr	FL	Orlando	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2010	100.0%	773,643	7 For All Mankind, Adidas, Banana Republic, Calvin Klein, Coach, DKNY, Forever 21, J. Crew, Kenneth Cole, Laccoste, Last Call by Neiman Marcus, Michael Kors, Polo Ralph Lauren, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, The North Face, Tommy Hilfiger, True Religion, Victoria's Secret
42.	Orlando Premium Outlets — Vineland Ave	FL	Orlando	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	99.6%	655,004	Adidas, A/X Armani Exchange, Brunello Cucinelli, Burberry, Calvin Klein, Coach, Cole Haan, Diesel, Fendi, Giorgio Armani, Hugo Boss, J. Crew, Lacoste, Marni, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Roberto Cavalli, Salvatore Ferragamo, TAG Heuer, Tod's, Tory Burch, Vera Bradley
43.	Osage Beach Premium Outlets	МО	Osage Beach	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	94.3%	392,641	Adidas, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Coldwater Creek, Eddie Bauer, Gap Outlet, Levi's, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Tommy Hilfiger, Under Armour
44.	Petaluma Village Premium Outlets	CA	Petaluma (San Francisco)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	95.2%	195,575	Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, BCBG Max Azria, Brooks Brothers, Coach, Gap Outlet, Nike, Puma, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Tommy Hilfiger
45.	Philadelphia Premium Outlets	РА	Limerick (Philadelphia)	Fee	100.0%	Built 2007	99.6%	549,137	Adidas, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Cole Haan, DKNY, Elie Tahari, Gap Outlet, Guess, J.Crew, Last Call by Neiman Marcus, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Restoration Hardware, Under Armour, Vera Bradley
46.	Phoenix Premium Outlets	AZ	Chandler (Phoenix)	Ground Lease (2077)	100.0%	Built 2013	100.0%	356,496	Anihou, Vera Bidatey Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Elie Tahari, Gap Factory Store, Hugo Boss, Luchy Brand, Michael Kors, Nike, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Tommy Bahama,

									Tommy Hilfiger, Under
47.	Pismo Beach Premium Outlets	CA	Pismo Beach	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2010	100.0%	147,416	Armour Aeropostale, Calvin Klein, Carter's, Coach, G.H. Bass & Co., Guess, Jones New York, Levi's, Nike, Nine West, Tommy Hilfiger, Van Heusen
48.	Pleasant Prairie Premium Outlets	WI	Pleasant Prairie (Chicago, IL/Milwaukee)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2010	100.0%	402,533	Adidas, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Calvin Klein, Coach, Cole Haan, Gap Outlet, Hugo Boss, J.Crew, Juicy Couture, Lacoste, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Sony, St. John, Under Armour
49.	Puerto Rico Premium Outlets	PR	Barceloneta	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2010	99.6%	341,909	Adidas, American Eagle Outfitters, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, BCBG Max Azria, Calvin Klein, Coach, Gap Outlet, Guess, Kenneth Cole, Lacoste, Michael Kors, Nautica, Nike, Nine West, Polo Ralph Lauren, Puma, Tommy Hilfiger
50.	Queenstown Premium Outlets	MD	Queenstown (Baltimore)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2010	100.0%	289,271	Adidas, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Columbia sportswear, Gucci, J.Crew, Juicy Couture, Kate Spade New York, Loft Outlet, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Talbots
51.	Rio Grande Valley Premium Outlets	TX	Mercedes (McAllen)	Fee	100.0%	Built 2006	98.5%	604,105	Adidas, Aeropostale, American Eagle Outfitters, Ann Taylor, BCBG Max Azria, Burberry, Calvin Klein, Coach, Cole Haan, DKNY, Gap Outlet, Guess, Hugo Boss, Loft Outlet, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Tommy Hilfiger, True Religion, VF Outlet
52.	Round Rock Premium Outlets	TX	Round Rock (Austin)	Fee	100.0%	Built 2006	99.3%	488,689	Adidas, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Burberry, Calvin Klein, Coach, Gap Outlet, Guess, J.Crew, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Tommy Hilfiger
53.	San Marcos Premium Outlets	ΤΧ	San Marcos (Austin/San Antonio)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2010	97.8%	731,870	Banana Republic, Cole Haan, Diane Von Furstenberg, Gucci, Hugo Boss, J. Crew, Kate Spade, Lacoste, Last Call by Neiman Marcus, Michael Kors, Pottery Barn, Prada, Restoration Hardware, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Salvatore Ferragamo, The North Face, Tommy Bahama, Ugg, Victoria's Secret
54.	Seattle Premium Outlets	WA	Tulalip (Seattle)	Ground Lease (2079)	100.0%	Built 2005	97.8%	554,306	Abercrombie, Adidas, Abercrombie, Adidas, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Burberry, Calvin Klein, Coach, Elie Tahari, Hugo Boss, J. Crew, Juicy Couture, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Restoration Hardware, Tommy Bahama, Tommy Hilfiger

				Ownership Interest (Expiration if	Legal	Year Built or	Occupancy		Retail Anchors and Selected
	Property Name	State	City (CBSA)	Lease) (3)	Ownership	Acquired	(5)	Total GLA	Major Tenants
55.	Silver Sands Premium Outlets	FL	Destin	Fee	50.0% (4)	Acquired 2012	96.7%	451,049	Adidas, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Coach, Cole Haan, DKNY, Dooney & Bourke, Giorgio Armani, J. Crew, Michael Kors, Movado, Nautica, Nike, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, The North Face, Tommy Hillfiger
56.	St. Augustine Premium Outlets	FL	St. Augustine (Jacksonville)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	99.4%	328,654	Adidas, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Gap Outlet, J. Crew, Movado, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Reebok, Tommy Bahama, Tommy Hilfiger, Under Armour
57.	St. Louis Premium	MO	St. Louis	Fee	60.0% (4)	Built	97.6%	351,462	Ann Taylor,
	Outlets		(Chesterfield)			2013			Armani, BCBG Max Azria, Coach, Columbia, Crabtree & Evelyn, Elie Tahari, J. Crew, Kate Spade New York, Michael Kors, Nike, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, St. John, Tommy Hilfiger, Ugg, Under Armour, Vera Bradley
58.	Tanger Outlets — Galveston/Houston (1)	ТХ	Texas City	Fee	50.0% (4)	Built 2012	98.4%	352,705	Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Coach, Gap Factory Store, J. Crew, Kenneth Cole, Michael Kors, Nike, Reebok, Tommy Hilfiger, White House Black Market
59.	The Crossings Premium Outlets	PA	Tannersville	Fee and Ground Lease (2019) (7)	100.0%	Acquired 2004	99.7%	411,324	American Eagle Outfitters, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Calvin Klein, Coach, Coldwater Creek, Guess, J. Crew, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Reebok, Timberland, Tommy Hilfiger, Under Armour
60.	Vacaville Premium Outlets	CA	Vacaville	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	98.1%	437,358	Adidas, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Calvin Klein, Coach, Cole Haan, Columbia Sportswear, DKNY, Gucci, J.Crew, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Restoration Hardware, Tommy Bahama, Tommy
61.	Waikele Premium Outlets (20)	НІ	Waipahu (Honolulu)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	100.0%	209,732	Hilfiger A/X Armani Exchange, Banana Republic, Calvin Klein, Coach, Guess, Michael Kors, Polo Ralph Lauren, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Tommy Bahama, Tommy Hilfiger, True Religion, Zales Outlet
62.	Waterloo Premium Outlets	NY	Waterloo	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	98.1%	417,741	Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Coach, Columbia Sportswear, Gap

Sportswear, Gap

Outlet	en, figer, our, VF
63. Williamsburg VA Williamsburg Fee 100.0% Acquired 97.6% 522,002 American I Premium Outlets 2010 7.5% 522,002 American I Republic, L Brothers, Burberry, C Klein, Coa Hago Boss J.Crew, Ka Spade New Lucky Bra Michael Ko Nike, Polo Lauren, Ta The North Tommy Ba	Ann iana Brooks Calvin ch, Cole mbia , Bourke, , te York, id, ors, Ralph bots, Face, hama,
64. Woodburn OR Woodburn Fee 100.0% Acquired 100.0% 389,780 Adidas, An Premium Outlets (Portland) 2013 Taylor, Bar Republic, Cd Haan, Edd Bauer, Fos J. Crew, M Studio, Nat Nike, The I Face, Polo Lauren, Pu Tommy Hi	n Lana Cole e sil, Gap, ax ttica, Vorth Ralph ma,
65. Woodbury NY Central Fee 100.0% Acquired 99.8% 846,005 Banana Re Common Premium Valley (New 2004 Coach, Dio Outlets (20) York) Dolce & G Fendi, Gio Armani, G Lacoste, La by Neiman Marcus, Ni Oscar de la Polo Ralph Lauren, Pr Reed Kraks Saks Fifth. Off 5th, Sa Ferragamo Theory, To Tory Burch Valentino, Yves St. La	chloe, r, abbana, gio ucci, st Call ke, Renta, off, Avenue lvatore m Ford, , Versace,
66. Wrentham Village MA Wrentham Fee 100.0% Acquired 100.0% 660,092 Ann Taylon Premium Outlets (Boston) 2004 Banana Re Bloomingd The Outlet Brooks Brrc Burberry, C Klein, Coa Haan, Elie Hugo Boss Crew, Lace Movado, N Polo Ralph Lauren, Sä Avenue Of Salvatore Ferragamo, Theory, To Burch, Tru Religion, U Armour	; public, ale's Store, thers, calvin ch, Cole Tahari, J. ste, ike, ss Fifth 5 5th, mmy rry
Total U.S. Premium Outlets GLA 27,828,749	

	Property Name	State	City (CBSA)	Interest (Expiration if Lease) (3)	Legal Ownership	Built or Acquired	Occupancy (5)	Total GLA	Retail Anchors and Selected Major Tenants
1	Community/Li	festyle C	Centers			Acquired	100.0%		Tendito
	ABQ Uptown	NM	Albuquerque	Fee	100.0%	2011		230,059	
	Arboretum	ТΧ	Austin	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	98.6%	194,972	Barnes & Noble, Pottery Barn
	Arundel Mills Marketplace	MD	Hanover (Baltimore)	Fee	59.3% (4)	Acquired 2007	100.0%	101,535	Michaels, Staples, PetSmart, hhgregg
4.	Bloomingdale Court	IL	Bloomingdale (Chicago)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1987	99.2%	687,171	Best Buy, T.J. Maxx N More, Office Max, Walmart Supercenter, Dick's Sporting Goods, Jo- Ann Fabrics, Picture Show, Ross Dress for Less, hhgregg
5.	Charles Towne Square	SC	Charleston	Fee	100.0%	Built 1976	100.0%	71,794	Regal Cinema
6.	Chesapeake Center	VA	Chesapeake (Virginia Beach)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1989	96.1%	305,935	Petsmart, Michaels, Value City Furniture
7.	Clay Terrace	IN	Carmel (Indianapolis)	Fee	50.0% (4)	Built 2004	97.8%	576,787	Dick's Sporting Goods, Whole Foods, DSW, St. Vincent's Sports Performance, Party City
8.	Concord Mills Marketplace	NC	Concord (Charlotte)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2007	100.0%	230,683	BJ's Wholesale Club, Garden Ridge, REC Warehouse
	Countryside Plaza	IL	Countryside (Chicago)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1977	100.0%	403,756	Best Buy, The Home Depot, PetsMart, Jo- Ann Fabrics, Office Depot, Value City Furniture, The Tile Shop, Party City
10.	Crystal Court	IL	Crystal Lake (Chicago)	Fee	37.9% (4)(13)	Built 1989	82.5%	285,398	Big Lots
11.	Dare Centre	NC	Kill Devil Hills	Ground Lease (2058)	100.0%	Acquired 2004	96.3%	168,673	Belk, Food Lion
12.	DeKalb Plaza	PA	King of Prussia (Philadelphia)	Fee	84.0%	Acquired 2003	96.6%	101,948	ACME Grocery, Bob's Discount Furniture (6)
	Denver West Village	CO	Lakewood (Denver)	Fee	37.5% (4)	Acquired 2007	96.5%	310,911	Barnes & Noble, Bed Bath & Beyond, Office Max, Whole Foods, DSW, Christy Sports, United Artists, Cost Plus World Market, Marshalls
14.	Empire East	SD	Sioux Falls	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	100.0%	287,503	Kohl's, Target, Bed Bath & Beyond
15.	Fairfax Court	VA	Fairfax (Washington, D.C.)	Fee	41.3% (4)(13)	Built 1992	100.0%	249,488	Burlington Coat Factory, Offenbacher's, XSport Fitness
16.	Forest Plaza	IL	Rockford	Fee	100.0%	Built 1985	100.0%	434,838	Kohl's, Marshalls, Michaels, Office Max, Bed Bath & Beyond, Petco, Babies 'R Us, Toys 'R Us, Big Lots, Kirkland's, Shoe Carnival
17.	Gaitway Plaza	FL	Ocala	Fee	32.2% (4)(13)	Built 1989	99.1%	208,755	Office Depot, T.J. Maxx, Ross Dress for Less, Bed Bath & Beyond, Michael's (6)
18.	Gateway Centers	TX	Austin	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	95.1%	512,414	Best Buy, REI, Whole Foods, Crate & Barrel, The Container Store, Regal Cinema, Nordstrom Rack, The Tile Shop (6), (8)
19.	Greenwood Plus	IN	Greenwood (Indianapolis)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1979	100.0%	155,319	Best Buy, Kohl's
20.	Hamilton Town Center	IN	Noblesville (Indianapolis)	Fee	50.0% (4)	Built 2008	95.4%	666,378	JCPenney, Dick's Sporting Goods, Stein Mart, Bed Bath & Beyond, DSW, Hamilton 16 IMAX, Earth Fare, Dollar Tree
	Henderson Square	PA	King of Prussia (Philadelphia)	Fee	75.9% (15)	Acquired 2003	96.5%	107,371	Genuardi's Family Market, Avalon Carpet & Tile
22.	Highland Lakes Center	FL	Orlando	Fee	100.0%	Built 1991	65.5%	488,863	Marshalls, American Signature Furniture, Ross Dress for Less, Burlington Coat Factory, Deal\$, (8)
23.	Indian River Commons	FL	Vero Beach	Fee	50.0% (4)	Built 1997	100.0%	255,942	Lowe's Home Improvement, Best

									Less, Bed Bath & Beyond, Michaels
24.	Keystone Shoppes	IN	Indianapolis	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1997	78.1%	29,080	-
25.	Lake Plaza	IL	Waukegan (Chicago)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1986	97.5%	215,568	Home Owners Bargain Outlet, Dollar Tree
					23				

	Property Nam	eState	City (CBSA)	Ownership Interest (Expiration if Lease) (3)	Legal Ownership	Year Built or Acquired	Occupancy (5)	Total GLA	Retail Anchors and Selected Major Tenants
26.	Lake View Plaza	IL	Orland Park (Chicago)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1986	92.7%	367,605	Best Buy, Petco, Jo-Ann Fabrics, Golf Galaxy, Value City Furniture, Tuesday Morning, The Great Escape, (8)
27.	Lakeline Plaza	TX	Cedar Park (Austin)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1998	99.3%	387,304	T.J. Maxx, Best Buy, Ross Dress for Less, Office Max, PetsMart, Party City, Hancock Fabrics, Rooms to Go, Rooms to Go Kids, Bed Bath & Beyond, (11)
28.	Lima Center	OH	Lima	Fee	100.0%	Built 1978	99.4%	233,878	Kohl's, Hobby Lobby, T.J. Maxx, Jo-Ann Fabrics
29.	Lincoln Crossing	IL	O'Fallon (St. Louis)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1990	90.5%	243,326	Walmart, PetsMart, The Home Depot
30.		PA	King of Prussia (Philadelphia)	Fee	64.9% (15)	Acquired 2003	98.6%	267,970	AC Moore, Michaels, T.J. Maxx, Home Goods, hhgregg, American Signature Furniture, DSW, (8)
31.	MacGregor Village	NC	Cary	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	63.4%	144,201	`
32.	Mall of Georgia Crossing	GA	Buford (Atlanta)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1999	100.0%	440,670	Best Buy, American Signature Furniture, T.J. Maxx 'n More, Nordstrom Rack, Staples, Target, Party City
33.	Markland Plaza	IN	Kokomo	Fee	100.0%	Built 1974	86.8%	90,527	Best Buy, Bed Bath & Beyond
34.		VA	Martinsville	Ground Lease (2046)	100.0%	Built 1967	96.4%	102,105	Rose's, Food Lion
35.		IL	Matteson (Chicago)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1988	100.0%	270,892	Shoppers World
36.	Muncie Towne Plaza	IN	Muncie	Fee	100.0%	Built 1998	100.0%	172,617	Kohl's, Target, Shoe Carnival, T.J. Maxx, MC Sporting Goods, Kerasotes Theatres, (8)
37.	Naples Outlet Center	FL	Naples	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2010	57.0%	146,032	Ann Taylor, Bass, Coach, Jones New York, L'eggs/Hanes/Bali/Playtex, Loft Outlet, Samsonite, Van Heusen
38.	New Castle Plaza	IN	New Castle	Fee	100.0%	Built 1966	100.0%	91,648	Goody's, Ace Hardware, Aaron's Rents, Dollar Tree
39.	North Ridge Shopping Center	NC	Raleigh	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2004	93.4%	169,823	Ace Hardware, Kerr Drugs, Harris-Teeter Grocery
40.	Northwood Plaza	IN	Fort Wayne	Fee	100.0%	Built 1974	87.2%	208,076	Target, (8)
41.	Palms Crossing	ТΧ	McAllen	Fee	100.0%	Built 2007	98.6%	392,314	Bealls, DSW, Barnes & Noble, Babies 'R Us, Sports Authority, Guitar Center, Cavendar's Boot City, Best Buy, Hobby Lobby
42.	Pier Park	FL	Panama City Beach	Fee	65.6% (4)	Built 2008	98.9%	842,072	Dillard's, JCPenney, Target, Grand Theatres, Ron Jon Surf Shop, Margaritaville, Marshalls, Forever 21, Dave & Buster's (6)
43.	Plaza at Buckland Hills, The	CT	Manchester	Fee	41.3% (4)(13)	Built 1993	96.3%	329,885	Jo-Ann Fabrics, iParty, Toys 'R Us, Michaels, PetsMart, Big Lots, Eastern Mountain Sports, Dollar Tree
44.	Richardson Square	ТХ	Richardson (Dallas)	Fee	100.0%	Built 2008	100.0%	517,265	Lowe's Home Improvement, Ross Dress for Less, Sears, Super Target, Anna's Linens
45.	Rockaway Commons	NJ	Rockaway (New York)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	48.3%	149,940	Best Buy, (8)
46.	Rockaway Town Plaza	NJ	Rockaway (New York)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 1998	100.0%	459,301	Target, PetsMart, Dick's Sporting Goods, AMC Theatres
47.	Royal Eagle Plaza	FL	Coral Springs (Miami)	Fee	42.0% (4)(13)	Built 1989	78.8%	202,996	Sports Authority, Hobby Lobby (6),(8)
48.		TX	Austin	Ground Lease (2056)	100.0%	Built 2006	99.4%	458,467	The Home Depot, Marshalls, DSW, Vitamin Cottage Natural Grocer, Spec's Wine, Spirits and Fine Foods, Jo-Ann Fabrics, Sam Moon Trading Co., Casual Male DXL
49.	Shops at North East Mall, The	ΤХ	Hurst (Dallas)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1999	99.6%	364,901	Michaels, PetsMart, T.J. Maxx, Bed Bath & Beyond, Best Buy, Barnes & Noble, DSW
50.	St. Charles Towne Plaza	MD	Waldorf (Washington, D.C.)	Fee	100.0%	Built 1987	78.0%	393,816	K & G Menswear, Shoppers Food Warehouse, Dollar Tree, Value City Furniture, Big Lots, Citi Trends, (8)

51. Typerance Plaza 1N Lafvertie Pee 100.0% Built 1974 100.0% 99.522 Base Base Base Base Base Center 52. University Center N Mishavaka Fee 100.0% Built 1980 8.4.% 150.0406 Mishavaka Base Base Center 53. University Oran Plaza FL Persocola Fee 100.0% Redeveloped 2013 97.4% 579.843 Mich Mark Plaza 54. Village Park Plaza IN Carmel (Indianapolis) Fee 100.0% Built 1990 100.0% 575.576 Bee Base Plaza 55. Washington Plaza IN Indianapolis Fee 100.0% Built 1976 89.8% 50.107 Arc Mark Plaza 56. Washington Plaza IN Indianapolis Fee 100.0% Built 1988 100.0% 254.480 TL In The Plaza 57. West Ridge Conter KS Topela Fee 100.0% Built 1988 100.0% 254.480 TL In The Plaza 58. West Ridge Conters <th>Dremonter M.</th> <th>o State</th> <th>City (CBCA)</th> <th>Ownership Interest (Expiration if</th> <th>Legal</th> <th>Year Built or Acquired</th> <th></th> <th>Total CI A</th> <th>Retail Anchor and Selected</th>	Dremonter M.	o State	City (CBCA)	Ownership Interest (Expiration if	Legal	Year Built or Acquired		Total CI A	Retail Anchor and Selected
pliza university N Mishavaka Fee 100.0% Built 1980 19.4% 150.046 Mithavaka 2. University N Mishavaka Fee 100.0% Built 1980 19.4% 150.046 Mithavaka Fee 100.0% Redeveloped 2013 97.4% 573.843 Control Fit Fit<									Major Tenant Best Buy,
Si. University Town Plaza FL Pensacola Fee 100.0% Redeveloped 2013 97.4% 579.843 AC Si. Village Park IN Carmel (rodianapolis) Fee 35.7% (4)(13) Built 1990 100.0% 575.576 Bee New System Si. Washington IN Indianapolis Fee 100.0% Built 1990 90.9% 504.107 10 Si. Mastington IN Indianapolis Fee 100.0% Built 1990 90.9% 99.9%3 Res Si. Mastington IN Indianapolis Fee 100.0% Built 1993 95.9% 949.933 Res Si. Mastington Fee 100.0% Built 1993 100.0% 254.480 IL Si. West Ridge KS Topela Fee 32.2% (4)(13) Built 1983 100.0% 254.480 IL Si. West Ridge KS Topela Fee 32.2% (4)(13) Built 1983 95.3% 385.366 See See See See See See See See See See See See See See See	Plaza 52. University		,					,	Barnes & Noble Michaels, Best Buy, Ross Dress
54. Village Park. IN Carmel (Indianapolis) Fee 35.7% (4)(13) Built 1990 100.0% 575.576 Ber Park 55. Washington (Parka) IN Indianapolis Fee 100.0% Built 1975 89.8% 50.107 9 55. Washington (Parka) FL Orlando Fee 100.0% Built 1975 89.8% 50.107 9 56. Water/fundt FL Orlando Fee 100.0% Built 1999 99.0% 949.933 Re Parka 57. Fee 100.0% Built 1999 90.0% 254.400 TL Parka 58. West Ridge KS Topeka Fee 100.0% Built 1998 100.0% 254.400 TL Parka 58. West Town FL Altamonte Fee 32.2% (4)(13) Built 1989 96.8% 163.254 Built 198 59. West Town FL Springfield Fee 100.0% Built 1989 96.8% 163.254 Built 1989 50. West Town FL Springfield Fee 100.0% <td>3. University</td> <td>FL</td> <td>Pensacola</td> <td>Fee</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td></td> <td>97.4%</td> <td>579,843</td> <td>for Less JCPenney, Sears Academy Sports</td>	3. University	FL	Pensacola	Fee	100.0%		97.4%	579,843	for Less JCPenney, Sears Academy Sports
Plaza (Indianapolis) Fee 100.0% Built 1976 89.8% 50.107 Jord Presson S Westington IN Indianapolis) Fee 100.0% Built 1976 89.8% 50.107 Jord Presson S Westington IN Indianapolis Fee 100.0% Built 1976 89.8% 50.107 Jord Presson S Westington F. Orlando Fee 100.0% Built 1978 89.8% 50.107 Jord Presson S7 West Ridge KS Topeka Fee 100.0% Built 1988 100.0% 254.400 T.I. Top Strandard S8 West Town FL Alamonte Fee 100.0% Built 1989 95.3% 355.366 Springs S0 Park Flaza FL Orange Parkit Fee 100.0% Built 1989 96.8% 163.254 Fee S0 Westinde FL Orange Parkit Fee 100.0% Built 1985 97.2% 387.911 T.M. Top Springs S0 Westinde FL Orange Park Fee				_			100.00/		Toys 'R Us, Burlington Coat Factory
IPLAZVARTAR VARTAR VAR	Plaza	IN		Fee	35.7% (4)(13)	Built 1990	100.0%	575,576	Bed Bath & Beyond, Kohl's, Walmart Supercenter, Marsh, Menards Regal Cinema, Hobby Lobby
Lakes Toom Center	Plaza		•					,	Jo-Ann Fabrics
PlazaPlazaPres32.2% (4)(13)Built 198995.3%385,366Spc Us, Spc58.West Town CornersFLAltamonte Springs (Orlando)Fee32.2% (4)(13)Built 198995.3%385,366Spc Disc59.Westland Park PlazaFLOrange Park (Jacksonville)Fee32.2% (4)(13)Built 198996.8%163,254Built Built Built 198996.8%163,254Built Built Built 198960.White Oaks PlazaILSpringfield FeeFee100.0%Built 198697.2%387,911T.J. T.J. PlazaT.J. Plaza61.Whitehall MailPAWhitehall FeeFee100.0%Built 198693.8%611,833See Bec Bec Pee62.Wolf Ranch (Austin)Fee100.0%Built 200599.3%627,804Kio Mit Built Built 2005	Lakes Town Center								Ross Dress for Less, T.J. Maxx, Bed Bath & Beyond, Barnes & Noble Best Buy, Jo-An Fabrics, Office Max, PetsMart, Target, Ashley Furniture Home Store, L.A. Fitness, Regal Cinema, Party City
58. West Town Corners FL Altamonte Springs (Crlando) Fee 32.2% (4)(13) Built 1989 95.3% 385,366 Spr Pet Disk Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mar		KS	Topeka	Fee	100.0%	Built 1988	100.0%	254,480	T.J. Maxx, Toys 'R Us/Babies 'R Us, Target, Doll Tree
59. Westland Park Plaza FL Orange Park (Jacksonville) Fee 32.2% (4)(13) Built 1989 96.8% 163,254 Built Fac Fac Gui 60. White Oaks Plaza IL Springfield Fee 100.0% Built 1986 97.2% 387,911 TJ. Ma< Toy US/ Con 61. Whitehall Mall PA Whitehall Fee 38.0% (4)(15) Acquired 2003 93.8% 611,833 Sea Bec Bey Bat Fur 62. Wolf Ranch TX Georgetown (Austin) Fee 100.0% Built 2005 99.3% 627,804 Mid Ma		FL	Springs	Fee	32.2% (4)(13)	Built 1989	95.3%	385,366	Sports Authority PetsMart, Winn- Dixie Marketplace, American Signature Furniture, Walmart, Lowe's Home Improvement
Plaza Plaza Ma Toy Us/ Or Pet 61. Whitehall PA Whitehall Fee 38.0% (4)(15) Acquired 2003 93.8% 611,833 Sea Mall PA Whitehall Fee 38.0% (4)(15) Acquired 2003 93.8% 611,833 Bea Bea Bea Para Pet Pet Pet Pet Pet Pet Pet Pet		FL		Fee	32.2% (4)(13)	Built 1989	96.8%	163,254	Burlington Coat Factory, LA Fitness, USA Discounters, Guitar Center (6
Mall 2003 Bec Bey Gyr Bat Flar 62. Wolf Ranch TX Georgetown Fee 100.0% Built 2005 99.3% 627,804 Kol (Austin) Fee 100.0% Built 2005 99.3% 627,804 Kol Buy Der T.J.	Plaza								T.J. Maxx, Offic Max, Kohl's, Toys 'R Us/Babies 'R Us County Market, Petco
(Austin) Mic Buy Dep T.J.	Mall	PA	Whitehall	Fee		2003			Sears, Kohl's, Bed Bath & Beyond, Gold's Gym, Buy Buy Baby, Raymour Flanigan Furniture, Michaels
Les Gyr	2. Wolf Ranch	ТХ		Fee	100.0%	Built 2005	99.3%	627,804	Kohl's, Target, Michaels, Best Buy, Office Depot, PetsMar T.J. Maxx, DSW Ross Dress for Less, Gold's Gym, Spec's Wine & Spirits
Total Community/Lifestyle Center 19,555,807(17) GLA 19,555,807(17)		1ity/Life	style Center					19,555,807 (17)	•

				Ownership Interest (Expiration if	Legal	Year Built or			
	Property Name The Mills	State	City (CBSA)	Lease) (3)	Ownership	Acquired	Occupancy (5)	Total GLA	Retail Anchors and Selected Major Tenants
1.	Arizona Mills	AZ	Tempe (Phoenix)	Fee	50.0% (4)	Acquired 2007	98.7%	1,239,781	Marshalls, Last Call by Neiman Marcus, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Burlington Coat Factory, Sears Appliance Outlet, Gameworks, Sports Authority, Ross Dress for Less, JC's 5 Star Outlet (19), Group USA, Harkins Cinemas & IMAX, Sea Life Center, Conn's
2.	Arundel Mills	MD	Hanover (Baltimore)	Fee	59.3% (4)	Acquired 2007	99.9%	1,561,162	Bass Pro Shops, Bed Bath & Beyond, Best Buy, Books-A-Million, Burlington Coat Factory, The Children's Place, Dave & Buster's, F.Y.E., H&M, Medieval Times, Modell's, Last Call by Neiman Marcus, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Off Broadway Shoe Warehouse, T.J. Maxx, Cinemark Egyptian 24 Theatres, Maryland Live! Casino, Forever 21
3.	Colorado Mills	CO	Lakewood (Denver)	Fee	37.5% (4)(2)	Acquired 2007	94.0%	1,099,714	Forever 21, Jumpstreet, Last Call by Neiman Marcus, Off Broadway Shoe Warehouse, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Sports Authority, Super Target, United Artists Theatre, Burlington Coat Factory, H&M
4.	Concord Mills	NC	Concord (Charlotte)	Fee	59.3% (4)	Acquired 2007	100.0%	1,338,712	Bass Pro Shops Outdoor World, Books-A- Million, Burlington Coat Factory, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, The Children's Place Outlet, Dave & Buster's, Nike Factory Store, T.J. Maxx, Group USA, Sun & Ski, VF Outlet, Off Broadway Shoes, Bed Bath & Beyond, AMC Theatres, Best Buy, Forever 21, Sea Life Center (6)
5.	Grapevine Mills	TX	Grapevine (Dallas)	Fee	59.3% (4)	Acquired 2007	98.6%	1,775,702	Bed Bath & Beyond, Burlington Coat Factory, The Children's Place, Group USA, JC's 5 Star Outlet (19), Marshalls, Nike Factory Store, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, AMC Theatres, Polar Ice House Grapevine, Sun & Ski Sports, Last Call by Neiman Marcus, Sears Appliance Outlet, Bass Pro Outdoor World, Off Broadway Shoes, VF Outlet, Legoland Discovery Center, Sea Life Center, Ross Dress for Less, H&M
6.	Great Mall	CA	Milpitas (San Jose)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2007	98.4%	1,358,820	Last Call by Neiman Marcus, Sports Authority, Group USA, Kohl's, Dave & Busters, Sears Appliance Outlet, Burlington Coat Factory, Marshalls, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Nike Factory Store, Century Theatres, Bed Bath & Beyond, Off Broadway Shoes
7.	Gurnee Mills	IL	Gurnee (Chicago)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2007	98.2%	1,912,969	Bass Pro Shops Outdoor World, Bed Bath & Beyond/Buy Buy Baby, Burlington Coat Factory, Kohl's, Marshalls Home Goods, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Rinkside, Sears Grand, Sports Authority, T.J. Maxx, VF Outlet, Marcus Cinemas, Last Call by Neiman Marcus, Value City Furniture, Shoppers World, Off Broadway Shoe Warehouse, Macy's
	Katy Mills	TX	Katy (Houston)	Fee	62.5% (4)(2)	Acquired 2007	98.1%	1,638,472	Bass Pro Shops Outdoor World, Bed Bath and Beyond, Books-A-Million, Burlington Coat Factory, Jumpstreet, Marshalls, Last Call by Neiman Marcus, Nike Factory Store, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Sun & Ski Sports, AMC Theatres, Off Broadway Shoes, Tilt, Ross Dress for Less (6)
9.	Ontario Mills	CA	Ontario (Riverside)	Fee	50.0% (4)	Acquired 2007	99.7%	1,469,666	Burlington Coat Factory, Nike Factory Store, Gameworks, The Children's Place Outlet, Marshalls, JC's 5 Star Outlet (19), Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Bed Bath & Beyond, Nordstrom Rack, Dave & Busters, Group USA, Sam Ash Music, Off Broadway Shoes, AMC Theatres, Sports Authority, Forever 21, Last Call by Neiman Marcus

	Duonoute Norm	- State	City	Ownership Interest (Expiration if	Legal	Year Built or	0	Tetel CLA	Deteil Anchors and Calented Major Toronto
10	Property Nam Opry Mills	TN	(CBSA) Nashville	Lease) (3) Fee	Ownership 100.0%	Acquired Acquired	Occupancy (5) 97.2%	Total GLA 1.152.909	Retail Anchors and Selected Major Tenants Regal Cinema & IMAX, Dave & Busters, VF Outlet, Sun &
	1 5					2007		, - ,	Ski, Bass Pro Shops, Forever 21, Bed Bath & Beyond, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Off Broadway Shoes, H&M
11.	Outlets at Orange, The	CA	Orange (Los Angeles)	Fee	50.0% (4)	Acquired 2007	99.7%	804,107	Dave & Buster's, Vans Skatepark, Lucky Strike Lanes, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, AMC Theatres, Nike Factory Store, Last Call by Neiman Marcus, Off Broadway Shoes, Nordstrom Rack, Sports Authority, H&M, Forever 21
12.	Potomac Mills	VA	Woodbridge (Washington, D.C.)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2007	98.8%	1,525,836	Group USA, Marshalls, T.J. Maxx, Sears Appliance Outlet, JCPenney, Burlington Coat Factory, Off Broadway Shoe Warehouse, Nordstrom Rack, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th Outlet, Costco Warehouse, The Children's Place, AMC Theatres, Modell's Sporting Goods, Books-A-Million, H&M, Last Call by Neiman Marcus, XXI Forever, Bloomingdale's Outlet, Buy Buy Baby (6), Christmas Tree Shops (6)
13.	Sawgrass Mills	FL	Sunrise (Miami)	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2007	98.1%	2,305,538	American Signature Home, Bed Bath & Beyond, Brandsmart USA, Burlington Coat Factory, Gameworks, JC's 5 Star Outlet (19), Marshalls, Last Call by Neiman Marcus, Nike Factory Store, Nordstrom Rack, Saks Fifth Avenue Off 5th, Ron Jon Surf Shop, Sports Authority, Super Target, T.J. Maxx, Urban Planet, VF Factory Outlet, F.Y.E., Off Broadway Shoes, Regal Cinema, Bloomingdale's Outlet, Forever 21
	Total Mills Pro	1						19,183,388	
	Other Propert	ties							
1.	Florida Keys Outlet Center	FL	Florida City	Fee	100.0 [%]	Acquired 2010	93.8%	206,214	Aeropostale, American Eagle, Carter's, Coach, Gap Outlet, Guess, Nike, Nine West, OshKosh B'gosh, Skechers, Tommy Hilfiger
2.	Huntley Outlet Center	IL	Huntley	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2010	61.2%	278,786	Aeropostale, Ann Taylor, Banana Republic, Bose, Calvin Klein, Carter's, Eddie Bauer, Gap Outlet, Guess, Reebok, Tommy Hilfiger
3.	Northfield Square	IL	Bourbonnais	Fee	71.7% (12)	Built 1990	79.2%	530,325	Carson's (9), JCPenney, Sears, Cinemark Movies 10
4.	Outlet Marketplace	FL	Orlando	Fee	100.0%	Acquired 2010	82.5%	205,023	Calvin Klein, Coldwater Creek, Nine West, Reebok, Skechers
5.	Upper Valley Mall	ОН	Springfield	Fee	100.0%	Built 1971	64.7%	739,021	Macy's, JCPenney, Sears, MC Sporting Goods, Chakeres Theatres, (8)
6.	Washington Square	IN	Indianapolis	Fee	100.0%	Built 1974	43.8%	967,702	Sears, Target, Dick's Sporting Goods, Burlington Coat Factory, AMC Theatres, (11)
7 - 11.	The Mills Limited Partnership (TMLP)					Acquired 2007		5,608,105	
	Total Other GLA							8,535,176	
	Total U.S. Pro	perties (GLA					236,564,986	

Table of Contents

FOOTNOTES:

- (1) This property is managed by a third party.
- (2) Our direct and indirect interests in some of the properties held as joint venture interests are subject to preferences on distributions in favor of other partners or us.
- (3) The date listed is the expiration date of the last renewal option available to the operating entity under the ground lease. In a majority of the ground leases, we have a right to purchase the lessor's interest under an option, right of first refusal or other provision. Unless otherwise indicated, each ground lease listed in this column covers at least 50% of its respective property.
- (4) Joint venture properties accounted for under the equity method.
- (5) Malls Executed leases for all company-owned GLA in mall stores, excluding majors and anchors. Premium Outlets, Community/Lifestyle Centers and The Mills Executed leases for all company-owned GLA (or total center GLA).
- (6) Indicates anchor or major that is currently under development or has announced plans for development.
- (7) Indicates ground lease covers less than 50% of the acreage of this property.
- (8) Indicates vacant anchor space(s).
- (9) Tenant has multiple locations at this center.
- (10) Indicates ground lease covers outparcel only.
- (11) Indicates vacant anchor owned by another company, but we still collect rent and/or fees under an agreement.
- (12) We receive substantially all the economic benefit of the property due to a preference or advance.
- (13) Outside partner receives substantially all of the economic benefit due to a partner preference.
- (14) We own a mortgage note that encumbers Pheasant Lane Mall that entitles us to 100% of the economics of this property.
- (15) Our indirect ownership interest is through an approximately 76% ownership interest in Kravco Simon Investments.
- (16) Mall & Freestanding GLA includes office space. Centers with more than 20,000 square feet of office space are listed below:

 $Circle Centre — 129,944 sq. ft. \\ Copley Place — 868,051 sq. ft. \\ Del Amo Fashion Center — 57,927 sq. ft. \\ Domain, The — 154,055 sq. ft. \\ Fashion Centre at Pentagon City, The — 169,089 sq. ft. \\ Firewheel Town Center — 73,906 sq. ft. \\ Greendale Mall — 119,860 sq. ft. \\$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Menlo Park Mall} & - 49,481 \mbox{ sq. ft.} \\ \mbox{Oak Court Mall} & - 126,775 \mbox{ sq. ft.} \\ \mbox{Oxford Valley Mall} & - 112,311 \mbox{ sq. ft.} \\ \mbox{Plaza Carolina} & - 27,343 \mbox{ sq. ft.} \\ \mbox{River Oaks} & - 41,494 \mbox{ sq. ft.} \\ \mbox{Southdale Center} & - 20,393 \mbox{ sq. ft.} \\ \end{array}$

- (17) Includes office space at Clay Terrace of 75,110 sq. ft.
- (18) Tenant has an existing store at this center but will move to a new location.
- (19) Indicates anchor has announced its intent to close this location.
- (20) Property has approved or is undergoing an expansion.

United States Lease Expirations

The following table summarizes lease expiration data for our malls and Premium Outlets located in the United States, including Puerto Rico, as of December 31, 2013. The data presented does not consider the impact of renewal options that may be contained in leases.

Year	Number of Leases Expiring	Square Feet	Mi	Avg. Base inimum Rent F at 12/31/13	Percentage of Gross Annual Rental Revenues (1)
Inline Stores and Freestanding					
Month to Month Leases	645	1,788,363	\$	39.88	1.3%
2014	2,502	7,862,336	\$	39.46	6.1%
2015	2,932	9,546,396	\$	39.76	7.5%
2016	2,812	9,429,412	\$	39.27	7.3%
2017	2,624	9,250,051	\$	41.80	7.7%
2018	2,497	9,173,389	\$	44.58	8.1%
2019	1,633	6,437,129	\$	44.83	5.8%
2020	1,246	4,597,759	\$	48.69	4.5%
2021	1,295	5,242,126	\$	46.50	4.9%
2022	1,577	6,083,275	\$	45.98	5.6%
2023	1,890	7,325,936	\$	45.89	6.7%
2024 and Thereafter	713	3,715,748	\$	39.04	3.0%
Specialty Leasing Agreements w/ terms in excess of					
12 months	1,338	3,033,946	\$	16.66	1.0%
Anchor Tenants					
2014	16	1,566,512	\$	6.02	0.2%
2015	28	3,141,251	\$	3.15	0.2%
2016	24	2,940,627	\$	3.12	0.2%
2017	24	3,344,997	\$	2.36	0.2%
2018	26	3,040,642	\$	4.65	0.3%
2019	22	2,286,288	\$	5.03	0.2%
2020	15	1,424,628	\$	6.46	0.2%
2021	12	1,055,228	\$	7.80	0.1%
2022	8	962,861	\$	9.46	0.2%
2023	14	1,523,762	\$	10.07	0.3%
2024 and Thereafter	36	3,705,692	\$	6.27	0.5%

(1) Annual rental revenues represent 2013 consolidated and joint venture combined base rental revenue.

International Properties

Our ownership interests in properties outside the United States are primarily owned through joint venture arrangements.

European Investments

On March 14, 2012, we completed an acquisition of a 28.7% interest in Klépierre for approximately \$2.0 billion. At December 31, 2013 we owned 57,634,148 shares, or approximately 28.9%, of Klépierre, which had a quoted market price of \$46.53 per share. Klépierre is a publicly traded, Paris-based real estate company, which owns, or has an interest in, shopping centers located in 13 countries in Europe.

During the second quarter of 2013, we signed a definitive agreement with McArthurGlen, an owner, developer, and manager of designer outlets, to form one or more joint ventures to invest in certain of its existing designer outlets, development projects, and its property management and development companies. In conjunction with that agreement, we purchased a noncontrolling interest in the property management and development companies of McArthurGlen, and a noncontrolling interest in a development property located in Vancouver, British Columbia. On August 2, 2013 we acquired a noncontrolling interest in Ashford Designer Outlets in Kent, UK. On October 16, 2013 we completed the remaining transactions contemplated by our previously announced definitive agreement with McArthurGlen by acquiring noncontrolling interests in portions of four existing McArthurGlen Designer Outlets — Parndorf (Vienna, Austria), La Reggia (Naples, Italy), Noventa di Piave (Venice, Italy), and Roermond (Roermond, Netherlands). Our legal ownership interests in these entities range from 22.5% to 90%.

We own a 13.3% interest in Value Retail PLC and affiliated entities, which own and operate nine luxury outlets throughout Europe. We also have a minority direct ownership in three of those outlets.

Other International Investments

We also hold a 40% interest in nine operating joint venture properties in Japan, a 50% interest in three operating joint venture properties in South Korea, a 50% interest in one operating joint venture property in Mexico, a 50% interest in one operating joint venture property in Canada. The nine Japanese Premium Outlets operate in various cities throughout Japan and comprise over 3 million square feet of GLA and were 99.4% leased as of December 31, 2013.

The following property tables summarize certain data for our properties located in Japan, South Korea, Mexico, Malaysia, Canada and the various European countries related to the McArthurGlen joint venture property locations at December 31, 2013:

Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries International Properties

	COUNTRY/Property Name	City (Metropolitan area)	Ownership	SPG Effective Ownership	Year Built	Total Gross Leasable Area	Retail Anchors and Major Tenants
INTE	RNATIONAL PREMIUM OUTL		Interest	Ownership	Teal Dung	Leasable Area	Retail Alichors and Major Tenants
	JAPAN	210					
1.	Ami Premium Outlets	Ami (Tokyo)	Fee	40.0%	2009	315,000	Adidas, Banana Republic, BCBG Max Azria, Beams, Brooks Brothers, Coach, Cole Haan, Diesel, Gap Outlet, McGregor, MK Michel Klein, Tommy Hilfiger, Ralph Lauren
2.	Gotemba Premium Outlets	Gotemba City (Tokyo)	Fee	40.0%	2000	481,500	Armani, Balenciaga, Bally, Bottega Veneta, Burberry, Coach, Diesel, Dolce & Gabbana, Dunhill, Gap Outlet, Gucci, Jill Stuart, Loro Piana, Miu Miu, Moschino, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Prada, Salvatore Ferragamo, Tod's
3.	Kobe-Sanda Premium Outlets	Hyougo-ken (Osaka)	Ground Lease (2026)	40.0%	2007	441,000	Adidas, Armani, Bally, Banana Republic, Beams, Brooks Brothers, Coach, Cole Haan, Diesel, Etro, Gap Outlet, Gucci, Harrod's, Helmut Lang, Hugo Boss, Loro Piana, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Salvatore Ferragamo, Theory, Tommy Hilfiger, Valentino
4.	Rinku Premium Outlets	Izumisano (Osaka)	Ground Lease (2031)	40.0%	2000	416,500	Adidas, Armani, Bally, BCBG Max Azria, Beams, Brooks Brothers, Coach, Cole Haan, Diesel, Dolce & Gabbana, Dunhill, Eddie Bauer, Etro, Furla, Gap Outlet, Hugo Boss, Kate Spade, Lacoste, Lanvin Collection, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren
5.	Sano Premium Outlets	Sano (Tokyo)	Ground Lease (2022)	40.0%	2003	390,800	Adidas, Armani, Beams, Brooks Brothers, Coach, Diesel, Dunhill, Eddie Bauer, Etro, Furla, Gap Outlet, Gucci, Harrod's, Kate Spade, Lanvin Collection, Miu Miu, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren
6.	Sendai-Izumi Premium Outlets	Izumi Park Town (Sendai)	Ground Lease (2027)	40.0%	2008	164,200	Adidas. Beams, Brooks Brothers, Coach, Jill Stuart, Levi's, Pleats Please Issey Miyake, Ray-Ban, Tasaki, TaylorMade
7.	Shisui Premium Outlets	Shisui (Chiba), Japan	Ground Lease (2032)	40.0%	2013	234,800	Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Citizen, Coach, Gap, Marmot, Michael Kors, Samsonite, Tommy Hilfiger,
8.	Toki Premium Outlets	Toki (Nagoya)	Ground Lease (2024)	40.0%	2005	289,600	Adidas, BCBG Max Azria, Beams, Brooks Brothers, Coach, Diesel, Eddie Bauer, Furla, Gap Outlet, MK Michel Klein, Nike, Olive des Olive, Polo Ralph Lauren, Timberland, Tommy Hilfiger
9.	Tosu Premium Outlets	Fukuoka (Kyushu)	Ground Lease (2023)	40.0%	2004	290,400	Adidas, Armani, BČBG Max Azria, Beams, Bose, Brooks Brothers, Coach, Cole Haan, Courreges, Dolce & Gabbana, Furla, Gap Outlet, Miki House, Nike, Quiksilver, Reebok, Theory, Tommy Hilfiger
	Subtotal Japan					3,023,800	

Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries International Properties

	COUNTRY/Property Name	City (Metropolitan area)	Ownership Interest	SPG Effective Ownership	Year Built	Total Gross Leasable Area	Retail Anchors and Major Tenants
10.	MEXICO Punta Norte Premium Outlets	Mexico City	Fee	50.0%	2004	278,000	Adidas, Calvin Klein, CH Carolina Herrera, Coach, Kenneth Cole, Lacoste, Levi's, MaxMara, Nautica, Nike, Palacio Outlet, Reebok, Rockport, Salvatore Ferragamo, Swarovski, Zegna
	Subtotal Mexico					278,000	, U
	SOUTH KOREA						
11.	Yeoju Premium Outlets	Yeoju (Seoul)	Fee	50.0%	2007	286,200	Adidas, Giorgio Armani, Burberry, Chloe, Coach, Diesel, Dolce & Gabbana, Escada, Fendi, Gucci, Lacoste, Marc Jacobs, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Salvatore Ferragamo, Theory, Tod's, Valentino, Vivienne Westwood
12.	Paju Premium Outlets	Paju (Seoul)	Fee	50.0%	2011	442,900	Armani, Banana Republic, Calvin Klein, Coach, DKNY, Elie Tahari, Escada, Jill Stuart, Lacoste, Lanvin Collection, Marc Jacobs, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Theory, Tory Burch, Vivienne Westwood
13.	Busan Premium Outlets	Busan	Fee	50.0%	2013	360,200	Adidas, Armani, Banana Republic, Bean Pole, Calvin Klein, Coach, DKNY, Gap, Marc Jacobs, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Theory, The North Face, Tommy Hilfiger
	Subtotal South Korea					1,089,300	, ,
	MALAYSIA						
14.	Johor Premium Outlets	Johor (Singapore)	Fee	50.0%	2011	280,300	Adidas, Armani, Burberry, Calvin Klein, Canali, Coach, DKNY, Gap, Guess, Lacoste, Levi's, Michael Kors, Nike, Salvatore Ferragamo, Timberland, Zegna
	Subtotal Malaysia					280,300	
	CANADA						
15.	Toronto Premium Outlets	Toronto (Ontario)	Fee	50.0%	2013	358,200	Adidas, Banana Republic, Brooks Brothers, Burberry, Calvin Klein, Coach, Eddie Bauer, Gap, Lacoste, Michael Kors, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Quiksilver, Reebok, Tommy Hilfiger
	Subtotal Canada					358,200	
	TOTAL INTERNATIONAL PI	REMIUM OUTLETS				5,029,600	
				32			

Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries International Properties

	COUNTRY	City	Ownership	SPG Effective	VD114	Total Gross	
INTE	COUNTRY/Property Name RNATIONAL DESIGNER OUTI	(Metropolitan area)	Interest	Ownership	Year Built	Leasable Area	Retail Anchors and Major Tenants
	AUSTRIA	6613					
1.	Parndorf Designer Outlets	Vienna	Fee	90.0%	Phase 3 — 2005	118,000	Armani, Bally, Burberry, Calvin Klein, Diesel, Furla, Geox.
	Phases 3 & 4				Phase 4 — 2011		Gucci, Hugo Boss, Joop! Windsor Strellson, McWorld, Michael Kors, Prada, Swarovski, Zegna
	Subtotal Austria					118,000	
2.	ITALY La Reggia Designer Outlets	Marcianise (Naples)	Fee	60.0%	Phase 1 — 2010	288,000	Adidas, Armani, Calvin Klein, Hugo Boss, Lui Jo, Michael
	Phases 1 & 2				Phase 2a — 2010		Kors, Nike, Pinko, Polo Ralph Lauren, Prada, Roberto
					Phase 2b — 2011		Cavalli, Timberland, Tommy Hilfiger, Valentino
3.	Noventa Di Piave Designer	Venice	Fee	60.0%	Phase 1 — 2008	280,000	Armani, Bottega Veneta, Brioni, Brooks Brothers,
	Outlets Phases 1, 2, & 3				Phase 2 — 2010		Burberry, Calvin Klein, Fendi, Hugo Boss, Loro Piana,
					Phase 3 — 2012		Michael Kors, Nike, Pal Zileri, Paul Smith, Prada, Salvatore
							Ferragamo, Sergio Rossi, Tommy Hilfiger, Valentino, Versace
	Subtotal Italy					568,000	
	NETHERLANDS						
4.	Roermond Designer Outlets	Roermond	Fee	90.0%	Phase 2 — 2005	173,000	Armani, Burberry, Calvin Klein, Escada, Furla, Gucci, Hugo
	Phases 2 & 3				Phase 3 — 2011		Boss, Joop! Windsor Strellson, Loro Piana, Michael Kors, Moncler, Mulberry, Prada, Ralph Lauren Luxury, Swarovski,
							Tod's, Tommy Hilfiger
	Subtotal Netherlands					173,000	
	UNITED KINGDOM						
5.	Ashford Designer Outlets	Kent	Fee	22.5%	2000	183,000	Abercrombie and Fitch, Adidas, CK Underwear, Clarks, Fossil, French Connection, Gap, Guess, Lacoste, Levis, Marks & Spencer, Next, Nike, Polo Ralph Lauren, Reiss, Superdry, Swarovski, Tommy Hilfiger
	Subtotal United Kingdom					183,000	
	Total International Designer Ou	tlets				1,042,000	
FOO	INOTES:						
(1)	All gross leasable area listed in	square feet.					

Land

We have direct or indirect ownership interests in approximately 350 acres of land held in the United States and Canada for future development.

Sustainability and Energy Efficiency

We focus on energy efficiency as a core sustainability strategy. Through the continued use of energy conservation practices, energy efficiency projects, and continuous monitoring and reporting, we have reduced our energy consumption at comparable properties every year since 2003. As a result, excluding new developments and expansions, we reduced the electricity usage over which we have direct control by 260 million kWhs since 2003. This reflects an annual value of over \$25 million in avoided operating costs. Our documented reduction in greenhouse gas emissions resulting from our energy management efforts is 193,700 metric tons of CO2e.

In 2012, we were awarded *NAREIT's Leader in the Light Award* for our energy conservation efforts for the eighth consecutive year and were the only company to have achieved the Leader in the Light distinction each year from 2005 through 2012. We were the only Retail REIT included in the 2013 Carbon Disclosure Leadership Index published by the Carbon Disclosure Project.

Mortgage Financing on Properties

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the mortgages and unsecured indebtedness encumbering our properties, and the properties held by our domestic and international joint venture arrangements, and also our unsecured corporate debt. Substantially all of the mortgage and property related debt is nonrecourse to us.

Property Name	Interest Rate	Fac Amor		Annua Servi		Maturity Date
Consolidated Indebtedness:						
Mortgage Indebtedness:						
Anderson Mall	4.61%	\$ 2	.0,398	\$	1,408	12/01/22
Bangor Mall	6.15%	8	80,000		4,918 (2	2) 10/01/17
Battlefield Mall	3.95%	12	5,000		4,938 (2	2) 09/01/22
Birch Run Premium Outlets	5.95%	10	4,240 (10))	8,078	04/11/16
Bloomingdale Court	8.15%	2	5,164		2,495	11/01/15
Brunswick Square	5.65%	7	6,672		5,957	08/11/14
Calhoun Premium Outlets	5.79%	2	20,035 (22))	1,519	09/01/16
Carolina Premium Outlets	3.36%	4	9,452		2,675	12/01/22
Chesapeake Square	5.84%	e	5,242		5,162	08/01/14
Concord Mills Marketplace	4.82%	1	6,000		771 (2	2) 11/01/23
DeKalb Plaza	5.28%		2,377		284	01/01/15
Domain, The	5.44%	20)1,511		14,085	08/01/21
Empire Mall	5.79%	17	6,300		10,215 (2	2) 06/01/16
Ellenton Premium Outlets	5.51%	10	2,442 (21))	7,649	01/11/16
Florida Keys Outlet Center	5.51%	1	0,454 (21))	781	01/11/16
Forest Plaza	7.50%	1	7,733 (32))	1,685	10/10/19
Gaffney Premium Outlets	5.79%	3	6,360 (22))	2,757	09/01/16
Grand Prairie Premium Outlets	3.66%	12	20,000		4,392 (2	2) 04/01/23
Great Mall	6.01%	26	69,306		16,880	08/28/15 (3)
Greenwood Park Mall	8.00%	7	6,677 (19)	7,044	08/01/16
Grove City Premium Outlets	5.51%	11	0,590 (21))	8,258	01/11/16
Gulfport Premium Outlets	5.51%	2	4,674 (21))	1,842	01/11/16
Gurnee Mills	5.77%	32	1,000		18,512 (2	2) 07/01/17
Hagerstown Premium Outlets	5.95%	8	7,586 (10))	6,787	04/11/16
Henderson Square	4.43%	1	3,301		937	04/01/16
Huntley Outlet Center	5.51%	2	9,243 (21)	2,183	01/11/16
Independence Center	5.94%	20	0,000		11,886 (2	2) 07/10/17
Ingram Park Mall	5.38%	13	9,954		9,746	06/01/21
Jersey Shore Premium Outlets	5.51%	е	8,630 (21))	5,124	01/11/16
King of Prussia — The Court & The Plaza — 1	7.49%	e	3,529		23,183	01/01/17
King of Prussia — The Court & The Plaza — 2	8.53%		4,553		1,685	01/01/17
King of Prussia — The Court & The Plaza — 3	4.50%		50,000		2,250 (2	2) 01/01/17
Lake View Plaza	8.00%	1	5,470		1,409	12/31/14
Lakeline Plaza	7.50%	1	6,613 (32)	1,578	10/10/19
Las Americas Premium Outlets	5.84%		78,806		12,728	06/11/16
Lebanon Premium Outlets	5.51%	1	5,170 (21)		1,133	01/11/16
Lee Premium Outlets	5.79%	5	0,014 (22)	3,792	09/01/16
Mall at Chestnut Hill, The	4.69%		0,000	,	5,624 (2	
Mall of Georgia Crossing	4.28%	2	4,527		1,481	10/06/22
Merrimack Premium Outlets	3.78%		80,000		4,908 (2	
Mesa Mall	5.79%		37,250		5,055 (2	
Midland Park Mall	4.35%		3,293		5,078	09/06/22
Montgomery Mall	5.17%		80,265		6,307	05/11/34
Muncie Towne Plaza	7.50%		6,907 (32))	656	10/10/19
Naples Outlet Center	5.51%	1	5,718 (21)		1,174	01/11/16
North Ridge Shopping Center	3.41%		2,500	,	427 (2	
Northfield Square	6.05%		4,970		2,485	02/11/14
. or america oquare	0.0570	2	,070		_,100	

Property Name	Interest Rate	Face Amount	Annual Debt Service (1)	Maturity Date
Opry Mills	6.16%	280,000	17,248 (2)	10/10/16 (3)
Opry Mills — 2	5.00%	102,347	5,117 (2)	10/10/16 (3)
Oxford Valley Mall	4.77%	67,722	4,456	12/07/20
Palms Crossing	5.49%	37,179 (8)	2,612	08/01/21
Penn Square Mall	7.75%	95,256	8,597	04/01/16
Pismo Beach Premium Outlets	5.84%	33,850 (20)	1,978 (2)	11/06/16
Plaza Carolina	1.52% (1)	225,000	3,415 (2)	09/30/17 (3)
Pleasant Prairie Premium Outlets	5.51%	58,943 (21)	4,401	01/11/16
Pleasant Prairie Premium Outlets 2	6.01%	35,787	2,758	12/01/16
Potomac Mills	5.83%	410,000	23,901 (2)	07/11/17
Port Charlotte Town Center	5.30%	46,353	3,232	11/01/20
Puerto Rico Premium Outlets	1.52% (1)	125,000	1,897 (2)	09/30/17 (3)
Queenstown Premium Outlets	5.84%	66,150 (20)	3,864 (2)	11/06/16
Rushmore Mall	5.79%	94,000	5,446 (2)	06/01/16
Sawgrass Mills	5.82%	820,000	47,724 (2)	07/01/14 (36)
San Marcos Premium Outlets	5.51%	140,276 (21)	10,474	01/11/16
Shops at Arbor Walk, The	5.49%	42,020 (8)	2,952	08/01/21
Shops at Riverside, The	3.37%	130,000	4,382 (2)	02/01/23
Southdale Center	3.84%	155,000	5,958 (2)	04/01/23
Southern Hills Mall	5.79%	101,500	5,881 (2)	06/01/16
SouthPark	8.00%	189,775 (19)	17,434	08/01/16
Southridge Mall	3.85%	125,000	4,818 (2)	06/06/23
Summit Mall	5.42%	65,000	3,526 (2)	06/10/17
Sunland Park Mall	8.63% (13)	28,359	3,773	01/01/26
The Crossings Premium Outlets	3.41%	115,000	3,926 (2)	12/01/22
Town Center at Cobb	4.76%	200,000	9,514 (2)	05/01/22
Towne West Square	5.61%	49,360	3,516	06/01/21
Upper Valley Mall	5.89%	42,447 (28)	1,920	07/01/16 (3)
Valle Vista Mall	5.35%	40,000	2,140 (2)	05/10/17
Walt Whitman Shops	8.00%	116,932 (19)	10,742	08/01/16
Washington Square	5.94%	24,676 (25)	1,072	07/01/16
West Ridge Mall	5.89%	64,794	4,885	07/01/14
White Oaks Mall	5.54%	50,000	2,768 (2)	11/01/16
White Oaks Plaza	7.50%	13,813 (32)	1,312	10/10/19
Williamsburg Premium Outlets	5.95%	101,186 (10)	7,841	04/11/16
Wolfchase Galleria	5.64%	225,000	12,700 (2)	04/01/17
Woodland Hills Mall	7.79%	92,908	8,414	04/05/19
Total Consolidated Mortgage Indebtedness	\$	8,180,559		
0.0				
Unsecured Indebtedness:				
Simon Property Group, LP:				
Revolving Credit Facility — USD Currency	1.12% (15) \$	300,000 (40) \$	3,353 (2)	10/30/16 (3)
Revolving Credit Facility — Euro Currency	1.15% (15)	660,113 (16)	7,601 (2)	10/30/16 (3)
Supplemental Credit Facility — Yen Currency	1.06% (15)	212,186 (23)	2,246 (2)	06/30/17 (3)
Unsecured Notes — 4C	7.38%	200,000	14,750 (14)	06/15/18
Unsecured Notes — 10B	4.90%	200,000	9,800 (14)	01/30/14 (30)
Unsecured Notes — 11B	5.63%	218,430	12,287 (14)	08/15/14
Unsecured Notes — 12A	5.10%	600,000	30,600 (14)	06/15/15
Unsecured Notes — 13B	5.75%	600,000	34,500 (14)	12/01/15
Unsecured Notes — 14B	6.10%	400,000	24,400 (14)	05/01/16
	36			

Property Name	Interest Rate	Face Amount	Annual Debt Service (1)	Maturity Date
Unsecured Notes — 15B	5.88%	500,000	29,375 (14)	
Unsecured Notes — 16B	5.25%	650,000	34,125 (14)	12/01/16
Unsecured Notes — 19B	6.13%	800,000	49,000 (14)	
Unsecured Notes — 20A	10.35%	650,000	67,275 (14)	
Unsecured Notes — 21A	6.75%	516,052	34,834 (14)	
Unsecured Notes — 22A	4.20%	400,000	16,800 (14)	. ,
Unsecured Notes — 22B	5.65%	1,250,000	70,625 (14)	
Unsecured Notes — 22C	6.75%	600,000	40,500 (14)	
Unsecured Notes — 23A	4.38%	900,000	39,375 (14)	
Unsecured Notes — 24A	2.80%	500.000	14,000 (14)	
Unsecured Notes — 24B	4.13%	700,000	28,875 (14)	
Unsecured Notes — 25A	2.15%	600,000	12,900 (14)	
Unsecured Notes — 25B	3.38%	600,000	20,250 (14)	
Unsecured Notes — 25C	4.75%	550,000	26,125 (14)	
Unsecured Notes — 26A	1.50%	750,000	11,250 (14)	
Unsecured Notes — 26B	2.75%	500,000	13,750 (14)	
Unsecured Notes — Euro 1	2.38%	1,035,742 (39)		10/02/20
Unsecured Term Loan	1.27% (1)	240,000	3,042 (2)	02/28/18 (3)
	1.2770 (1)	15,132,523	3,042 (2)	02/20/10 (3)
The Detail Droporty Truct subcidiary		13,132,323		
The Retail Property Trust, subsidiary: Unsecured Notes — CPI 5	7.88%	250,000	10 600 (14)	02/15/16
Oliseculeu Noles — CPI 5	/.00%	250,000	19,688 (14)	03/15/16
		250,000		
		* 15 202 522		
Total Consolidated Unsecured Indebtedness		\$ 15,382,523		
Total Consolidated Indebtedness at Face Amounts		\$ 23,563,082		
Premium on Indebtedness		65,677		
Discount on Indebtedness	-	(40,228)		
Total Consolidated Indebtedness		\$ 23,588,531		
Our Share of Consolidated Indebtedness		\$ 23,425,910		
Joint Venture Indebtedness:	-			
Mortgage Indebtedness:				
Ami Premium Outlets	1.83%	97,691 (26)	11,956	09/25/23
Ashford Designer Outlets — Fixed	4.27% (11)	59,382 (41)		07/31/16
Ashford Designer Outlets — Variable	2.42% (1)	6,598 (41)		07/31/16
Arizona Mills	5.76%	167,143	12,268	07/01/20
Arundel Mills	6.14%	369,381	28,116	08/01/14 (37)
Arundel Mills Marketplace	5.92%	10,492	884	01/01/14 (37)
Auburn Mall	6.02%	40,338	3,027	09/01/20
Aventura Mall	3.75%	1,200,000	45,002 (2)	12/01/20
Avenues, The	3.60%	110,000	3,960 (2)	02/06/23
Briarwood Mall	7.50%	112,000 (33)		11/30/16
Busan Premium Outlets — Fixed	5.52%	64,972 (17)		02/10/17
Busan Premium Outlets — Variable	4.98% (27)	48,753 (17)		02/13/17
California Department Stores	6.53%			
1		31,300	2,044 (2)	11/01/17
Cape Cod Mall Circle Centre	5.75%	96,550	7,003	03/06/21
	3.07% (24) 5.08%	67,000	2,055 (2)	01/28/20 (3)
Clay Terrace	5.08%	115,000	5,842 (2)	10/01/15

Property Name	Interest Rate	Face Amount	Annual Debt Service (1)	Maturity Date
Coconut Point	5.83%	230,000	13,409 (2)	12/10/16
Coddingtown Mall	1.92% (1)	12,450	839	03/01/17 (3)
Colorado Mills	3.92% (18)	126,162	4,943 (2)	06/01/15
Concord Mills	3.84%	235,000	9,015 (2)	11/01/22
Crystal Mall	4.46%	95,000	4,237 (2)	06/06/22
Dadeland Mall	4.50%	450,000	27,361	12/05/21
Del Amo Fashion Center	2.17% (1)	310,000	6,720 (2)	01/17/18 (3)
Denver West Village	5.04%	28,000	1,410 (2)	07/01/21
Domain Westin	1.92% (1)	45,000	863 (2)	08/30/18 (3)
Dover Mall	5.57%	91,171	6,455	08/06/21
Emerald Square Mall	4.71%	112,706	7,165	08/11/22
Falls, The	7.50%	108,267 (33)	10,287	11/30/16
Fashion Centre Pentagon Office	5.11%	40,000	2,043 (2)	07/01/21
Fashion Centre Pentagon Retail	4.87%	410,000	19,957 (2)	07/01/21
Fashion Valley — 1	4.30%	474,351	28,208	01/04/21
Fashion Valley — 2	6.00%	5,587	549	05/01/14
Firewheel Residential	5.91%	22,078	1,635	12/01/16 (3)
Firewheel Residential II	2.17% (1)	18,399	399 (2)	08/23/17 (3)
Florida Mall, The	5.25%	356,752	24,849	09/05/20
Gaitway Plaza	4.60%	13,900 (35)	640 (2)	07/01/15
Grapevine Mills	2.32% (1)	269,053	12,497	09/22/14
Greendale Mall	6.00%	45,000	2,699 (2)	10/01/16
Gotemba Premium Outlets	0.56%	24,039 (26)	6,281	02/28/18
Hamilton Town Center	4.81%	84,000	4,038 (2)	04/01/22
Houston Galleria — 1	5.44%	643,583	34,985 (2)	12/01/15
Houston Galleria — 2	5.44%	177,417	9,644 (2)	12/01/15
Indian River Commons	5.21%	9,058 (38)	637	11/01/14
Indian River Mall	5.21%	61,373 (38)	4,313	11/01/14
Johor Premium Outlets	4.87% (7)	25,285 (9)	6,824	10/14/20
Katy Mills	3.49%	140,000	4,886 (2)	12/06/22
Kobe-Sanda Premium Outlets — Fixed	1.70%	954 (26)	969	01/31/14 (37)
Kobe-Sanda Premium Outlets — Variable	0.71% (12)	41,961 (26)	6,417	01/31/18
Lehigh Valley Mall	5.88%	133,542	9,943	07/05/20
La Reggia Designer Outlets Phases 1 & 2	1.70% (44)	91,085 (42)	7,001	03/31/27
Liberty Tree Mall	3.41%	34,619	1,866	05/06/23
Mall at Rockingham Park, The	5.61%	260,000	14,586 (2)	03/10/17
Mall at Tuttle Crossing, The	3.56%	125,000	4,455 (2)	05/01/23
Mall of New Hampshire, The	6.23%	127,205	10,079	10/05/15
Meadowood Mall	5.82%	121,817	8,818	11/06/21
Montreal Premium Outlets	2.52% (4)	13,058 (5)	329 (2)	09/10/17
Northshore Mall	3.30%	272,747	14,453	07/05/23
Noventa Di Piave Designer Outlets Phase 1	1.30% (44)	48,836 (42)	3,938	08/29/26
Noventa Di Piave Designer Outlets Phase 2 & 3	2.79% (43)	52,156 (42)	3,955	06/30/27
Ontario Mills	4.25%	339,507	20,661	03/05/22
Outlets at Orange, The	6.25%	213,163	16,258	10/01/14
Paju Premium Outlets	4.08%	102,817 (17)	4,197 (2)	11/28/19
Parndorf Designer Outlets Phases 3 & 4	2.42% (43)	50,920 (42)	5,013	06/30/16
Plaza at Buckland Hills, The	4.60%	24,800	1,142 (2)	07/01/15
Quaker Bridge Mall — 1	7.03%	13,760	2,407	04/01/16
Quaker Bridge Mall — 2	2.95%	62,000	1,829 (2)	04/01/16
Quaker Bridge Mall — 2	2.95%	62,000	1,829 (2)	04/01/16

Property Name	Interest Rate	Face Amount	Annual Debt Service (1)	Maturity Date
Rinku Premium Outlets — Fixed	1.85%	5,290 (2		11/25/14
Rinku Premium Outlets — Variable	0.48% (12)	17,154 (2	6) 1,989	07/31/17
Roermond Designer Outlets Phases 2 & 3 — Fixed	5.12% (11)	66,804 (4	2) 3,418 (2)	12/01/17
Roermond Designer Outlets Phases 2 & 3 — Variable	2.62% (45)	28,630 (4	2) 749 (2)	12/01/17
Sano Premium Outlets	0.51% (12)	11,102 (2	6) 4,688	05/31/18
Seminole Towne Center	5.97%	58,152	4,303	05/06/21
Sendai-Izumi Premium Outlets	0.49% (12)	18,107 (2	6) 3,710	10/31/18
Shisui Premium Outlets	0.46% (12)	50,700 (2	6) 5,569	05/31/18
Shops at Mission Viejo, The	3.61%	295,000	10,650 (2)	02/01/23
Shops at Sunset Place, The	5.62%	73,936	5,892	09/01/20
Silver Sands Premium Outlets	3.93%	100,000	3,930 (2)	06/01/22
Smith Haven Mall	5.16%	180,000	9,283 (2)	03/01/16
Solomon Pond Mall	4.01%	107,810	6,309	11/01/22
Southdale Residential	1.82% (1)	148	3 (2)	07/01/18 (3)
SouthPark Residential	4.80%	22,000	1,056 (2)	05/01/21
Springfield Mall	4.77% (11)	63,789	3,492	11/30/15
Square One Mall	5.47%	97,496	6,793	01/06/22
Stoneridge Shopping Center	7.50%	219,061 (3	3) 19,214	11/30/16
St. Johns Town Center	5.06%	160,766	11,025	03/11/15
St. John's Town Center Phase II	1.87% (1)	77,096	531	05/10/15
St. John's Town Center Phase III	1.42% (1)	5,361	76 (2)	01/28/16 (3)
Tanger Outlets — Galveston/Houston	1.67% (1)	65,000	1,084 (2)	07/01/18 (3)
Toki Premium Outlets	1.00% (12)	8,154 (2	6) 1,564	04/30/15
Toronto Premium Outlets	2.37% (4)	84,923 (5) 2,014 (2)	07/09/15
Tosu Premium Outlets	0.48% (12)	22,110 (2		12/31/18
Village Park Plaza	4.60%	29,850	1,374 (2)	07/01/15
West Town Corners	4.60%	18,800 (3	5) 865 (2)	07/01/15
West Town Mall	6.34%	210,000	13,309 (2)	12/01/17
Westchester, The	6.00%	357,141	26,980	05/05/20
Whitehall Mall	7.00%	10,617	1,149	11/01/18
Woodfield Mall	4.50%	425,000	19,125 (2)	
Yeoju Premium Outlets	4.68%	7,495 (1	7) 351 (2)	09/06/20
Total Joint Venture Mortgage Indebtedness at Face				
Value	\$	5 12,287,670		
The Mills Limited Partnership Secured Indebtedness at				
Face Value	<u> </u>	5 731,586 (2	9)	
Total Joint Venture and The Mills Limited				
Partnership Indebtedness at Face Value	\$	5 13,019,256		
Premium on Indebtedness		5,001		
Total Joint Venture Indebtedness	4			
Our Share of Joint Venture Indebtedness	4	6,096,446 (3	1)	

- (1) Variable rate loans based on 1M LIBOR plus interest rate spreads ranging from 95 bps to 375 bps. 1M LIBOR as of December 31, 2013 was 0.17%.
- (2) Requires monthly payment of interest only.
- (3) Includes applicable extension available at the Applicable Borrower's option.
- (4) Variable rate loans based on 1M CDOR plus interest rate spreads ranging from 115 bps to 130 bps. 1M CDOR at December 31, 2013 was 1.22%.
- (5) Amount shown in USD equivalent. CAD Equivalent is 104.3 million.
- (6) Requires annual payment of interest only.
- (7) Variable rate loans based on Cost of Fund plus interest rates spreads ranging from 150 bps to 175 bps. Cost of Fund as of December 31, 2013 was 3.35%.
- (8) Loans secured by these two properties are cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted.
- (9) Amount shown in USD Equivalent. Ringgit equivalent is 83.2 million.
- (10) Loans secured by these three properties are cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted.
- (11) Associated with these loans are interest rate swap agreements that effectively fix the interest rate of the loans at the all-in rate presented.
- (12) Variable rate loans based on 1M YEN LIBOR or 6M YEN LIBOR plus interest rate spreads ranging from 25 bps to 137.5 bps. As of December 31, 2013, 1M YEN LIBOR and 6M YEN LIBOR were 0.11% and 0.21%, respectively.
- (13) Lender also participates in a percentage of certain gross receipts above a specified base. This threshold was met and additional interest was paid in 2013.
- (14) Requires semi-annual payments of interest only.
- (15) \$4.0 Billion Revolving Credit Facility and \$2.0 Billion Supplemental Credit Facility. As of December 31, 2013, the Credit Facility USD Currency bears interest at LIBOR + 95 bps, the Credit Facility Euro Currency bears interest a 1M EURO LIBOR + 95 bps and the Supplemental Credit Facility bears interest at 1M YEN LIBOR + 95 basis points. All facilities provide for different pricing based upon our investment grade rating. As of December 31, 2013, \$4.8 billion was available after outstanding borrowings and letter of credits.
- (16) Amount shown in USD Equivalent. Balances include borrowings on multi-currency tranche of Euro 478.0 million.
- (17) Amount shown in USD equivalent. Won Equivalent is 236.2 billion.
- (18) Variable rate loan based on 1M LIBOR plus an interest rate spread of 375 bps. In addition, 1M LIBOR is capped at 3.75%.
- (19) Loans secured by these three properties are cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted.
- (20) Loans secured by these two properties are cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted.
- (21) Loans secured by these ten properties are cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted.
- (22) Loans secured by these three properties are cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted.
- (23) Amount shown in USD Equivalent. Balances include borrowings on multi-currency tranche of Yen 22.3 billion.
- (24) Variable rate loan based on 1M LIBOR plus an interest rate spread of 290 bps. In addition, 1M LIBOR is capped at 5.00%.
- (25) Comprised of a \$15.0 million note at 5.94% and a \$12.8 million note that is non-interest bearing.
- (26) Amount shown in USD Equivalent. Yen equivalent is 31.2 billion.
- (27) Variable rate loans based on 91 Day Korean CD rate plus interest rate spreads ranging from 200 bps to 290 bps. The 91 Day Korean CD rate as of December 31, 2013 was 2.66%.
- (28) Comprised of a \$27.0 million note at 5.89% and a \$20.0 million note that is non-interest bearing.
- (29) Consists of five properties with interest rates ranging from 4.50% to 7.32% and maturities between 2015 and 2023.
- (30) Unsecured note was repaid at maturity.

- (31) Our share of total indebtedness includes a pro rata share of the mortgage debt on joint venture properties, including The Mills Limited Partnership. To the extent total indebtedness is secured by a property, it is non-recourse to us, with the exception of approximately \$190.8 million of payment guarantees provided by us (of which \$83.0 million is recoverable from our venture partner under the partnership agreement).
- (32) Loans secured by these four properties are cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted.
- (33) Loans secured by these three properties are cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted.
- (34) We have noticed holders of these notes our intent to prepay at par on February 14, 2014.
- (35) Loans secured by these two properties are cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted.
- (36) Mortgage was repaid on January 2, 2014.
- (37) Loan refinanced after 12/31/13.
- (38) Loans secured by these two properties are cross-collateralized and cross-defaulted.
- (39) Amount shown in USD equivalent. Euro equivalent is 750.0 million.
- (40) \$300.0 million draw on December 30, 2013 was used to partially fund the payoff of the Sawgrass Mills mortgage on January 2, 2014. The entire outstanding balance on the Revolving Credit Facility USD Currency was repaid on January 22, 2014.
- (41) Amount shown in USD equivalent. GBP equivalent is 40.0 million.
- (42) Amount shown in USD equivalent. Euro equivalent is 245.1 million.
- (43) Variable rate loan based on 3M EURIBOR plus interest rate spreads ranging from 200 bps to 250 bps. 3M EURIBOR at December 31, 2013 was 0.29%.
- (44) Variable rate loan based on 6M EURIBOR plus interest rate spreads ranging from 95 bps to 135 bps. 6M EURIBOR at December 31, 2013 was 0.35%.
- (45) Variable rate loan based on 1M EURIBOR plus interest rate spreads ranging from 220 bps to 275 bps. 1M EURIBOR at December 31, 2013 was 0.22%.

The changes in consolidated mortgages and unsecured indebtedness for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, 2011 are as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Balance, Beginning of Year	\$ 23,113,007	\$ 18,446,440	\$ 17,473,760
Additions during period:			
New Loan Originations (a)	2,004,709	4,873,844	1,865,794
Loans assumed in acquisitions and consolidation		2,589,130	619,192
Net Premium	(3,273)	70,689	28,483
Deductions during period:			
Loan Retirements	(1,412,811)	(2,758,515)	(1,471,034)
Amortization of Net Premiums	(33,535)	(33,504)	(8,438)
Scheduled Principal Amortization	(79,566)	(75,077)	(61,317)
Balance, Close of Year	\$ 23,588,531	\$ 23,113,007	\$ 18,446,440

(a) Includes net activity on the credit facilities

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are involved from time-to-time in various legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business, including, but not limited to commercial disputes, environmental matters, and litigation in connection with transactions including acquisitions and divestitures. We believe that such litigation, claims and administrative proceedings will not have a material adverse impact on our financial position or our results of operations. We record a liability when a loss is considered probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Part II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information

There is no established trading market for our units or preferred units. The following table sets forth for the periods indicated, the distributions declared on our units:

	Declared stributions
2012	
1 st Quarter	\$ 0.95
2 nd Quarter	1.00
3 rd Quarter	1.05
4 th Quarter	1.10
2013	
1 st Quarter	\$ 1.15
2 nd Quarter	1.15
3 rd Quarter	1.15
4 th Quarter	1.20

Holders

The number of holders of record of units was 251 as of February 14, 2014.

Distributions

We make distributions on our units in amounts sufficient to maintain Simon Property's qualification as a REIT. Simon Property is required each year to distribute to its stockholders at least 90% of its taxable income after certain adjustments. Future distributions will be determined at the discretion of Simon Property's Board of Directors based on actual results of operations, cash available for distribution, and what may be required to maintain Simon Property's status as a REIT.

Distributions during 2013 aggregated \$4.65 per unit. Distributions during 2012 aggregated \$4.10 per unit. In January of 2014, Simon Property's Board of Directors declared a quarterly common stock dividend for the first quarter of 2014 of \$1.25 per share. The distribution rate on our units is equal to the dividend rate on Simon Property's common stock.



Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following tables set forth selected financial data. The selected financial data should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto and with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Other data we believe is important in understanding trends in our business is also included in the tables.

As of or for the Year Ended December 31,									
	2013		2012		2011		2010 (1)		2009
	(in thousands, except per unit data)								
\$	5,170,138	\$	4,880,084	\$	4,306,432	\$	3,957,630	\$	3,775,216
	1,551,590		1,719,632		1,245,900		753,514		387,262
\$	1,537,348	\$	1,705,860	\$	1,232,089	\$	733,945	\$	343,572
\$	4.24	\$	4.72	\$	3.48	\$	2.10	\$	1.06
	362,356		361,323		354,026		349,976		324,102
\$	4.24	\$	4.72	\$	3.48	\$	2.10	\$	1.05
	362,356		361,324		354,095		350,250		325,764
\$	4.65	\$	4.10	\$	3.50	\$	2.60	\$	2.70
\$	1,716,863	\$	1,184,518	\$	798,650	\$	796,718	\$	3,957,718
	33,324,574		32,586,606		26,216,925		24,857,429		25,948,266
	23,588,531		23,113,007		18,446,440		17,473,760		18,630,302
\$	6,822,632	\$	6,893,089	\$	5,544,288	\$	5,633,752	\$	5,182,962
\$	2,700,996	\$	2,513,072	\$	2,005,887	\$	1,755,210	\$	1,720,520
	(948,088)		(3,580,671)		(994,042)		(1,246,695)		(418,991)
	(1,220,563)		1,453,467		(1,009,913)		(3,669,515)		1,882,645
	2.33x		2.50x		2.11x		1.56x		1.45x
\$	3,205,693	\$	2,884,915	\$	2,438,765	\$	1,770,491	\$	1,812,227
	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 5,170,138 1,551,590 \$ 1,537,348 \$ 4.24 362,356 \$ 4.24 362,356 \$ 4.24 362,356 \$ 4.65 \$ 1,716,863 33,324,574 23,588,531 \$ 6,822,632 \$ 2,700,996 (948,088) (1,220,563) 2.33x	\$ 5,170,138 \$ 1,551,590 \$ 1,551,590 \$ 1,537,348 \$ \$ 1,537,348 \$ \$ 4.24 \$ 362,356 \$ \$ 4.24 \$ 362,356 \$ \$ 4.24 \$ 362,356 \$ \$ 4.24 \$ 362,356 \$ \$ \$ 4.24 \$ 362,356 \$ \$ \$ 1,716,863 \$ \$ 1,716,863 \$ \$ 6,822,632 \$ \$ 6,822,632 \$ \$ (948,088) \$ (1,220,563) 2.33x \$	2013 2012 (in thou \$ 5,170,138 \$ 4,880,084 1,551,590 1,719,632 \$ 1,537,348 \$ 1,705,860 \$ 1,537,348 \$ 1,705,860 \$ 4.24 \$ 4.72 362,356 361,323 \$ 4.24 \$ 4.72 362,356 361,324 \$ 4.24 \$ 4.72 362,356 361,324 \$ 4.24 \$ 4.72 362,356 361,324 \$ 4.65 \$ 4.10 * 33,324,574 33,324,574 32,586,606 23,588,531 23,113,007 \$ 6,822,632 \$ 6,893,089 * - * 2,700,996 \$ 2,513,072 (948,088) (3,580,671) (1,220,563) 1,453,467 2,33x 2,50x	2013 2012 (in thousand) \$ 5,170,138 \$ 4,880,084 \$ \$ 5,170,138 \$ 4,880,084 \$ \$ 1,551,590 1,719,632 \$ \$ 1,537,348 \$ 1,705,860 \$ \$ 1,537,348 \$ 1,705,860 \$ \$ 1,537,348 \$ 1,705,860 \$ \$ 4.24 \$ 4.72 \$ 362,356 361,323 \$ \$ 4.24 \$ 4.72 \$ \$ 4.23 \$ 4.72 \$ \$ 362,356 361,324 \$ \$ 4.65 \$ 4.100 \$ \$ 3,324,574 32,586,606 \$ 23,588,531 23,113,007 \$ \$ 6,822,632 \$ 6,893,089 \$ \$ (948,088) (3,580,671) \$ (1,220,563) 1,453,467 \$ 2,33x 2,50x \$	2013 2012 2011 (in thousands, except per unit, in thous, in th	2013 2012 2011 (in thousands, except per unit d \$ 5,170,138 4,880,084 4,306,432 \$ 1,551,590 1,719,632 1,245,900 \$ \$ 1,537,348 \$ 1,705,860 \$ 1,232,089 \$ \$ 4.24 \$ 4,72 \$ 3.48 \$ 362,356 361,323 354,026 \$ \$ 4.24 \$ 4.72 \$ 3.48 \$ 362,356 361,324 \$ 354,026 \$ \$ 4.24 \$ 4.72 \$ 3.48 \$ 362,356 361,324 \$ 354,026 \$ \$ 4.65 \$ 4.10 \$ 3.48 \$ \$ 362,356 361,324 \$ 354,095 \$ \$ 4.65 \$ 4.10 \$ 3.50 \$ \$ 33,324,574 32,586,606 26,216,925 \$ \$ 3,3324,574 32,586,606 26,216,925 \$ \$ 6,822,632 \$ 6,833,089 \$ 5,544,288 \$ \$ 944,042 \$ 2,005,887 \$ \$ 948,088	2013 2012 2011 2010 (1) (in thousands, except per unit data) \$ 5,170,138 4,880,084 4,306,432 \$ 3,957,630 1,551,590 1,719,632 1,245,900 753,514 \$ 1,537,348 \$ 1,705,860 \$ 1,232,089 \$ 733,945 \$ 4.24 \$ 4.72 \$ 3.48 \$ 2.10 362,356 361,323 354,026 349,976 \$ 4.24 \$ 4.72 \$ 3.48 \$ 2.10 362,356 361,323 354,026 349,976 \$ 4.24 \$ 4.72 \$ 3.48 \$ 2.10 362,356 361,324 354,095 350,250 \$ 4.65 \$ 4.10 \$ 3.50 \$ 2.60 \$ 1,716,863 \$ 1,184,518 \$ 798,650 \$ 796,718 33,324,574 32,586,606 26,216,925 24,857,429 \$ 3,324,574 32,580,606 26,216,925 24,857,429 \$ 3,324,574 32,580,606 26,216,925 24,857,429 \$ 3,52,632 \$ 6,893,089 \$ 5,544,288 \$ 5,633,752	2013 2012 2011 2010 (1) (in thousands, except per unit data) \$ 5,170,138 4,880,084 4,306,432 \$ 3,957,630 \$ \$ 1,551,590 1,719,632 1,245,900 753,514 \$ \$ 1,537,348 \$ 1,705,860 \$ 1,232,089 \$ 753,514 \$ \$ 4.24 \$ 4.72 \$ 3.48 \$ 2.10 \$ \$ 4.24 \$ 4.72 \$ 3.48 \$ 2.10 \$ \$ 362,356 361,323 354,026 349,976 \$ \$ 4.24 \$ 4.72 \$ 3.48 \$ 2.10 \$ \$ 362,356 361,323 354,026 349,976 \$ \$ 4.65 \$ 4.72 \$ 3.48 \$ 2.10 \$ \$ 362,356 361,324 354,095 350,250 \$ \$ 4.65 \$ 1,184,518 \$ 798,650 \$ 2,600 \$ \$ 3,324,574 32,586,606 26,216,925 24,857,429 \$ 23,588,531 2,3113,007 18,446,440 17,473,760 \$

Notes

(1) During the year ended December 31, 2010, we recorded a \$350.7 million loss on extinguishment of debt associated with two unsecured notes tender offers and we recorded transaction expenses of \$69.0 million.

(2) Represents distributions on units declared per period.

(3) Ratio calculations for years prior to the year ended December 31, 2012 have been revised to conform to the most recent presentation.

(4) FFO is a non-GAAP financial measure that we believe provides useful information to investors. Please refer to Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a definition and reconciliation of FFO to consolidated net income.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto that are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Overview

Simon Property Group, L.P. is a Delaware limited partnership and the majority-owned partnership subsidiary of Simon Property Group, Inc. In this discussion, the terms "Operating Partnership", "we", "us" and "our" refer to Simon Property Group, L.P. and its subsidiaries and the term "Simon Property" refers specifically to Simon Property Group, Inc. Simon Property, a Delaware corporation, is a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. REITs will generally not be liable for federal corporate income taxes as long as they continue to distribute not less than 100% of their taxable income. According to our partnership agreement, we are required to pay all expenses of Simon Property.

We own, develop and manage retail real estate properties, which consist primarily of malls, Premium Outlets®, The Mills®, and community/lifestyle centers. As of December 31, 2013, we owned or held an interest in 308 income-producing properties in the United States, which consisted of 156 malls, 66 Premium Outlets, 62 community/lifestyle centers, 13 Mills, and 11 other shopping centers or outlet centers in 38 states and Puerto Rico. We have several Premium Outlets under development and have redevelopment and expansion projects, including the addition of anchors and big box tenants, underway at more than 25 properties in the U.S., Asia, and Mexico. Internationally, as of December 31, 2013, we had ownership interests in nine Premium Outlets in Japan, three Premium Outlets in South Korea, one Premium Outlet in Canada, one Premium Outlet in Mexico, and one Premium Outlet in Malaysia. In 2013, we acquired noncontrolling interests in five operating properties in Europe through our joint venture with McArthurGlen. Of the five properties, two are located in Italy and one each is located in Austria, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom. Additionally, as of December 31, 2013, we owned a 28.9% equity stake in Klépierre SA, or Klépierre, a publicly traded, Paris-based real estate company, which owns, or has an interest in, shopping centers located in 13 countries in Europe.

On December 13, 2013, we announced a plan to spin off our interests in 98 properties comprised of substantially all of our strip center business and our smaller enclosed malls into an independent, publicly traded REIT (SpinCo). The spin-off is expected to be effectuated through a pro rata special distribution of all of the outstanding common shares of SpinCo to holders of Simon Property common stock as of the distribution record date, and is intended to qualify as a tax-free distribution for U.S. federal income tax purposes. At the time of the separation and distribution, SpinCo will own a percentage of the outstanding units of partnership interest of SpinCo L.P. that is equal to the percentage of our outstanding units of partnership interest, or units, owned by Simon Property, with the remaining units of SpinCo L.P. owned by our limited partners. We expect the transaction will become effective in the second quarter of 2014. The transaction is subject to certain conditions, including declaration by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission that SpinCo's registration statement on Form 10 is effective, filing and approval of SpinCo's listing application, customary third party consents, and formal approval and declaration of the distribution by Simon Property's Board of Directors. We may, at any time and for any reason until the proposed transaction is complete, abandon the spin-off or modify or change its terms.

We generate the majority of our revenues from leases with retail tenants including:

- base minimum rents,
- overage and percentage rents based on tenants' sales volume, and
- recoverable expenditures such as property operating, real estate taxes, repair and maintenance, and advertising and promotional expenditures.

Revenues of our management company, after intercompany eliminations, consist primarily of management fees that are typically based upon the revenues of the property being managed.

We invest in real estate properties to maximize total financial return which includes both operating cash flows and capital appreciation. We seek growth in earnings, funds from operations, or FFO, and cash flows by enhancing the profitability and operation of our properties and investments. We seek to accomplish this growth through the following:

- attracting and retaining high quality tenants and utilizing economies of scale to reduce operating expenses,
- expanding and re-tenanting existing highly productive locations at competitive rental rates,

- selectively acquiring or increasing our interests in high quality real estate assets or portfolios of assets,
- generating consumer traffic in our retail properties through marketing initiatives and strategic corporate alliances, and
- selling selective non-core assets.

We also grow by generating supplemental revenue from the following activities:

- establishing our malls as leading market resource providers for retailers and other businesses and consumer-focused corporate alliances, including payment systems (such as handling fees relating to the sales of bank-issued prepaid cards), national marketing alliances, static and digital media initiatives, business development, sponsorship, and events,
- offering property operating services to our tenants and others, including waste handling and facility services, and the provision of energy services,
- selling or leasing land adjacent to our properties, commonly referred to as "outlots" or "outparcels," and
- generating interest income on cash deposits and investments in loans, including those made to related entities.

We focus on high quality real estate across the retail real estate spectrum. We expand or redevelop properties to enhance profitability and market share of existing assets when we believe the investment of our capital meets our risk-reward criteria. We selectively develop new properties in markets we believe are not adequately served by existing retail outlets.

We routinely review and evaluate acquisition opportunities based on their ability to enhance our portfolio. Our international strategy includes partnering with established real estate companies and financing international investments with local currency to minimize foreign exchange risk.

To support our growth, we employ a three-fold capital strategy:

- provide the capital necessary to fund growth,
- maintain sufficient flexibility to access capital in many forms, both public and private, and
- manage our overall financial structure in a fashion that preserves our investment grade credit ratings.

We consider FFO, net operating income, or NOI, and comparable property NOI (NOI for properties owned and operating in both periods under comparison) to be key measures of operating performance that are not specifically defined by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP. We use these measures internally to evaluate the operating performance of our portfolio and provide a basis for comparison with other real estate companies. Reconciliations of these measures to the most comparable GAAP measure are included below in this discussion.

Results Overview

Diluted earnings per unit, increased \$4.24 in 2013 as compared to \$4.72 for 2012. The \$0.48 decrease in diluted earnings per unit was primarily attributable to:

- a 2012 gain due to the acquisition of a controlling interest, sale or disposal of assets and interests in unconsolidated entities, and impairment charge on investment in unconsolidated entities, net of \$510.0 million, or \$1.41 per diluted unit, primarily driven by a non-cash gain of \$488.7 million resulting from the remeasurement of our previously held interest to fair value for those properties in which we now have a controlling interest,
- partially offset by a 2013 gain due to the sale or disposal of our interests in fourteen properties and the acquisition of a controlling interest in an outlet center of \$107.5 million, or \$0.30 per diluted unit, and
- improved operating performance and core business fundamentals in 2013 and the impact of our acquisition and expansion activity.

Core business fundamentals improved during 2013 primarily driven by higher tenant sales and strong leasing activity. Our share of portfolio NOI grew by 10.0% in 2013 as compared to 2012. Comparable property NOI also grew 5.2% for our portfolio of U.S. malls and Premium Outlets. Total sales per square foot, or psf, increased 2.5% from \$568 psf at December 31, 2012, to \$582 psf at December 31, 2013, for the U.S. malls and Premium Outlets. Average base



Table of Contents

minimum rent for U.S. malls and Premium Outlets increased 4.0% to \$42.34 psf as of December 31, 2013, from \$40.73 psf as of December 31, 2012. Releasing spreads remained positive in the U.S. malls and Premium Outlets as we were able to lease available square feet at higher rents than the expiring rental rates on the same space, resulting in a releasing spread (based on total tenant payments — base minimum rent plus common area maintenance) of \$8.94 psf (\$62.19 openings compared to \$53.25 closings) as of December 31, 2013, representing a 16.8% increase over expiring payments. Ending occupancy for the U.S. malls and Premium Outlets was 96.1% as of December 31, 2013, as compared to 95.3% as of December 31, 2012, an increase of 80 basis points.

Our effective overall borrowing rate at December 31, 2013 on our consolidated indebtedness decreased 15 basis points to 4.84% as compared to 4.99% at December 31, 2012. This reduction was primarily due to a decrease in the effective overall borrowing rate on fixed rate debt of 19 basis points (5.14% at December 31, 2013 as compared to 5.33% at December 31, 2012) combined with a decrease in the effective overall borrowing rate on variable rate debt of 18 basis points (1.22% at December 31, 2013 as compared to 1.40% at December 31, 2012). At December 31, 2013, the weighted average years to maturity of our consolidated indebtedness was 5.4 years as compared to 5.9 years at December 31, 2012.

Our financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2013, included:

- the redemption at par or repayment at maturity of \$504.5 million of senior unsecured notes with fixed rates ranging from 5.30% to 7.18%,
- repayment of \$145.0 million on our \$4.0 billion unsecured revolving credit facility, or Credit Facility,
- new mortgage loan borrowings of \$370.0 million on previously unencumbered properties,
- issuance of €750.0 million (\$1.0 billion USD equivalent) of senior unsecured notes at a fixed interest rate of 2.375% with a maturity date of October 2, 2020,
- repayment of €422.0 million (\$576.1 million USD equivalent) of borrowings on the Euro tranche of our Credit Facility and
- borrowings of \$300.0 million on our Credit Facility on December 30, 2013 which we used to partially fund the Sawgrass Mills mortgage repayment on January 2, 2014; we repaid these Credit Facility borrowings in full on January 22, 2014.

United States Portfolio Data

The portfolio data discussed in this overview includes the following key operating statistics: ending occupancy; average base minimum rent per square foot; and total sales per square foot for our domestic assets. We include acquired properties in this data beginning in the year of acquisition and remove disposed properties in the year of disposition. For comparative purposes, we separate the information related to community/lifestyle centers and The Mills from our other U.S. operations. We also do not include any properties located outside of the United States.

The following table sets forth these key operating statistics for:

- properties that are consolidated in our consolidated financial statements,
- properties we account for under the equity method of accounting as joint ventures, and
- the foregoing two categories of properties on a total portfolio basis.

	2013	%/Basis Points Change (1)	2012	%/Basis Points Change (1)	2011
U.S. Malls and Premium Outlets:					
Ending Occupancy					
Consolidated	96.3%	+90 bps	95.4%	+50 bps	94.9%
Unconsolidated	95.2%	+10 bps	95.1%	+150 bps	93.6%
Total Portfolio	96.1%	+80 bps	95.3%	+70 bps	94.6%
Average Base Minimum Rent per Square Foot					
Consolidated	\$40.22	4.4%	\$38.53	2.9%	\$37.45
Unconsolidated	\$49.74	2.1%	\$48.71	4.7%	\$46.54
Total Portfolio	\$42.34	4.0%	\$40.73	3.4%	\$39.40
Total Sales per Square Foot					
Consolidated	\$563	2.5%	\$549	6.0%	\$518
Unconsolidated	\$664	2.0%	\$651	8.5%	\$600
Total Portfolio	\$582	2.5%	\$568	6.6%	\$533
The Mills®:					
Ending Occupancy	98.5%	+130 bps	97.2%	+20 bps	97.0%
Average Base Minimum Rent per Square Foot	\$23.79	5.4%	\$22.58	4.2%	\$21.67
Total Sales per Square Foot	\$529	3.7%	\$510	5.4%	\$484
Community/Lifestyle Centers:					
Ending Occupancy	95.0%	+30 bps	94.7%	+120 bps	93.5%
Average Base Minimum Rent per Square Foot	\$14.59	3.9%	\$14.04	2.4%	\$13.71

(1) Percentages may not recalculate due to rounding. Percentage and basis point changes are representative of the change from the comparable prior period.

Ending Occupancy Levels and Average Base Minimum Rent per Square Foot. Ending occupancy is the percentage of gross leasable area, or GLA, which is leased as of the last day of the reporting period. We include all company owned space except for mall anchors, mall majors, mall freestanding and mall outlots in the calculation. Base minimum rent per square foot is the average base minimum rent charge in effect for the reporting period for all tenants that would qualify to be included in ending occupancy.

Total Sales per Square Foot. Total sales include total reported retail tenant sales on a trailing 12-month basis at owned GLA (for mall stores with less than 10,000 square feet) in the malls and all reporting tenants at the Premium Outlets and The Mills. Retail sales at owned GLA affect revenue and profitability levels because sales determine the amount of minimum rent that can be charged, the percentage rent realized, and the recoverable expenses (common area maintenance, real estate taxes, etc.) that tenants can afford to pay.

Current Leasing Activities

During 2013, we signed 1,127 new leases and 1,711 renewal leases (excluding mall anchors and majors, new development, redevelopment, expansion, downsizing, and relocation) with a fixed minimum rent across our U.S. malls and Premium Outlets portfolio, comprising approximately 8.4 million square feet of which 6.5 million square feet related to consolidated properties. During 2012, we signed 1,217 new leases and 2,074 renewal leases, comprising approximately 10.3 million square feet of which 7.7 million square feet related to consolidated properties. The average annual initial base minimum rent for new leases was \$45.13 psf in 2013 and \$40.46 psf in 2012 with an average tenant allowance on new leases of \$32.48 psf and \$36.45 psf, respectively.

International Property Data

The following are selected key operating statistics for our Premium Outlets in Japan. The information used to prepare these statistics has been supplied by the managing venture partner.

2013	Change	December 31, 2012	%/basis point Change	December 31, 2011
99.4%	-10 bps	99.5%	-50 bps	100%
¥90,959	3.69%	¥87,720	1.09%	¥86,773
¥4,888	2.05%	¥4,790	-0.91%	¥4,834
	99.4% ¥90,959	99.4% -10 bps ¥90,959 3.69%	99.4% -10 bps 99.5% ¥90,959 3.69% ¥87,720	99.4% -10 bps 99.5% -50 bps ¥90,959 3.69% ¥87,720 1.09%

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to use judgment in the application of accounting policies, including making estimates and assumptions. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. These judgments affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. If our judgment or interpretation of the facts and circumstances relating to various transactions had been different, it is possible that different accounting policies would have been applied resulting in a different presentation of our financial statements. From time to time, we reevaluate our estimates and assumptions. In the event estimates or assumptions prove to be different from actual results, adjustments are made in subsequent periods to reflect more current information. Below is a discussion of accounting policies that we consider critical in that they may require complex judgment in their application or require estimates about matters that are inherently uncertain. For a summary of our significant accounting policies, see Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

- We, as a lessor, retain substantially all of the risks and benefits of ownership of the investment properties and account for our leases as operating leases. We accrue minimum rents on a straight-line basis over the terms of their respective leases. Substantially all of our retail tenants are also required to pay overage rents based on sales over a stated base amount during the lease year. We recognize overage rents only when each tenant's sales exceed its sales threshold.
- We review investment properties for impairment on a property-by-property basis whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of investment properties may not be recoverable. These circumstances include, but are not limited to, a decline in a property's cash flows, occupancy or comparable sales per square foot. We measure any impairment of investment property when the estimated undiscounted operating income before depreciation and amortization plus its residual value is less than the carrying value of the property. To the extent impairment has occurred, we charge to income the excess of carrying value of the property over its estimated fair value. We may decide to sell properties that are held for use and the sale prices of these properties may differ from their carrying values. We also review our investments, including investments in unconsolidated entities, if events or circumstances change indicating that the carrying amount of our investments may not be recoverable. We will record an impairment charge if we determine that a decline in the fair value of the investments below carrying value is other-than-temporary. Changes in economic and operating conditions that occur subsequent to our review of recoverability of investment property and other investments could impact the assumptions used in that assessment and could result in future charges to earnings if assumptions regarding those investments differ from actual results.
- To maintain Simon Property's qualification as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code, Simon Property is required to distribute at least 90% of its taxable income in any given year and meet certain asset and income tests. Simon Property monitors its business and transactions that may potentially impact its REIT status. In the unlikely event that Simon Property fails to maintain its REIT status, and available relief provisions do not apply, then it would be required to pay federal income taxes at regular corporate income tax rates during the period it did not qualify as a REIT. If Simon Property lost its REIT status, it could not elect to be taxed as a REIT for four years unless its failure was due to reasonable cause and certain other conditions were met. As a result, failing to maintain REIT status would result in a significant increase in the income tax expense recorded during those periods. This could adversely impact our ability to sell our debt securities and Simon Property's ability to sell its securities in the capital markets. We make distributions to our unitholders including Simon Property in amounts sufficient to allow Simon Property to meet REIT qualification requirements.

- We make estimates as part of our allocation of the purchase price of acquisitions to the various components of the acquisition based upon the fair value of each component. The most significant components of our allocations are typically the allocation of fair value to the buildings as-if-vacant, land and market value of in-place leases. In the case of the fair value of buildings and the allocation of value to land and other intangibles, our estimates of the values of these components will affect the amount of depreciation we record over the estimated useful life of the property acquired or the remaining lease term. In the case of the market value of in-place leases, we make our best estimates of the tenants' ability to pay rents based upon the tenants' operating performance at the property, including the competitive position of the property in its market as well as sales psf, rents psf, and overall occupancy cost for the tenants in place at the acquisition date. Our assumptions affect the amount of future revenue that we will recognize over the remaining lease term for the acquired in-place leases.
- A variety of costs are incurred in the development and leasing of properties. After determination is made to capitalize a cost, it is allocated to the specific component of a project that is benefited. Determination of when a development project is substantially complete and capitalization must cease involves a degree of judgment. The costs of land and buildings under development include specifically identifiable costs. The capitalized costs include pre-construction costs essential to the development of the property, development costs, construction costs, interest costs, real estate taxes, salaries and related costs and other costs incurred during the period of development. We consider a construction project as substantially completed and held available for occupancy and cease capitalization of costs upon opening.

Results of Operations

In addition to the activity discussed above in "Results Overview" section, the following acquisitions, openings, and dispositions of consolidated properties affected our consolidated results from continuing operations in the comparative periods:

- On October 10, 2013, we re-opened the redeveloped The Shops at Nanuet, a 750,000 square foot open-air, state-of-the-art main street center located in Nanuet, New York.
- On September 27, 2013, we re-opened the redeveloped University Town Plaza, a 580,000 square foot community center located in Pensacola, Florida.
- On May 30, 2013, we acquired a 390,000 square foot outlet center located near Portland, Oregon.
- On April 4, 2013, we opened Phoenix Premium Outlets in Chandler, Arizona, a 360,000 square foot upscale outlet center.
- During 2013, we disposed of two malls, four community centers, and two non-core retail properties.
- On December 4, 2012, we acquired the remaining 50% noncontrolling interest in two previously consolidated outlet properties located in Livermore, California, and Grand Prairie, Texas, which opened on November 8, 2012 and August 16, 2012, respectively.
- On June 14, 2012, we opened Merrimack Premium Outlets, a 410,000 square foot outlet center located in Hillsborough County, serving the Greater Boston and Nashua markets.
- On March 29, 2012, Opry Mills re-opened after completion of the restoration of the property following the significant flood damage which occurred in May 2010.
- On March 22, 2012, we acquired, through an acquisition of substantially all of the assets of The Mills Limited Partnership, or TMLP, additional interests in 26 joint venture properties in a transaction we refer to as the Mills transaction. Nine of these properties became consolidated properties at the acquisition date.
- During 2012, we disposed of one mall, two community centers and six non-core retail properties.
- On December 31, 2011, a 50% joint venture distributed a portfolio of properties to us and our joint venture partner. We now consolidate those properties we received in the distribution.
- On August 25, 2011, we acquired additional interests in The Plaza at King of Prussia and The Court at King of Prussia, or, collectively, King of Prussia, a 2.4 million square foot mall in the Philadelphia market, which had previously been accounted for under the equity method. We now have a controlling interest in this property and its results are consolidated as of the acquisition date.

- On July 19, 2011, we acquired a 100% ownership interest in a 222,000 square foot lifestyle center located in Albuquerque, New Mexico.
- During 2011, we disposed of four of our non-core retail properties and one of our malls.

In addition to the activities discussed above and in "Results Overview", the following acquisitions, dispositions, and openings of joint venture properties affected our income from unconsolidated entities in the comparative periods:

- On October 16, 2013, we acquired noncontrolling interests in portions of four Designer Outlets, which include Parndorf (Vienna, Austria), La Reggia (Naples, Italy), Noventa di Piave (Venice, Italy), and Roermond (Roermond, Netherlands), through our joint venture with McArthurGlen.
- On August 29, 2013, we and our partner, Shinsegae Group, opened Busan Premium Outlets, a 360,000 square foot outlet located in Busan, South Korea.
- On August 22, 2013, we and our partner, Woodmont Outlets, opened St. Louis Premium Outlets, a 350,000 square foot outlet center. We have a 60% noncontrolling interest in this new center.
- On August 2, 2013, through our joint venture with McArthurGlen, we acquired a noncontrolling interest in Ashford Designer Outlet located in Kent, UK.
- On August 1, 2013, we and our partner, Calloway Real Estate Investment Trust, opened Toronto Premium Outlets in Canada, a 360,000 square foot outlet center serving the Greater Toronto area.
- On April 19, 2013, we and our partner, Mitsubishi Estate Co., LTD., opened Shisui Premium Outlets, a 230,000 square foot outlet center located in Shisui (Chiba), Japan.
- During 2013, we increased our economic interest in three community centers and subsequently disposed of our interests in those properties. We also disposed of our interest in three non-core retail properties.
- On December 31, 2012, we contributed The Shops at Mission Viejo, a wholly-owned property, to a newly formed joint venture in exchange for an interest in Woodfield Mall, a property contributed to the same joint venture by our joint venture partner.
- On October 19, 2012, we and our partner, Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc., opened Tanger Outlets in Galveston/Houston, a 350,000 square foot upscale outlet center located in Texas City, Texas. We have a 50% noncontrolling interest in this new center.
- On June 4, 2012, we acquired a 50% interest in a 465,000 square foot outlet center located in Destin, Florida.
- As discussed above, on March 22, 2012, we acquired additional interests in 26 joint venture properties in the Mills transaction. Of these 26 properties, 16 remain unconsolidated.
- On March 14, 2012, we acquired a 28.7% equity stake in Klépierre. On May 21, 2012, Klépierre paid a dividend, which we elected to receive in additional shares, increasing our ownership to approximately 28.9%.
- On January 9, 2012, we sold our entire ownership interest in Gallerie Commerciali Italia, S.p.A, or GCI, a joint venture which at the time owned 45 properties located in Italy to our venture partner, Auchan S.A.
- On January 6, 2012, we acquired an additional 25% interest in Del Amo Fashion Center.
- During 2012, we disposed of our interests in three non-core retail properties and one mall.
- On December 2, 2011, we and our partner, Genting Berhad, opened Johor Premium Outlets, a 173,000 square foot outlet center in Johor, Malaysia.
- During the third quarter of 2011, we contributed a wholly-owned property to a joint venture which held our interests in nine unconsolidated properties. The transaction effectively exchanged a portion of our interest in this previously wholly-owned property for increased ownership interests in the nine unconsolidated properties.
- On March 17, 2011, we and our partner, Shinsegae International Co., opened Paju Premium Outlets, a 328,000 square foot outlet center in Paju, South Korea.
- During 2011, we disposed of one of our malls.

For the purposes of the following comparisons between the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012 and the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the above transactions are referred to as the property transactions. In the following discussions of our results of operations, "comparable" refers to properties we owned and operated in both years in the year-to-year comparisons.

Year Ended December 31, 2013 vs. Year Ended December 31, 2012

Minimum rents increased \$186.1 million during 2013, of which the property transactions accounted for \$99.7 million of the increase. Comparable rents increased \$86.4 million, or 3.2%, primarily attributable to an \$83.9 million increase in base minimum rents. Overage rents increased \$27.7 million, or 14.2%, as a result of an increase in tenant sales at the comparable properties in 2013 compared to 2012 of \$20.7 million as well as an increase related to the property transactions of \$7.0 million.

Tenant reimbursements increased \$102.6 million, due to a \$40.4 million increase attributable to the property transactions and a \$62.2 million, or 5.3%, increase in the comparable properties primarily due to annual fixed contractual increases related to common area maintenance and higher reimbursements for the tenants' pro rata share of real estate taxes.

Total other income decreased \$25.0 million, principally as a result of the following:

- a \$18.3 million decrease in interest income primarily related to the repayment of related party loans and loans held for investment,
- a \$12.4 million gain in 2012 on the sale of our investments in two multi-family residential facilities,
- an \$8.4 million decrease in land sale activity, and
- a \$7.7 million decrease in lease settlement income due to a higher number of terminated leases in 2012,
- partially offset by an increase related to a \$7.9 million gain on the sale of a non-retail office building in 2013,
- a \$7.7 million increase in financing and other fee revenue earned from joint ventures, net of eliminations, and
- a \$6.2 million increase in net other activity.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$33.0 million primarily due to the additional depreciable assets related to the property transactions.

Real estate tax expense increased \$25.6 million primarily due to an \$14.9 million increase related to the property transactions.

Repairs and maintenance expense increased \$4.6 million primarily as a result of increased snow removal costs compared to the prior year period.

During 2013, we recorded a provision for credit losses of \$7.7 million whereas in the prior year the provision was \$12.8 million. Both amounts reflect the overall strong economic health of our tenants.

Home and regional office costs increased \$17.0 million primarily related to higher personnel costs.

Interest expense increased \$10.1 million primarily due to an increase of \$21.9 million related to the property transactions partially offset by the net impact of the financing activities and reduction in the effective overall borrowing rate as previously discussed.

Income and other taxes increased \$23.9 million due to taxes related to certain of our international investments and an increase in state income taxes.

Income from unconsolidated entities increased \$73.4 million primarily due to the increase in ownership in the joint venture properties acquired as part of the Mills transaction, the 2012 acquisition of an equity stake in Klépierre, our acquisition and expansion activity and favorable results of operations from joint venture properties partially offset by an extinguishment charge related to the refinancing of Aventura Mall.

During 2013, we increased our economic interest in three unconsolidated community centers and subsequently disposed of our interests in those properties. Additionally, we disposed of our interests in two malls, four community centers, five non-core retail properties and recorded a gain on the acquisition of an outlet center. The aggregate gain

Table of Contents

recognized on these transactions was approximately \$107.5 million. During 2012, we disposed of our interest in GCI, four unconsolidated properties, and eight consolidated retail properties for a net gain of \$43.7 million and acquired a controlling interest in nine properties previously accounted for under the equity method in the Mills transaction which resulted in the recognition of a non-cash gain of \$488.7 million. In addition, we recorded an other-than-temporary impairment charge of \$22.4 million on our remaining investment in SPG-FCM Ventures, LLC, or SPG-FCM, which holds our investment in TMLP, representing the excess of carrying value over the estimated fair value.

Year Ended December 31, 2012 vs. Year Ended December 31, 2011

Minimum rents increased \$351.1 million during 2012, of which the property transactions accounted for \$280.4 million of the increase. Comparable rents increased \$70.7 million, or 2.7%, primarily attributable to a \$76.0 million increase in base minimum rents. Overage rents increased \$54.9 million, or 39.0%, as a result of the property transactions and an increase in tenant sales in 2012 compared to 2011 at the comparable properties of \$31.3 million.

Tenant reimbursements increased \$163.0 million, due to a \$141.8 million increase attributable to the property transactions and a \$21.2 million, or 1.9%, increase in the comparable properties primarily due to annual fixed contractual increases related to common area maintenance and higher reimbursements for the tenants' pro rata share of real estate taxes, offset partially by a decrease in utility recoveries due to lower electricity costs.

Total other income increased \$4.2 million, principally as a result of the following:

- a \$12.4 million increase from a gain on the sale of our investments in two multi-family residential facilities,
- an \$11.7 million increase in land sale activity, and
- a \$9.7 million increase in financing and other fee revenue earned from joint ventures net of eliminations,
- partially offset by a decrease in interest income of \$24.8 million related to the repayment of related party loans and loans held for investment, and
- \$4.8 million of net other activity.

Property operating expense increased \$33.2 million primarily related to a \$49.1 million increase attributable to the property transactions partially offset by a \$15.9 million decrease in comparable property activity due primarily to our continued cost savings efforts.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$191.6 million primarily due to the additional depreciable assets related to the property transactions.

Real estate tax expense increased \$49.5 million primarily due to a \$44.3 million increase related to the property transactions.

During 2012, we recorded a provision for credit losses of \$12.8 million whereas in the prior year the provision was \$6.5 million. Both amounts reflect the overall strong economic health of our tenants.

General and administrative expense increased \$10.8 million primarily as a result of increased long-term performance based incentive compensation costs including amortization of the CEO retention award which commenced mid-year 2011.

Marketable and non-marketable securities charges and realized gains, net, of \$6.4 million in 2012 was the result of the sale of all of our investments in Capital Shopping Centres Group PLC and Capital & Counties Properties PLC for a gain of \$82.7 million, partially offset by other-than-temporary non-cash impairment charges related to certain non-marketable investments in securities of \$76.3 million.

Interest expense increased \$143.5 million primarily due to an increase of \$113.3 million related to the property transactions. The remainder of the increase resulted from the following:

- borrowings on the Euro tranche of the Credit Facility, and
- the issuance of unsecured notes in the first and fourth quarters of 2012 and the fourth quarter of 2011,
- partially offset by a lower effective overall borrowing rate,



- decreased interest expense related to the repayment of \$536.2 million of mortgages at 19 properties during 2012,
- the payoff of a \$735.0 million secured term loan, and
- the payoff of \$231.0 million of unsecured notes in 2012 and \$542.5 million of unsecured notes in 2011.

Income and other taxes increased \$4.3 million due to income-based and withholding taxes on dividends from certain of our international investments.

Income from unconsolidated properties increased \$50.7 million as result of the property transactions, primarily due to the increase in ownership in the joint venture properties acquired as part of the Mills transaction, and favorable results of operations from the portfolio of joint venture properties.

During 2012, we disposed of our interest in GCI, four unconsolidated properties, and eight consolidated retail properties for a net gain of \$43.7 million and acquired a controlling interest in nine properties previously accounted for under the equity method in the Mills transaction which resulted in the recognition of a non-cash gain of \$488.7 million. In addition, we recorded an other-than-temporary impairment charge of \$22.4 million on our remaining investment in SPG-FCM, which holds our investment in TMLP, representing the excess of carrying value over the estimated fair value. During 2011, we disposed of our interest in an unconsolidated mall, one consolidated mall, and four non-core retail properties, and acquired a controlling interest in a mall previously accounted for under the equity method. In addition, on December 31, 2011, a joint venture in which we had a 50% interest was dissolved and, as a result, distributed a portfolio of properties to us and our joint venture partner. We now consolidate the six properties we received in the distribution and recorded a non-cash gain representing the fair value of the net assets received in excess of the carrying value of our interest in the joint venture portfolio. These transactions resulted in an aggregate net gain in 2011 of \$216.6 million.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Because we own long-lived income-producing assets, our financing strategy relies primarily on long-term fixed rate debt. We minimize the use of floating rate debt and may enter into floating rate to fixed rate interest rate swaps. Floating rate debt comprised only 7.5% of our total consolidated debt at December 31, 2013. We also enter into interest rate protection agreements to manage our interest rate risk. We derive most of our liquidity from positive net cash flow from operations and distributions of capital from unconsolidated entities that totaled \$3.4 billion during 2013. In addition, the Credit Facility and the \$2.0 billion supplemental unsecured revolving credit facility, or Supplemental Facility, provide alternative sources of liquidity as our cash needs vary from time to time. Borrowing capacity under each of these facilities can be increased at our sole option as discussed further below.

Our balance of cash and cash equivalents increased \$532.3 million during 2013 to \$1.7 billion as of December 31, 2013 as further discussed in "Cash Flows" below.

On December 31, 2013, we had an aggregate available borrowing capacity of \$4.8 billion under the two facilities, net of outstanding borrowings of \$1.2 billion and letters of credit of \$41.9 million. For the year ended December 31, 2013, the maximum amount outstanding under the two facilities was \$1.6 billion and the weighted average amount outstanding was \$1.3 billion. The weighted average interest rate was 1.05% for the year ended December 31, 2013.

We also have historically had access to private and public long term unsecured debt markets and access to secured debt and private equity from institutional investors at the property level. Simon Property also has historically had access to public equity markets.

Our business model requires us to regularly access the debt markets to raise funds for acquisition, development and redevelopment activity, and to refinance maturing debt. We may also, from time to time, access the equity capital markets to accomplish our business objectives. We believe we have sufficient cash on hand and availability under the Credit Facility and the Supplemental Facility to address our debt maturities and capital needs through 2014.

Cash Flows

Our net cash flow from operating activities and distributions of capital from unconsolidated entities totaled \$3.4 billion during 2013, which includes distributions of \$358.0 million for our share of excess proceeds from the refinancing of two joint venture properties. In addition, we had net proceeds from our debt financing and repayment activities of \$473.2 million in 2013. These activities are further discussed below under "Financing and Debt." During 2013, we also:

- funded the acquisition of an outlet center, deposits for the acquisition of an undeveloped land parcel, a long-term ground lease buyout, and an ownership interest in a European property management and development company and related outlet operating properties for \$866.5 million,
- received proceeds of \$274.1 million from the sale of two malls, seven community/lifestyle centers, and two other retail properties,
- paid unitholder distributions totaling \$1.7 billion,
- paid preferred unit distributions totaling \$5.3 million,
- funded consolidated capital expenditures of \$841.2 million (includes development and other costs of \$42.7 million, redevelopment and expansion costs of \$553.5 million, and tenant costs and other operational capital expenditures of \$245.0 million), and
- funded investments in unconsolidated entities of \$143.1 million and construction loans to joint ventures of \$99.1 million.

In general, we anticipate that cash generated from operations will be sufficient to meet operating expenses, monthly debt service, recurring capital expenditures, and distributions to partners in amounts sufficient to maintain Simon Property's REIT qualification on a long-term basis. In addition, we expect to be able to generate or obtain capital for nonrecurring capital expenditures, such as acquisitions, major building redevelopments and expansions, as well as for scheduled principal maturities on outstanding indebtedness, from:

- excess cash generated from operating performance and working capital reserves,
- borrowings on our credit facilities,
- additional secured or unsecured debt financing, or
- additional equity raised in the public or private markets.

We expect to generate positive cash flow from operations in 2014, and we consider these projected cash flows in our sources and uses of cash. These cash flows are principally derived from rents paid by our retail tenants. A significant deterioration in projected cash flows from operations could cause us to increase our reliance on available funds from our credit facilities, curtail planned capital expenditures, or seek other additional sources of financing as discussed above.

Financing and Debt

Unsecured Debt

At December 31, 2013, our unsecured debt consisted of \$13.9 billion of senior unsecured notes, net of discounts, \$960.1 million outstanding under our Credit Facility, \$212.2 million outstanding under our Supplemental Facility, and \$240.0 million outstanding under an unsecured term loan. The December 31, 2013 balance on the Credit Facility included \$660.1 million (U.S. dollar equivalent) of Euro-denominated borrowings and the entire balance on the Supplemental Facility on such date consisted of Yen-denominated borrowings, both of which are designated as net investment hedges of a portion of our international investments.

On December 31, 2013, we had an aggregate available borrowing capacity of \$4.8 billion under the two credit facilities. The maximum outstanding balance of the credit facilities during the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$1.6 billion and the weighted average outstanding balance was \$1.3 billion. Letters of credit of \$41.9 million were outstanding under the two facilities as of December 31, 2013.

The Credit Facility's initial borrowing capacity of \$4.0 billion can be increased at our sole option to \$5.0 billion during its term. The Credit Facility will initially mature on October 30, 2015 and can be extended for an additional year at our sole option. As of December 31, 2013, the base interest rate on the Credit Facility was LIBOR plus 95 basis points (reflects a



five basis point reduction effective May 16, 2013) with an additional facility fee of 15 basis points. In addition, the Credit Facility provides for a money market competitive bid option program that allows us to hold auctions to achieve lower pricing for short-term borrowings. The Credit Facility also includes a \$2.0 billion multi-currency tranche.

The Supplemental Facility's borrowing capacity of \$2.0 billion can be increased at our sole option to \$2.5 billion during its term. The Supplemental Facility will initially mature on June 30, 2016 and can be extended for an additional year at our sole option. As of December 31, 2013, the base interest rate on the Supplemental Facility was LIBOR plus 95 basis points (reflects a five basis point reduction effective May 16, 2013) with an additional facility fee of 15 basis points. Like the Credit Facility, the Supplemental Facility provides for a money market competitive bid option program and allows for multi-currency borrowings.

During 2013, we redeemed at par or repaid at maturity \$504.5 million of senior unsecured notes with fixed rates ranging from 5.30% to 7.18% with cash on hand. In addition, we repaid a \$240.0 million mortgage loan with the proceeds from a \$240.0 million unsecured term loan. The term loan has a capacity of up to \$300.0 million, bears interest at LIBOR plus 110 basis points and matures on February 28, 2016 with two available one-year extension options.

On October 2, 2013, we issued \notin 750.0 million (\$1.0 billion USD equivalent) of senior unsecured notes at a fixed interest rate of 2.375% with a maturity date of October 2, 2020. Proceeds from the unsecured notes offering were used to pay down a portion of Euro-denominated borrowings on the Credit Facility and fund the acquisition of various assets in the McArthurGlen transactions further discussed in Note 7. These notes are designated as a net investment hedge of our Euro-denominated international investments.

On December 30, 2013, we borrowed \$300.0 million on our Credit Facility to partially fund the Sawgrass Mills mortgage repayment on January 2, 2014. These Credit Facility borrowings were repaid in full on January 22, 2014 using unsecured notes proceeds as discussed below.

On January 21, 2014, we issued \$600.0 million of senior unsecured notes at a fixed interest rate of 2.20% with a maturity date of February 1, 2019 and \$600.0 million of senior unsecured notes at a fixed interest rate of 3.75% with a maturity date of February 1, 2024. Proceeds from the unsecured notes offering were used to repay debt and for general corporate purposes.

Mortgage Debt

Total mortgage indebtedness was \$8.2 billion and \$8.0 billion at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. During 2013, we added \$370.0 million in new mortgage loans on previously unencumbered assets with a weighted average interest rate of 4.04%.

On January 2, 2014, we repaid the \$820.0 million outstanding mortgage at Sawgrass Mills originally maturing July 1, 2014 with cash on hand and the borrowings on our Credit Facility as discussed above.

In November 2013, one of our joint venture properties refinanced its \$430.0 million mortgage maturing December 11, 2017 with a \$1.2 billion mortgage that matures December 1, 2020. The fixed interest rate was reduced from 5.91% to 3.75% as a result of this transaction and an extinguishment charge of \$82.8 million was incurred.

Covenants

Our unsecured debt agreements contain financial covenants and other non-financial covenants. If we were to fail to comply with these covenants, after the expiration of the applicable cure periods, the debt maturity could be accelerated or other remedies could be sought by the lender including adjustments to the applicable interest rate. As of December 31, 2013, we are in compliance with all covenants of our unsecured debt.

At December 31, 2013, we or our subsidiaries were the borrowers under 80 non-recourse mortgage notes secured by mortgages on 80 properties, including seven separate pools of cross-defaulted and cross-collateralized mortgages encumbering a total of 27 properties. Under these cross-default provisions, a default under any mortgage included in the cross-defaulted pool may constitute a default under all mortgages within that pool and may lead to acceleration of the indebtedness due on each property within the pool. Certain of our secured debt instruments contain financial and other non-financial covenants which are specific to the properties which serve as collateral for that debt. If the borrower fails to comply with these covenants, the lender could accelerate the debt and enforce its right against their collateral. At December 31, 2013, the applicable borrowers under these non-recourse mortgage notes were in

compliance with all covenants where non-compliance could individually, or giving effect to applicable cross-default provisions in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Summary of Financing

Our consolidated debt, adjusted to reflect outstanding derivative instruments, and the effective weighted average interest rates as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

Debt Subject to	Adjusted Balance as of December 31, 2013	Effective Weighted Average Interest Rate	Adjusted Balance as of December 31, 2012	Effective Weighted Average Interest Rate
Fixed Rate	\$ 21,826,232	5.14%	\$ 21,077,358	5.33%
Variable Rate	1,762,299	1.22%	2,035,649	1.40%
	\$ 23,588,531	4.84%	\$ 23,113,007	4.99%

Contractual Obligations and Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

In regards to long-term debt arrangements, the following table summarizes the material aspects of these future obligations on our consolidated indebtedness as of December 31, 2013, and subsequent years thereafter (dollars in thousands) assuming the obligations remain outstanding through initial maturities including applicable exercise of available extension options:

				2017 and		
	2014	20	15 and 2016	2018	After 2018	Total
Long Term Debt (1)	\$ 2,072,529	\$	6,977,913	\$ 5,626,566	\$ 8,886,074	\$ 23,563,082
Interest Payments (2)	1,055,625		1,743,903	1,034,079	2,211,133	6,044,740
Consolidated Capital Expenditure						
Commitments (3)	170,436			—		170,436
Lease Commitments (4)	25,974		67,842	73,681	1,012,997	1,180,494

(1) Represents principal maturities only and therefore, excludes net premiums of \$25,449.

(2) Variable rate interest payments are estimated based on the LIBOR rate at December 31, 2013.

(3) Represents contractual commitments for capital projects and services at December 31, 2013. Our share of estimated 2014 development, redevelopment and expansion activity is further discussed below in the "Development Activity" section.

(4) Represents only the minimum non-cancellable lease period, excluding applicable lease extension and renewal options.

Certain of our consolidated properties have redemption features whereby the remaining interest in a property or portfolio of properties can be redeemed at the option of the holder or in circumstances that may be outside our control. These amounts are accounted for as temporary equity within preferred units, at liquidation value, and noncontrolling redeemable interests in properties in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and totaled \$164.9 million at December 31, 2013.

Our off-balance sheet arrangements consist primarily of our investments in joint ventures which are common in the real estate industry and are described in Note 7 to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. Our joint ventures typically fund their cash needs through secured debt financings obtained by and in the name of the joint venture entity. The joint venture debt is secured by a first mortgage, is without recourse to the joint venture partners, and does not represent a liability of the partners, except to the extent the partners or their affiliates expressly guarantee the joint venture debt. As of December 31, 2013, we guaranteed joint venture related mortgage indebtedness of \$190.8 million (of which we have a right of recovery from our venture partners of \$83.0 million). Mortgages guaranteed by us are secured by the property of the joint venture which could be sold in order to satisfy the outstanding obligation and which has an estimated fair value in excess of the guaranteed amount. We may elect to fund cash needs of a joint venture through equity contributions (generally on a basis proportionate to our ownership interests), advances or partner loans, although such fundings are not required contractually or otherwise.

Acquisitions and Dispositions

Buy-sell, marketing rights, and other exit mechanisms are common in real estate partnership agreements. Most of our partners are institutional investors who have a history of direct investment in retail real estate. We and our partners in our joint venture properties may initiate these provisions (subject to any applicable lock up or similar restrictions). If we determine it is in our unitholders' best interests for us to purchase the joint venture interest and we believe we have adequate liquidity to execute the purchase without hindering our cash flows, then we may initiate these provisions or elect to buy our partner's interest. If we deterded to sell any of our joint venture interests, we expect to use the net proceeds to reduce outstanding indebtedness or to reinvest in development, redevelopment, or expansion opportunities.

Acquisitions. On January 10, 2014, we acquired one of our partner's remaining redeemable interests in a portfolio of ten properties for approximately \$113.3 million subject to a pre-existing contractual arrangement. The amount paid to acquire the interests in the seven properties which were previously consolidated is included in preferred units, at liquidation value, and noncontrolling redeemable interests in properties in the accompanying December 31, 2013 consolidated balance sheet.

During the second quarter of 2013, we signed a definitive agreement with McArthurGlen, an owner, developer, and manager of designer outlets, to form one or more joint ventures to invest in certain of its existing designer outlets, development projects, and its property management and development companies. In conjunction with that agreement, we purchased a noncontrolling interest in the property management and development companies of McArthurGlen, and a noncontrolling interest in a development property located in Vancouver, British Columbia. On August 2, 2013 we acquired a noncontrolling interest in Ashford Designer Outlets in Kent, UK. On October 16, 2013 we completed the remaining transactions contemplated by our previously announced definitive agreement with McArthurGlen by acquiring noncontrolling interests in portions of four existing McArthurGlen Designer Outlets — Parndorf (Vienna, Austria), La Reggia (Naples, Italy), Noventa di Piave (Venice, Italy), and Roermond (Roermond, Netherlands). Our legal ownership interests in these entities range from 22.5% to 90%.

On May 30, 2013, we acquired a 100% interest in a 390,000 square foot outlet center located near Portland, Oregon for cash consideration of \$146.7 million.

Dispositions. We continue to pursue the disposition of properties that no longer meet our strategic criteria or that are not a primary retail venue within their trade area.

During 2013, we increased our economic interest in three unconsolidated community centers and subsequently disposed of our interests in those properties. Additionally, we disposed of our interests in eight consolidated retail properties and three unconsolidated retail properties. The aggregate gain recognized on these transactions was approximately \$80.2 million.

On August 8, 2013, we disposed of our interest in an office property located in the Boston, Massachusetts area. The gain on the sale was \$7.9 million which is included in other income in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

Development Activity

New Domestic Development. During the third quarter of 2013, we began construction on Charlotte Premium Outlets, a 400,000 square foot project located in Charlotte, North Carolina. We own a 50% noncontrolling interest in this project, which is a joint venture with Tanger Factory Outlet Centers, Inc. The center is expected to open in July of 2014. Our estimated share of the cost of this project is \$46.0 million.

In addition, during the third quarter we began construction on Twin Cities Premium Outlets, a 410,000 square foot project located in Eagan, Minnesota. We own a 35% noncontrolling interest in this project. The center is expected to open in August of 2014. Our estimated share of the cost of this project is \$38.0 million.

On August 22, 2013, we opened St. Louis Premium Outlets, a 350,000 square foot upscale outlet center located in Chesterfield, Missouri. We own a 60% noncontrolling interest in this project, which is a joint venture with Woodmont Outlets. Our share of the cost of this new center was approximately \$50.0 million. The center was 100% leased at opening.

On April 4, 2013, we opened Phoenix Premium Outlets in Chandler, Arizona, a 360,000 square foot upscale outlet center. The cost of this new center, in which we have a 100% interest, was approximately \$70.0 million. The center was 100% leased at opening.

Domestic Redevelopments and Expansions. We routinely incur costs related to construction for significant redevelopment and expansion projects at our properties. We also have reinstituted redevelopment and expansion initiatives which we had previously reduced given the downturn in the economy. Redevelopment and expansion projects, including the addition of anchors and big box tenants, are underway at more than 25 properties in the U.S.

We expect our share of development costs for 2014 related to new development, redevelopment, or expansion initiatives to be approximately \$1.1 billion. We expect to fund these capital projects with cash flows from operations. Our estimated stabilized return on invested capital typically ranges between 10-12% for all of our new development, expansion and redevelopment projects.

Capital Expenditures on Consolidated Properties. The following table summarizes total capital expenditures on consolidated properties on a cash basis (in millions):

	2	2013	13 2012		2011	
New Developments	\$	43	\$	217	\$	68
Redevelopments and Expansions		554		354		157
Tenant Allowances		154		138		119
Operational Capital Expenditures		90		93		101
Total	\$	841	\$	802	\$	445

International Development Activity. We typically reinvest net cash flow from our international joint ventures to fund future international development activity. We believe this strategy mitigates some of the risk of our initial investment and our exposure to changes in foreign currencies. We have also funded most of our foreign investments with local currency-denominated borrowings that act as a natural hedge against fluctuations in exchange rates. Our consolidated net income exposure to changes in the volatility of the Euro, Yen, Won, and other foreign currencies is not material. We expect our share of international development costs for 2014 will be approximately \$180.0 million, primarily funded through reinvested joint venture cash flow and construction loans.

Table of Contents

The following table describes these new development and expansion projects as well as our share of the estimated total cost as of December 31, 2013 (in millions):

Property	Location	Gross Leasable Area (sqft)	Our Ownership Percentage	Our Share of Projected Net Cost (in Local Currency)	Pi N	ır Share of rojected ret Cost n USD)	Projected Opening Date
New Development Projects:							
Shisui Premium Outlets	Shisui (Chiba), Japan	235,000	40%	JPY 3,753	\$	38.1	Opened Apr 2013
Toronto Premium Outlets	Halton Hills (Ontario), Canada	360,000	50%	CAD 79.8	\$	77.4	Opened Aug 2013
Busan Premium Outlets	Busan, South Korea	360,000	50%	KRW 83,919	\$	78.0	Opened Aug 2013
Montreal Premium Outlets	Montreal (Quebec), Canada	360,000	50%	CAD 81.9	\$	76.9	Oct 2014
Vancouver Designer							
Outlets	Vancouver (British Columbia), Canada	242,000	45%	CAD 68.7	\$	64.5	April - 2015
Expansions:							
Paju Premium Outlets					-		
Phase 2	Gyeonggi Province, South Korea	100,000	50%	KRW 19,631	\$	17.1	Opened May - 2013
Johor Premium Outlets		00.000	500/		¢	= -	0 1.01 0010
Phase 2	Johor, Malaysia	90,000	50%	MYR 24.1	\$	7.3	Opened Nov 2013
Premium Outlets Punta Norte Phase 3	Maria Cita Maria	FF 000	E00/	MANNI CT 1	¢	5.1	N 2014
Toki Premium Outlets	Mexico City, Mexico	55,000	50%	MXN 67.1	\$	5.1	Nov 2014
Phase 4	Cify (Ocalca) Japan	72,000	40%	JPY 1,502	\$	14.3	Nov 2014
riidse 4	Gifu (Osaka), Japan	/2,000	40%	JF 1 1,502	Φ	14.5	1107 2014

Distributions

Distributions during 2013 aggregated \$4.65 per unit. Distributions during 2012 aggregated \$4.10 per unit. In January of 2014, Simon Property's Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$1.25 per share of common stock payable on February 28, 2014 to stockholders of record on February 14, 2014. The distribution rate on our units is equal to the dividend rate on Simon Property's common stock. We pay a sufficient amount of distributions to maintain Simon Property's status as a REIT. Our distributions typically exceed our net income generated in any given year primarily because of depreciation, which is a non-cash expense. Our future distributions will be determined by the Simon Property Board of Directors based on actual results of operations, cash available for distributions, cash reserves as deemed necessary for capital and operating expenditures, and the amount required to maintain Simon Property's status as a REIT.

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements made in this section or elsewhere in this report may be deemed "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Although we believe the expectations reflected in any forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, we can give no assurance that its expectations will be attained, and it is possible that our actual results may differ materially from those indicated by these forward-looking statements due to a variety of risks and uncertainties. Such factors include, but are not limited to: our ability to meet debt service requirements, the availability of financing, changes in our credit rating, changes in market rates of interest and foreign exchange rates for foreign currencies, the ability to hedge interest rate risk, risks associated with the acquisition, development and expansion of properties, general risks related to retail real estate, the liquidity of real estate investments, environmental liabilities, international, national, regional and local economic climates, changes in market rental rates, trends in the retail industry, relationships with anchor tenants, the inability to collect rent due to the bankruptcy or insolvency of tenants or otherwise, risks relating to joint venture properties, intensely competitive market environment in the retail industry, costs of common area maintenance, risks related to our international investments and activities, insurance costs and coverage, terrorist activities, changes in economic and market conditions and maintenance of Simon Property's status as a real estate investment trust. We discussed these and other risks and uncertainties under the heading "Risk Factors" in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K. We may update that discussion in subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, but otherwise we undertake no duty or obligation to update or revise these forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future developments, or otherwise.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Industry practice is to evaluate real estate properties in part based on performance measures such as Funds from Operations, or FFO, diluted FFO per share, Net operating income, or NOI, and comparable property NOI. We believe that these non-GAAP measures are helpful to investors because they are widely recognized measures of the performance of REITs and provide a relevant basis for comparison among REITs. We also use these measures internally to measure the operating performance of our portfolio.

We determine FFO based on the definition set forth by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, as consolidated net income computed in accordance with GAAP:

- excluding real estate related depreciation and amortization,
- excluding gains and losses from extraordinary items and cumulative effects of accounting changes,
- excluding gains and losses from the sales or disposals of previously depreciated retail operating properties,
- excluding impairment charges of depreciable real estate,
- plus the allocable portion of FFO of unconsolidated entities accounted for under the equity method of accounting based upon economic ownership interest, and
- all determined on a consistent basis in accordance with GAAP.

We have adopted NAREIT's clarification of the definition of FFO that requires us to include the effects of nonrecurring items not classified as extraordinary, cumulative effect of accounting changes, or a gain or loss resulting from the sale or disposal of, or any impairment charges related to, previously depreciated operating properties.

We include in FFO gains and losses realized from the sale of land, outlot buildings, marketable and non-marketable securities, and investment holdings of non-retail real estate.

You should understand that our computation of these non-GAAP measures might not be comparable to similar measures reported by other REITs and that these non-GAAP measures:

- do not represent cash flow from operations as defined by GAAP,
- should not be considered as alternatives to consolidated net income determined in accordance with GAAP as a measure of operating performance, and
- are not alternatives to cash flows as a measure of liquidity.

The following schedule reconciles total FFO to consolidated net income.

	For the Year Ended			
	2013	2012	2011	
		(in thousands)		
Funds from Operations	\$ 3,205,693	\$ 2,884,915	\$ 2,438,765	
Increase in FFO from prior period	11.1%	18.3%	37.7%	
Consolidated Net Income	\$ 1,551,590	\$ 1,719,632	\$ 1,245,900	
Adjustments to Arrive at FFO:				
Depreciation and amortization from consolidated properties	1,273,646	1,242,741	1,047,571	
Our share of depreciation and amortization from unconsolidated entities,				
including Klépierre	511,200	456,011	384,367	
Gain upon acquisition of controlling interests, sale or disposal of assets and				
interests in unconsolidated entities, and impairment charge on investment in				
unconsolidated entities, net	(107,515)	(510,030)	(216,629)	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest holders in properties	(8,990)	(8,520)	(8,559)	
Noncontrolling interests portion of depreciation and amortization	(8,986)	(9,667)	(8,633)	
Preferred unit requirements	(5,252)	(5,252)	(5,252)	
Funds from Operations	\$ 3,205,693	\$ 2,884,915	\$ 2,438,765	

The following schedule reconciles NOI to consolidated net income and sets forth the computations of comparable property NOI.

	For the Two Ended De	elve Months cember 31,
	2013	2012
	(in tho	usands)
Reconciliation of NOI of consolidated properties:		
Consolidated Net Income	\$ 1,551,590	
Income and other taxes	39,734	15,880
Interest expense	1,137,139	1,127,025
Income from unconsolidated entities	(205,259)	(131,907)
Gain upon acquisition of controlling interests, sale or disposal of assets and interests in		
unconsolidated entities, and impairment charge on investment in unconsolidated entities, net	(107,515)	
Operating Income	2,415,689	2,220,600
Depreciation and amortization	1,290,528	1,257,569
NOI of consolidated properties	\$ 3,706,217	\$ 3,478,169
Reconciliation of NOI of unconsolidated entities:		
Net Income	\$ 641,099	\$ 445,528
Interest expense	694,904	599,400
(Gain) loss from operations of discontinued joint venture interests	(46)	20,311
(Gain) loss on disposal of discontinued operations, net	(51,164)	5,354
Operating Income	1,284,793	1,070,593
Depreciation and amortization	528,317	508,083
NOI of unconsolidated entities	\$ 1,813,110	\$ 1,578,676
Total consolidated and unconsolidated NOI from continuing operations	\$ 5,519,327	\$ 5,056,845
Adjustments to NOI:		
NOI of discontinued unconsolidated properties	46	63,571
Total NOI of our portfolio	\$ 5,519,373	\$ 5,120,416
Change in NOI from prior period	7.8%	2.6%
Add: Our share of NOI from Klépierre	276,391	173,310
Less: Joint venture partners' share of NOI	983,612	919,897
Our share of NOI	\$ 4,812,152	\$ 4,373,829
Increase in our share of NOI from prior period	10.0%	15.4%
Total NOI of our portfolio	\$ 5,519,373	\$ 5,120,416
NOI from non comparable properties (1)	1,349,124	1,157,488
Total NOI of comparable properties (2)	\$ 4,170,249	\$ 3,962,928
Increase in NOI of U.S. Malls and Premium Outlets that are comparable properties	5.2%	

(1) NOI from non comparable properties includes the Mills, community/lifestyle centers, international properties, other retail properties, The Mills Limited Partnership properties, any of our non-retail holdings and results of our corporate and management company operations, NOI of U.S. Malls and Premium Outlets not owned and operated in both periods under comparison and excluded income noted in footnote 2 below.

(2) Comparable properties are U.S. malls and Premium Outlets that were owned in both of the periods under comparison. Excludes lease termination income, interest income, land sale gains and the impact of significant redevelopment activities.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

We are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rule 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as a process designed by, or under the supervision of, Simon Property's Board of Directors, principal executive and principal financial officers and effected by Simon Property's Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- Pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and disposition of assets;
- Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and
- Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

We assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013. In making this assessment, we used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework (1992).

Based on that assessment, we believe that, as of December 31, 2013, our internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Item 7A. Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Our exposure to market risk due to changes in interest rates primarily relates to our long-term debt obligations. We manage exposure to interest rate market risk through our risk management strategy by a combination of interest rate protection agreements to effectively fix or cap a portion of variable rate debt. We are also exposed to foreign currency risk on financings of certain foreign operations. Our intent is to offset gains and losses that occur on the underlying exposures, with gains and losses on the derivative contracts hedging these exposures. We do not enter into either interest rate protection or foreign currency rate protection agreements for speculative purposes.

We may enter into treasury lock agreements as part of an anticipated debt issuance. Upon completion of the debt issuance, the cost of these instruments is recorded as part of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and is amortized to interest expense over the life of the debt agreement.

Our future earnings, cash flows and fair values relating to financial instruments are dependent upon prevalent market rates of interest, primarily LIBOR, which was at historically low levels during 2013. Based upon consolidated indebtedness and interest rates at December 31, 2013, a 50 basis point increase in the market rates of interest would decrease future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$8.8 million, and would decrease the fair value of debt by approximately \$466.2 million.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors of Simon Property Group, Inc. and the Partners of Simon Property Group, L.P.:

We have audited Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (1992 Framework) (the COSO criteria). Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries' management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 of Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries, and our report dated March 4, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Indianapolis, Indiana March 4, 2014

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors of Simon Property Group, Inc. and The Partners of Simon Property Group, L.P.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income, equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. Our audit also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (1992 Framework) and our report dated March 4, 2014, expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Indianapolis, Indiana March 4, 2014

Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Dollars in thousands, except unit amounts)

	December 31, 2013		1	December 31, 2012
ASSETS:				
Investment properties at cost	\$	35,126,344	\$	34,252,521
Less — accumulated depreciation		10,067,743		9,068,388
		25,058,601		25,184,133
Cash and cash equivalents		1,716,863		1,184,518
Tenant receivables and accrued revenue, net		581,482		521,301
Investment in unconsolidated entities, at equity		2,433,399		2,108,966
Investment in Klépierre, at equity		2,014,415		2,016,954
Deferred costs and other assets		1,519,814		1,570,734
Total assets	\$	33,324,574	\$	32,586,606
LIABILITIES:	_			
Mortgages and unsecured indebtedness	\$	23,588,531	\$	23,113,007
Accounts payable, accrued expenses, intangibles, and deferred revenues		1,374,113		1,374,172
Cash distributions and losses in partnerships and joint ventures, at equity		1,091,591		724,744
Other liabilities		257,222		303,588
Total liabilities		26,311,457		25,515,511
Commitments and contingencies				
Preferred units, at liquidation value, and noncontrolling redeemable interests in properties		190,485		178,006
received dates) at inquidation (date), and noncontrolling reaccinative interests in properties		100,100		1, 0,000
EQUITY:				
Partners' Equity				
Preferred units, 796,948 units outstanding. Liquidation value of \$39,847		44,390		44,719
General Partner, 310,608,565 and 309,903,824 units outstanding, respectively		5,805,016		5,865,884
Limited Partners, 51,846,157 and 51,952,554 units outstanding, respectively		968,962		983,363
Total partners' equity	_	6,818,368		6,893,966
Nonredeemable noncontrolling interests in properties, net		4,264		(877)
Total equity	_	6,822,632	-	6,893,089
Total liabilities and equity	\$	33,324,574	\$	32,586,606
	-		-	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income

(Dollars in thousands, except per unit amounts)

	For the Twelve Months Ended December 31,			
	2013	2012	2011	
REVENUE:	¢ 2 201 0F0	¢ 2.015.066	¢ > 664 734	
Minimum rent		\$ 3,015,866	\$ 2,664,724	
Overage rent Tenant reimbursements	223,473	195,726	140,842	
	1,442,907	1,340,307	1,177,269	
Management fees and other revenues	126,972	128,366	128,010	
Other income	174,828	199,819	195,587	
Total revenue	5,170,138	4,880,084	4,306,432	
EXPENSES:				
Property operating	475,133	469,755	436,571	
Depreciation and amortization	1,290,528	1,257,569	1,065,946	
Real estate taxes	444,899	419,267	369,755	
Repairs and maintenance	120,803	116,168	113,490	
Advertising and promotion	126,210	118,790	107,002	
Provision for credit losses	7,737	12,809	6,505	
Home and regional office costs	140,931	123,926	128,618	
General and administrative	59,803	57,144	46,319	
Marketable and non-marketable securities charges and realized gains, net		(6,426)		
Other	88,405	90,482	89,066	
Total operating expenses	2,754,449	2,659,484	2,363,278	
OPERATING INCOME	2,415,689	2,220,600	1,943,154	
	(1 107 100)		(002 52)	
interest expense	(1,137,139)	(1,127,025)	(983,526	
ncome and other taxes income from unconsolidated entities	(39,734) 205,259	(15,880) 131,907	(11,595 81,238	
Gain upon acquisition of controlling interests, sale or disposal of assets and interests in unconsolidated entities, and impairment charge on investment in unconsolidated entities, net	107,515	510,030	216,629	
CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME	1,551,590	1,719,632	1,245,900	
	0.000	0 500	0 550	
Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	8,990	8,520	8,559	
Preferred unit requirements	5,252	5,252	5,252	
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO UNITHOLDERS	<u>\$ 1,537,348</u>	\$ 1,705,860	\$ 1,232,089	
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO UNITHOLDERS ATTRIBUTABLE TO:				
General Partner	\$ 1,316,304	1,431,159	\$ 1,021,462	
Limited Partners	221,044	274,701	210,622	
Net income attributable to unitholders	\$ 1,537,348	\$ 1,705,860	\$ 1,232,089	
BASIC EARNINGS PER UNIT				
Net income attributable to unitholders	\$ 4.24	\$ 4.72	\$ 3.48	
DILUTED EARNINGS PER UNIT				
Net income attributable to unitholders	\$ 4.24	\$ 4.72	\$ 3.48	
Consolidated net income	\$ 1,551,590	\$ 1,719,632	\$ 1,245,900	
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivative hedge agreements	7,101	16,652	(91,933	
Net loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into	/,101	10,032	(31,33)	
earnings	9,205	21,042	16,169	
Currency translation adjustments	2,865	9,200	(8,462	
Changes in available-for-sale securities and other	(1,479)	(39,248)	(37,432	
Comprehensive income	1,569,282	1,727,278	1,124,243	
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	1,509,282	8,520	8,559	
Comprehensive income attributable to honcontrolling interests	\$ 1,560,292	\$ 1,718,758	\$ 1,115,684	
Comprehensive income autributable to unitiloiders	э 1,000,292	φ 1,/10,/38	φ 1,113,084	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Dollars in thousands)

	For the Twelve Months Ended December 31,			
	2013	2012	2011	
ASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Consolidated Net Income	\$ 1,551,590	\$ 1,719,632	\$ 1,245,900	
Adjustments to reconcile consolidated net income to net cash provided by				
operating activities —				
Depreciation and amortization	1,332,950	1,301,304	1,112,43	
Gain upon acquisition of controlling interests, sale or disposal of assets				
and interests in unconsolidated entities, and impairment charge on				
investment in unconsolidated entities, net	(107,515)	(510,030)	(216,62	
Marketable and non-marketable securities charges and realized gains, net	_	(6,426)	-	
Straight-line rent	(48,264)	(37,998)	(30,30	
Equity in income of unconsolidated entities	(205,259)	(131,907)	(81,23	
Distributions of income from unconsolidated entities	179,054	151,398	112,97	
Changes in assets and liabilities —				
Tenant receivables and accrued revenue, net	(13,938)	(4,815)	(19,37	
Deferred costs and other assets	(30,013)	(133,765)	(58,92	
Acounts payable, accrued expenses, intangibles, deferred revenues and				
other liabilities	42,391	165,679	(58,95	
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,700,996	2,513,072	2,005,88	
ASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Acquisitions	(866,541)	(3,735,718)	(1,259,62	
Funding of loans to related parties	(99,079)	(25,364)	-	
Repayments of loans to related parties		92,600	-	
Capital expenditures, net	(841,209)	(802,427)	(445,49	
Cash from acquisitions and cash impact from the consolidation and				
deconsolidation of properties	—	91,163	19,30	
Net proceeds from sale of assets	274,058	383,804	136,01	
Investments in unconsolidated entities	(143,149)	(201,330)	(20,80	
Purchase of marketable and non-marketable securities	(44,117)	(184,804)	(42,01	
Proceeds from sale of marketable and non-marketable securities	47,495	415,848	6,86	
Repayments of loans held for investment	—	163,908	235,12	
Distributions of capital from unconsolidated entities	724,454	221,649	376,59	
Net cash used in investing activities	(948,088)	(3,580,671)	(994,04	
ASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:				
Issuance of units	99	1,213,840	5,31	
Redemption of limited partner units		(248,000)	-	
Purchase of noncontrolling interest in consolidated properties	_	(229,595)	-	
Distributions to noncontrolling interest holders in properties	(9,335)	(13,623)	(28,79	
Contributions from noncontrolling interest holders in properties	6,053	4,204	1,21	
Partnership distributions	(1,690,553)	(1,485,240)	(1,244,15	
Mortgage and unsecured indebtedness proceeds, net of transaction costs	2,919,364	6,772,443	1,655,20	
Mortgage and unsecured indebtedness principal payments	(2,446,191)	(4,560,562)	(1,398,69	
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(1,220,563)	1,453,467	(1,009,91	
ICREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	532,345	385,868	1,93	
ASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	1,184,518	798,650	796,71	
ASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ 1,716,863	\$ 1,184,518	\$ 798,65	
	÷ 1,7 10,000		÷	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Equity (Dollars in Thousands)

	Preferred	Simon Property (Managing General	Limited	Noncontrolling	Total
	Units	Partner)	Partners	Interests	Equity
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 45,375	\$ 4,785,405	\$ 983,887	<u>\$ (180,915)</u>	\$ 5,633,752
General partner contributions (324,720 units net of 76,969 units used to					
fund required withholding tax)		2,095			2,095
Issuance of limited partner units (75,469)			9,084		9,084
Series J preferred stock premium and amortization	(328)				(328)
Limited partner units exchanged to common units (584,432 units)		9,465	(9,465)		
Units Retired (61,584 units)		(6,385)			(6,385)
Stock incentive program (116,885 units, net)					_
Amortization of stock incentive		14,018			14,018
Issuance of unit equivalents and other (1,133,673 and 6,857 units)		(106,694)	5,303	123,331	21,940
Adjustment to limited partners' interest from increased ownership in the Operating Partnership		13,453	(13,453)		_
Distributions, excluding distributions on preferred interests classified as		10,100	(10,100)		
temporary equity	(3,337)	(1,027,407)	(211,497)	(1,029)	(1,243,270)
Net income, excluding preferred distributions on temporary equity	(0,001)	(_,,,)	(,,	(_,==)	(-,, ,
preferred units of \$1,915 and \$8,946 attributable to noncontrolling					
redeemable interests in properties in temporary equity	3,337	1,021,462	210,627	(387)	1,235,039
Other comprehensive income	-,	(100,793)	(20,864)	()	(121,657)
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 45,047	\$ 4,604,619	\$ 953,622	\$ (59,000)	\$ 5,544,288
General partner contributions (712 units)		41			41
Issuance of limited partner units (205,335 units)			31,324		31,324
Issuance of units related to Simon Property's public offering of its common			- ,-		- /-
stock (9,137,500 units)		1,213,741			1,213,741
Series J preferred stock premium and amortization	(328)				(328)
Limited partner units exchanged to units (7,447,921 units for 6,795,296					
common shares)		144,197	(144,197)		_
Redemption of limited partner units (2,000,000 units)		(209,096)	(38,904)		(248,000)
Stock incentive program (114,066 units, net)					
Amortization of stock incentive		14,001			14,001
Purchase of noncontrolling interests		25,917		58,559	84,476
Issuance of unit equivalents and other (337,006 units)		(36,157)	56,621	(1)	20,463
Adjustment to limited partners' interest from increased ownership in the					
Operating Partnership		(84,685)	84,685		—
Distributions, excluding distributions on preferred interests classified as					
temporary equity	(3,337)	(1,241,216)	(238,772)	(435)	(1,483,760)
Net income, excluding preferred distributions on temporary equity					
preferred units of \$1,915 and \$8,520 attributable to noncontrolling					
redeemable interests in properties in temporary equity	3,337	1,431,159	274,701	_	1,709,197
Other comprehensive income		3,363	4,283	+	7,646
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 44,719	\$ 5,865,884	\$ 983,363	\$ (877)	\$ 6,893,089

Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries Consolidated Statements of Equity (Dollars in Thousands)

		Simon Property			
	Preferred Units	(Managing General Partner)	Limited Partners	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity
General partner contributions (1,567 units)		90			90
Series J preferred stock premium and amortization	(329)				(329)
Limited partner units exchanged to common units (596,051 units)		11,161	(11,161)		—
Stock incentive program (107,123 common shares, net)		—			_
Amortization of stock incentive		18,311			18,311
Issuance of unit equivalents and other (489,654 units)		(7,516)	44,107	5,294	41,885
Adjustment to limited partners' interest from change in ownership in the Operating Partnership		28,382	(28,382)		_
Distributions, excluding distributions on preferred interests classified as temporary equity	(3,337)	(1,442,705)	(242,596)	(285)	(1,688,923)
Net income, excluding preferred distributions on temporary equity preferred units of \$1,915 and \$8,858 attributable to noncontrolling	2 227	1.216.204	221.044	132	1 5 40 017
redeemable interests in properties in temporary equity	3,337	1,316,304	221,044	132	1,540,817
Other comprehensive income		15,105	2,587		17,692
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 44,390	\$ 5,805,016	<u>\$ 968,962</u>	\$ 4,264	\$ 6,822,632

1. Organization

Simon Property Group, L.P. is a Delaware limited partnership and the majority-owned subsidiary of Simon Property Group, Inc. In these notes to consolidated financial statements, the terms "Operating Partnership", "we", "us" and "our" refer to Simon Property Group, L.P. and its subsidiaries and the term "Simon Property" refers specifically to Simon Property Group, Inc. (NYSE: SPG). Simon Property, a Delaware corporation, is a self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust, or REIT, under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended REITs will generally not be liable for federal corporate income taxes as long as they continue to distribute not less than 100% of their taxable income. According to our partnership agreement, we are required to pay all expenses of Simon Property.

We own, develop and manage retail real estate properties, which consist primarily of malls, Premium Outlets®, The Mills®, and community/lifestyle centers. As of December 31, 2013, we owned or held an interest in 308 income-producing properties in the United States, which consisted of 156 malls, 66 Premium Outlets, 62 community/lifestyle centers, 13 Mills and 11 other shopping centers or outlet centers in 38 states and Puerto Rico. Internationally, as of December 31, 2013, we had ownership interests in nine Premium Outlets in Japan, three Premium Outlets in South Korea, one Premium Outlet in Canada, one Premium Outlet in Mexico, and one Premium Outlet in Malaysia. In 2013, as further discussed in Note 7, we acquired noncontrolling interests in five operating properties in Europe through our joint venture with McArthurGlen. Of the five properties, two are located in Italy and one each is located in Austria, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom. Additionally, as of December 31, 2013, we owned a 28.9% equity stake in Klépierre SA, or Klépierre, a publicly traded, Paris-based real estate company, which owns, or has an interest in, shopping centers located in 13 countries in Europe.

On December 13, 2013, we announced a plan to spin off our interests in 98 properties comprised of substantially all of our strip center business and our smaller enclosed malls into an independent, publicly traded REIT (SpinCo). The spin-off is expected to be effectuated through a pro rata special distribution of all of the outstanding common shares of SpinCo to holders of Simon Property common stock as of the distribution record date, and is intended to qualify as a tax-free distribution for U.S. federal income tax purposes. At the time of the separation and distribution, SpinCo will own a percentage of the outstanding units of partnership interest of SpinCo L.P. that is equal to the percentage of our outstanding units of partnership interest, or units, owned by Simon Property, with the remaining units of SpinCo L.P. owned by our limited partners. We expect the transaction will become effective in the second quarter of 2014. The transaction is subject to certain conditions, including declaration by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission that SpinCo's registration statement on Form 10 is effective, filing and approval of SpinCo's listing application, customary third party consents, and formal approval and declaration of the distribution by Simon Property's Board of Directors. We may, at any time and for any reason until the proposed transaction is complete, abandon the spin-off or modify or change its terms.

We generate the majority of our revenues from leases with retail tenants including:

- base minimum rents,
- overage and percentage rents based on tenants' sales volume, and
- recoverable expenditures such as property operating, real estate taxes, repair and maintenance, and advertising and promotional expenditures.

Revenues of our management company, after intercompany eliminations, consist primarily of management fees that are typically based upon the revenues of the property being managed.

We also grow by generating supplemental revenues from the following activities:

establishing our malls as leading market resource providers for retailers and other businesses and consumer-focused corporate alliances, including payment systems (such as handling fees relating to the sales of bank-issued prepaid cards), national marketing alliances, static and digital media initiatives, business development, sponsorship, and events,



- offering property operating services to our tenants and others, including waste handling and facility services, and the provision of energy services,
- selling or leasing land adjacent to our properties, commonly referred to as "outlots" or "outparcels," and
- generating interest income on cash deposits and investments in loans, including those made to related entities.

2. Basis of Presentation and Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of all controlled subsidiaries, and all significant intercompany amounts have been eliminated.

We consolidate properties that are wholly owned or properties where we own less than 100% but we control. Control of a property is demonstrated by, among other factors, our ability to refinance debt and sell the property without the consent of any other partner or owner and the inability of any other partner or owner to replace us.

We also consolidate a variable interest entity, or VIE, when we are determined to be the primary beneficiary. Determination of the primary beneficiary of a VIE is based on whether an entity has (1) the power to direct activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE and (2) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. Our determination of the primary beneficiary of a VIE considers all relationships between us and the VIE, including management agreements and other contractual arrangements. As described in Note 4, on December 4, 2012, we acquired the remaining 50% noncontrolling interest in two previously consolidated outlet properties. Prior to the acquisition, we had determined these properties were VIEs and we were the primary beneficiary. There have been no other changes during 2013 or 2012 in previous conclusions about whether an entity qualifies as a VIE or whether we are the primary beneficiary of any previously identified VIE. During 2013 and 2012, we did not provide financial or other support to a previously identified VIE that we were not previously contractually obligated to provide.

Investments in partnerships and joint ventures represent our noncontrolling ownership interests in properties. We account for these investments using the equity method of accounting. We initially record these investments at cost and we subsequently adjust for net equity in income or loss, which we allocate in accordance with the provisions of the applicable partnership or joint venture agreement, cash contributions and distributions, and foreign currency fluctuations, if applicable. The allocation provisions in the partnership or joint venture agreements are not always consistent with the legal ownership interests held by each general or limited partner or joint venture investee primarily due to partner preferences. We separately report investments in joint ventures for which accumulated distributions have exceeded investments in and our share of net income of the joint ventures within cash distributions and losses in partnerships and joint ventures, at equity in the consolidated balance sheets. The net equity of certain joint ventures is less than zero because of financing or operating distributions that are usually greater than net income, as net income includes non-cash charges for depreciation and amortization.

As of December 31, 2013, we consolidated 217 wholly-owned properties and 18 additional properties that are less than wholly-owned, but which we control or for which we are the primary beneficiary. We account for the remaining 93 properties, or the joint venture properties, as well as our investment in Klépierre, using the equity method of accounting, as we have determined we have significant influence over their operations. We manage the day-to-day operations of 70 of the 93 joint venture properties, but have determined that our partner or partners have substantive participating rights with respect to the assets and operations of these joint venture properties. Our investments in joint ventures in Japan, South Korea, Canada, Mexico, Malaysia, and the five properties through our joint venture with McArthurGlen comprise 20 of the remaining 23 properties. The international properties are managed locally by joint ventures in which we share control of the properties.

We allocate our net operating results after preferred distributions based on our partners' respective weighted average ownership. Simon Property owns a majority of our units, and certain series of our preferred units of partnership



interest, or preferred units, which have terms comparable to outstanding shares of Simon Property preferred stock. Simon Property's weighted average ownership interest in us was as follows:

		For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2013	3	2012	2011		
Weighted average ownership interest	85	.6%	83.9%	82.9%		

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, Simon Property's ownership interest in us was 85.7% and 85.6%, respectively. We adjust the noncontrolling limited partners' interests at the end of each period to reflect their respective interests in us.

Preferred unit requirements in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income represent distributions on outstanding preferred units held by limited partners and are recorded when declared.

Reclassifications

We made certain reclassifications of prior period amounts in the consolidated financial statements to conform to the 2013 presentation. These reclassifications had no impact on previously reported net income attributable to unitholders or earnings per unit.

3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Investment Properties

We record investment properties at cost. Investment properties include costs of acquisitions; development, predevelopment, and construction (including allocable salaries and related benefits); tenant allowances and improvements; and interest and real estate taxes incurred during construction. We capitalize improvements and replacements from repair and maintenance when the repair and maintenance extends the useful life, increases capacity, or improves the efficiency of the asset. All other repair and maintenance items are expensed as incurred. We capitalize interest on projects during periods of construction until the projects are ready for their intended purpose based on interest rates in place during the construction period. The amount of interest capitalized during each year is as follows:

		For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2013 2012 2			2011		
Capitalized interest	\$	16,604	\$	21,145	\$	5,815

We record depreciation on buildings and improvements utilizing the straight-line method over an estimated original useful life, which is generally 10 to 35 years. We review depreciable lives of investment properties periodically and we make adjustments when necessary to reflect a shorter economic life. We amortize tenant allowances and tenant improvements utilizing the straight-line method over the term of the related lease or occupancy term of the tenant, if shorter. We record depreciation on equipment and fixtures utilizing the straight-line method over seven to ten years.

We review investment properties for impairment on a property-by-property basis whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of investment properties may not be recoverable. These circumstances include, but are not limited to, declines in a property's cash flows, ending occupancy or total sales per square foot. We measure any impairment of investment property when the estimated undiscounted operating income before depreciation and amortization plus its residual value is less than the carrying value of the property. To the extent impairment has occurred, we charge to income the excess of carrying value of the property over its estimated fair value. We estimate fair value using unobservable data such as operating income, estimated capitalization rates, or multiples, leasing prospects and local market information. We may decide to sell properties that are held for use and the sale prices of these properties may differ from their carrying values. We also review our investments, including investments in unconsolidated entities, if events or circumstances change indicating that the carrying amount of our investments may

not be recoverable. We will record an impairment charge if we determine that a decline in the fair value of the investments is other-than-temporary. Changes in economic and operating conditions that occur subsequent to our review of recoverability of investment property and other investments could impact the assumptions used in that assessment and could result in future charges to earnings if assumptions regarding those investments differ from actual results.

Purchase Accounting Allocation

We allocate the purchase price of acquisitions and any excess investment in unconsolidated entities to the various components of the acquisition based upon the fair value of each component which may be derived from various observable or unobservable inputs and assumptions. Also, we may utilize third party valuation specialists. These components typically include buildings, land and intangibles related to in-place leases and we estimate:

- the fair value of land and related improvements and buildings on an as-if-vacant basis,
- the market value of in-place leases based upon our best estimate of current market rents and amortize the resulting market rent adjustment into revenues,
- the value of costs to obtain tenants, including tenant allowances and improvements and leasing commissions, and
- the value of revenue and recovery of costs foregone during a reasonable lease-up period, as if the space was vacant.

Amounts allocated to building are depreciated over the estimated remaining life of the acquired building or related improvements. We amortize amounts allocated to tenant improvements, in-place lease assets and other lease-related intangibles over the remaining life of the underlying leases. We also estimate the value of other acquired intangible assets, if any, which are amortized over the remaining life of the underlying related intangibles.

Discontinued Operations

We reclassify any material operations and gains or losses on disposal related to consolidated properties disposed of during the period to discontinued operations. During 2013, we reported a net gain of approximately \$64.8 million, or \$0.18 per diluted unit, on our consolidated property disposition activity. During 2012, we reported a net gain of approximately \$21.1 million, or \$.06 per diluted unit, on our consolidated property disposition activity. During 2011, we reported a net loss of approximately \$42.4 million, or \$0.12 per diluted unit, on our consolidated property disposition activity. These gains and losses are reported in gain upon acquisition of controlling interests, sale or disposal of assets and interests in unconsolidated entities, and impairment charge on investment in unconsolidated entities, net in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. The aggregate of the gains and losses on the disposition of these assets and the operating results were not significant to our consolidated results of operations during each of the three years ended December 31, 2013.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of 90 days or less to be cash and cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are carried at cost, which approximates fair value. Cash equivalents generally consist of commercial paper, bankers acceptances, Eurodollars, repurchase agreements, and money market deposits or securities. Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk include our cash and cash equivalents and our trade accounts receivable. We place our cash and cash equivalents with institutions with high credit quality. However, at certain times, such cash and cash equivalents may be in excess of FDIC and SIPC insurance limits. See Notes 4 and 10 for disclosures about non-cash investing and financing transactions.

Marketable and Non-Marketable Securities

Marketable securities consist primarily of the investments of our captive insurance subsidiaries, available-for-sale securities, our deferred compensation plan investments, and certain investments held to fund the debt service requirements of debt previously secured by investment properties.

The types of securities included in the investment portfolio of our captive insurance subsidiaries typically include U.S. Treasury or other U.S. government securities as well as corporate debt securities with maturities ranging from less than 1 to 10 years. These securities are classified as available-for-sale and are valued based upon quoted market prices or other observable inputs when quoted market prices are not available. The amortized cost of debt securities, which approximates fair value, held by our captive insurance subsidiaries is adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity. Changes in the values of these securities are recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) until the gain or loss is realized or until any unrealized loss is deemed to be other-than-temporary. We review any declines in value of these securities for other-than-temporary impairment and consider the severity and duration of any decline in value. To the extent an other-than-temporary impairment is deemed to have occurred, an impairment charge is recorded and a new cost basis is established. Subsequent changes are then recognized through other comprehensive income (loss) unless another other-than-temporary impairment is deemed to have occurred. Net unrealized gains recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 were approximately \$1.1 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, and represent the valuation and related currency adjustments for our marketable securities.

On October 23, 2012 we completed the sale of all of our investments in Capital Shopping Centres Group PLC, or CSCG, and Capital & Counties Properties PLC, or CAPC. These investments were accounted for as available-for-sale securities and their value was adjusted to their quoted market price, including a related foreign exchange component, through other comprehensive income (loss). At the date of sale, we owned 35.4 million shares of CSCG and 38.9 million shares of CAPC. The aggregate proceeds received from the sale were \$327.1 million, and we recognized a gain on the sale of \$82.7 million, which is included in marketable and non-marketable securities charges and realized gains, net in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. The gain includes \$79.4 million that was reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Our insurance subsidiaries are required to maintain statutory minimum capital and surplus as well as maintain a minimum liquidity ratio. Therefore, our access to these securities may be limited. Our deferred compensation plan investments are classified as trading securities and are valued based upon quoted market prices. The investments have a matching liability as the amounts are fully payable to the employees that earned the compensation. Changes in value of these securities and changes to the matching liability to employees are both recognized in earnings and, as a result, there is no impact to consolidated net income.

At December 31, 2012, we also had investments of \$24.9 million which were used to fund the debt service requirements of mortgage debt previously secured by investment property. These investments were classified as held-to-maturity and were recorded at amortized cost. We had no such investments at December 31, 2013 because the debt matured during 2013 and the investments funded the payoff.

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, we had investments of \$118.8 million and \$98.9 million, respectively, in non-marketable securities that we account for under the cost method. We regularly evaluate these investments for any other-than-temporary impairment in their estimated fair value to determine whether an adjustment to the carrying value is required. During the fourth quarter of 2012, as a result of the significance and duration of the impairment, represented by the excess of the carrying value over the estimated fair value of certain cost method investments, we recognized other-than-temporary non-cash charges of \$71.0 million, which is included in marketable and non-marketable securities charges and realized gains, net in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. The fair value of the remaining investment for the securities that were impaired is not material and was based on Level 2 fair value inputs.

Fair Value Measurements

Level 1 fair value inputs are quoted prices for identical items in active, liquid and visible markets such as stock exchanges. Level 2 fair value inputs are observable information for similar items in active or inactive markets, and appropriately consider counterparty creditworthiness in the valuations. Level 3 fair value inputs reflect our best estimate of inputs and assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability at the measurement date. The inputs are unobservable in the market and significant to the valuation estimate. We have no investments for which fair value is measured on a recurring basis using Level 3 inputs.

We hold marketable securities that totaled \$148.3 million and \$170.2 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, that were primarily classified as having Level 1 fair value inputs. In addition, we have derivative instruments which are classified as having Level 2 inputs which consist primarily of interest rate swap agreements and foreign currency forward contracts with a gross liability balance of \$1.2 million and \$1.5 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and a gross asset value of \$8.4 million and \$3.0 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We also have interest rate cap agreements with nominal values.

Note 8 includes a discussion of the fair value of debt measured using Level 2 inputs. Notes 3 and 4 include a discussion of the fair values recorded in purchase accounting and impairment, using Level 2 and Level 3 inputs. Level 3 inputs to our purchase accounting and impairment include our estimations of net operating results of the property, capitalization rates and discount rates.

Use of Estimates

We prepared the accompanying consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or GAAP. GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and revenues and expenses during the reported period. Our actual results could differ from these estimates.

Segment Disclosure

Our primary business is the ownership, development, and management of retail real estate. We have aggregated our retail operations, including malls, Premium Outlets, The Mills, community/lifestyle centers, and our international investments into one reportable segment because they have similar economic characteristics and we provide similar products and services to similar types of, and in many cases, the same tenants.

Deferred Costs and Other Assets

Deferred costs and other assets include the following as of December 31:

	 2013		2012
Deferred financing and lease costs, net	\$ 344,970	\$	334,337
In-place lease intangibles, net	290,564		358,141
Acquired above market lease intangibles, net	98,723		128,893
Marketable securities of our captive insurance companies	94,720		119,424
Goodwill	20,098		20,098
Other marketable and non-marketable securities	173,887		150,264
Prepaids, notes receivable and other assets, net	496,852		459,577
	\$ 1,519,814	\$	1,570,734

Deferred Financing and Lease Costs

Our deferred costs consist primarily of financing fees we incurred in order to obtain long-term financing and internal and external leasing commissions and related costs. We record amortization of deferred financing costs on a straight-line basis over the terms of the respective loans or agreements. Our deferred leasing costs consist primarily of capitalized salaries and related benefits in connection with lease originations. We record amortization of deferred leasing costs on a straight-line basis over the terms of the related leases. Details of these deferred costs as of December 31 are as follows:

	2013		 2012
Deferred financing and lease costs	\$	619,366	\$ 576,821
Accumulated amortization		(274,396)	(242,484)
Deferred financing and lease costs, net	\$	344,970	\$ 334,337

We report amortization of deferred financing costs, amortization of premiums, and accretion of discounts as part of interest expense. Amortization of deferred leasing costs is a component of depreciation and amortization expense. We amortize debt premiums and discounts, which are included in mortgages and unsecured indebtedness, over the remaining terms of the related debt instruments. These debt premiums or discounts arise either at the debt issuance or as part of the purchase price allocation of the fair value of debt assumed in acquisitions. The accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income include amortization as follows:

	 For the Year Ended December 31,						
	 2013		2012		2011		
Amortization of deferred financing costs	\$ 25,982	\$	27,163	\$	28,697		
Amortization of debt premiums, net of discounts	(33,535)		(33,504)		(8,439)		
Amortization of deferred leasing costs	45,760		43,176		43,110		

Loans Held for Investment

From time to time, we may make investments in mortgage loans or mezzanine loans of third parties that own and operate commercial real estate assets located in the United States. Mortgage loans are secured, in part, by mortgages recorded against the underlying properties which are not owned by us. Mezzanine loans are secured, in part, by pledges of ownership interests of the entities that own the underlying real estate. Loans held for investment are carried at cost, net of any premiums or discounts which are accreted or amortized over the life of the related loan receivable utilizing the effective interest method. We evaluate the collectability of both interest and principal of each of these loans quarterly to determine whether the value has been impaired. A loan is deemed to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the existing contractual terms. When a loan is impaired, the amount of the loss accrual is calculated by comparing the carrying amount of the loan held for investment to its estimated realizable value.

We had investments in mortgage and mezzanine loans which were repaid during 2011 and 2012. We recorded \$6.8 million and \$24.3 million during 2012 and 2011, respectively, in interest income earned from these loans.

Intangibles

The average life of in-place lease intangibles is approximately 3.8 years, is amortized over the remaining life of the leases of the related property on the straight-line basis and is included with depreciation and amortization in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. The fair market value of above and below market leases is amortized into revenue over the remaining lease life as a component of reported minimum rents. The weighted average remaining life of these intangibles is approximately 5.0 years. The unamortized amount of below market leases is included in accounts payable, accrued expenses, intangibles and deferred revenues in the consolidated balance sheets

and was \$145.5 million and \$199.2 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The amount of amortization of above and below market leases, net for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 was \$24.1 million, \$16.5 million, and \$17.6 million, respectively. If a lease is terminated prior to the original lease termination, any remaining unamortized intangible is written off to earnings.

Details of intangible assets as of December 31 are as follows:

	 2013	2012		
In-place lease intangibles	\$ 481,661	\$	480,517	
Accumulated depreciation	(191,097)		(122,376)	
In-place lease intangibles, net	\$ 290,564	\$	358,141	
Acquired above market lease intangibles	\$ 249,115	\$	248,357	
Accumulated amortization	(150,392)		(119,464)	
Acquired above market lease intangibles, net	\$ 98,723	\$	128,893	

Estimated future amortization and the increasing (decreasing) effect on minimum rents for our above and below market leases as of December 31, 2013 are as follows:

	Below Market Leases	Above Market Leases	Impact to Minimum Rent, Net
2014	\$ 35,128	\$ (22,020)	\$ 13,108
2015	30,552	(19,441)	11,111
2016	25,499	(17,468)	8,031
2017	17,995	(13,889)	4,106
2018	13,931	(11,062)	2,869
Thereafter	22,413	(14,843)	7,570
	\$ 145,518	\$ (98,723)	\$ 46,795

Derivative Financial Instruments

We record all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether we have designated a derivative as a hedge and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. We use a variety of derivative financial instruments in the normal course of business to selectively manage or hedge a portion of the risks associated with our indebtedness and interest payments. Our objectives in using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage our exposure to interest rate movements. To accomplish this objective, we primarily use interest rate swaps and caps. We require that hedging derivative instruments be highly effective in reducing the risk exposure that they are designated to hedge. As a result, there is no significant ineffectiveness from any of our derivative activities. We formally designate any instrument that meets these hedging criteria as a hedge at the inception of the derivative contract. We have no credit-risk-related hedging or derivative activities.

As of December 31, 2013, we had the following outstanding interest rate derivatives related to managing our interest rate risk:

	Number of	
Interest Rate Derivative	Instruments	Notional Amount
Interest Rate Swaps	2	\$491.6 million
Interest Rate Caps	5	\$248.3 million

The carrying value of our interest rate swap agreements, at fair value, as of December 31, 2013, is a net asset balance of \$3.0 million, of which \$0.4 million is included in other liabilities and \$3.4 million is included in deferred costs and other assets. The December 31, 2012 carrying value was a liability balance of \$1.5 million and is included in other liabilities. The interest rate cap agreements were of nominal value at December 31, 2013 and 2012 and we generally do not apply hedge accounting to these arrangements.

We are also exposed to fluctuations in foreign exchange rates on financial instruments which are denominated in foreign currencies, primarily in Japan and Europe. We use currency forward contracts and foreign currency denominated debt to manage our exposure to changes in foreign exchange rates on certain Yen and Euro-denominated receivables and net investments. Currency forward contracts involve fixing the Yen:USD or Euro:USD exchange rate for delivery of a specified amount of foreign currency on a specified date. The currency forward contracts are typically cash settled in US dollars for their fair value at or close to their settlement date. Approximately ¥1.6 billion remains as of December 31, 2013 for all forward contracts that we expect to settle through January 5, 2015. The December 31, 2013 asset balance related to these forward contracts was \$5.0 million and is included in deferred costs and other assets. We have reported the changes in fair value for these forward contracts in earnings. The underlying currency adjustments on the foreign currency denominated receivables are also reported in income and generally offset the amounts in earnings for these forward contracts.

In the fourth quarter of 2013, we entered into a Euro:USD forward contract with a \notin 74.0 million notional value maturing on May 30, 2014 which we designated as a net investment hedge. The December 31, 2013 liability balance related to this forward contract was \$0.8 million and is included in other liabilities. We apply hedge accounting and the change in fair value for this forward contract is reported in other comprehensive income. Changes in the value of this forward contract are offset by changes in the underlying hedged Euro-denominated joint venture investment.

The total gross accumulated other comprehensive loss related to our derivative activities, including our share of the other comprehensive loss from joint venture properties, approximated \$61.8 million and \$78.1 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Noncontrolling Interests and Temporary Equity

In addition to noncontrolling redeemable interests in properties, we classify our 7.5% Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units, or 7.5% preferred units, in temporary equity. Although we may redeem the 7.5% preferred units for cash or shares of Simon Property common stock, we could be required to redeem the securities for cash because the non-cash redemption alternative requires us to deliver fully registered shares of Simon Property common stock which we may not be able to deliver depending upon the circumstances that exist at the time of redemption. The previous and current carrying amounts are equal to the liquidation value, which is the amount payable upon the occurrence of any event that could potentially result in cash settlement.

Our evaluation of the appropriateness of classifying the units held by Simon Property and limited partners within permanent equity considered several significant factors. First, as a limited partnership, all decisions relating to our operations and distributions are made by Simon Property, acting as our sole general partner. The decisions of the general partner are made by Simon Property's Board of Directors or management. We have no other governance structure. Secondly, the sole asset of Simon Property is its interest in us. As a result, a share of Simon Property common stock (if owned by us) is best characterized as being similar to a treasury share and thus not an asset of the Operating Partnership.

Limited partners have the right under our partnership agreement to exchange their units for shares of Simon Property common stock or cash as selected by the general partner. Accordingly, we classify units held by limited partners in permanent equity because Simon Property has the ability to issue shares of its common stock to limited partners exercising their exchange rights rather than using cash or other assets. Under our partnership agreement, we are required to redeem units held by Simon Property only when Simon Property has redeemed shares of its common stock. We classify units held by Simon Property in permanent equity because the decision to redeem those units would be made by Simon Property.

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests (which includes nonredeemable and redeemable noncontrolling interests in consolidated properties) is a component of consolidated net income. During 2013, 2012 and 2011, no individual components of other comprehensive income (loss) were attributable to noncontrolling interests.

A rollforward of noncontrolling interests for the years ending December 31 is as follows:

	2013	2012	2011
Noncontrolling nonredeemable deficit interests in properties, net — beginning of period	\$ (877)	\$ (59,000) \$	\$ (180,915)
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling nonredeemable interests	132	—	(387)
Distributions to noncontrolling nonredeemable interestholders	(285)	(435)	(1,029)
Contributions from noncontrolling nonredeemable interestholders	5,300	—	—
Purchase of noncontrolling interests, noncontrolling interests in newly consolidated			
properties and other	(6)	58,558	123,331
Noncontrolling nonredeemable interests in properties, net — end of period	\$ 4,264	\$ (877) \$	\$ (59,000)

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The changes in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by component consisted of the following as of December 31, 2013:

	tr	Currency anslation justments		Accumulated derivative losses, net]	Net unrealized gains on marketable securities	 Total
Beginning balance	\$	(30,620)	\$	(78,139)	\$	2,613	\$ (106,146)
Other comprehensive income (loss) before reclassifications		2,865		7,101		(1,479)	8,487
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive							
income (loss)		—		9,205		—	9,205
Net current-period other comprehensive income (loss)		2,865	_	16,306	_	(1,479)	 17,692
Ending balance	\$	(27,755)	\$	(61,833)	\$	1,134	\$ (88,454)

The reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consisted of the following as of December 31, 2013:

Details about accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) components: Accumulated derivative losses, net		Amount reclass from accumula other comprehen income (loss	nted	Affected line item in the statement where net income is presented
		\$	(9,205)	Interest expense
		\$	(9,205)	
	81			

Revenue Recognition

We, as a lessor, retain substantially all of the risks and benefits of ownership of the investment properties and account for our leases as operating leases. We accrue minimum rents on a straight-line basis over the terms of their respective leases. Substantially all of our retail tenants are also required to pay overage rents based on sales over a stated base amount during the lease year. We recognize overage rents only when each tenant's sales exceed the applicable sales threshold. We amortize any tenant inducements as a reduction of revenue utilizing the straight-line method over the term of the related lease or occupancy term of the tenant, if shorter.

We structure our leases to allow us to recover a significant portion of our property operating, real estate taxes, repairs and maintenance, and advertising and promotion expenses from our tenants. A substantial portion of our leases, other than those for anchor stores, require the tenant to reimburse us for a substantial portion of our operating expenses, including common area maintenance, or CAM, real estate taxes and insurance. This significantly reduces our exposure to increases in costs and operating expenses resulting from inflation. Such property operating expenses typically include utility, insurance, security, janitorial, landscaping, food court and other administrative expenses. As of December 31, 2013 for substantially all of our leases in the U.S. mall portfolio, we receive a fixed payment from the tenant for the CAM component which is recognized as revenue when earned. When not reimbursed by the fixed-CAM component, CAM expense reimbursements are based on the tenant's proportionate share of the allocable operating expenses and CAM capital expenditures for the property. We also receive escrow payments for these reimbursements from substantially all our non-fixed CAM tenants and monthly fixed CAM payments throughout the year. We accrue reimbursements from tenants for recoverable portions of all these expenses as revenue in the period the applicable expenditures are incurred. We recognize differences between estimated recoveries and the final billed amounts in the subsequent year. These differences were not material in any period presented. Our advertising and promotional costs are expensed as incurred.

Management Fees and Other Revenues

Management fees and other revenues are generally received from our unconsolidated joint venture properties as well as third parties. Management fee revenue is earned based on a contractual percentage of joint venture property revenue. Development fee revenue is earned on a contractual percentage of hard costs to develop a property. Leasing fee revenue is earned on a contractual per square foot charge based on the square footage of current year leasing activity. We recognize revenue for these services provided when earned based on the underlying activity.

Revenues from insurance premiums charged to unconsolidated properties are recognized on a pro-rata basis over the terms of the policies. Insurance losses on these policies and our self-insurance for our consolidated properties are reflected in property operating expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income and include estimates for losses incurred but not reported as well as losses pending settlement. Estimates for losses are based on evaluations by third-party actuaries and management's estimates. Total insurance reserves for our insurance subsidiaries and other self-insurance programs as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 approximated \$103.4 million and \$112.8 million, respectively, and are included in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. Information related to the securities included in the investment portfolio of our captive insurance subsidiaries is included within the "Marketable and Non-Marketable Securities" section above.

Allowance for Credit Losses

We record a provision for credit losses based on our judgment of a tenant's creditworthiness, ability to pay and probability of collection. In addition, we also consider the retail sector in which the tenant operates and our historical collection experience in cases of bankruptcy, if applicable. Accounts are written off when they are deemed to be no longer collectible. Presented below is the activity in the allowance for credit losses during the following years:

		For the Year Ended December 31,					
	2013 2012 201					2011	
Balance, beginning of period	\$	33,130	\$	27,500	\$	31,650	
Consolidation of previously unconsolidated properties		_		2,075		860	
Provision for credit losses		7,737		12,809		6,505	
Accounts written off, net of recoveries		(4,955)		(9,254)		(11,515)	
Balance, end of period	\$	35,912	\$	33,130	\$	27,500	

Income Taxes

As a partnership, the allocated share of our income or loss for each year is included in the income tax returns of the partners; accordingly, no accounting for income taxes is required in the accompanying consolidated financial statements other than as discussed below for our taxable REIT subsidiaries.

Simon Property and certain of our subsidiaries are taxed as REITs under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code and applicable Treasury regulations relating to REIT qualification. In order to maintain this REIT status, the regulations require the entity to distribute at least 90% of taxable income to its owners and meet certain other asset and income tests as well as other requirements. We intend to continue to make distributions to Simon Property in amounts sufficient to assist Simon Property in adhering to REIT requirements and maintaining its REIT status. Our subsidiary REIT entities will generally not be liable for federal corporate income taxes as long as they continue to distribute in excess of 100% of their taxable income. Thus, we made no provision for federal income taxes for these entities in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. If Simon Property or any of our REIT subsidiaries fail to qualify as a REIT, Simon Property or that entity will be subject to tax at regular corporate rates for the years in which it failed to qualify. If Simon Property or any of our REIT subsidiaries lost their REIT status, they could not elect to be taxed as a REIT for four years unless their failure to qualify was due to reasonable cause and certain other conditions were satisfied.

We have also elected taxable REIT subsidiary, or TRS, status for some of our subsidiaries. This enables us to provide services that would otherwise be considered impermissible for REITs and participate in activities that do not qualify as "rents from real property". For these entities, deferred tax assets and liabilities are established for temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of assets and liabilities at the enacted tax rates expected to be in effect when the temporary differences reverse. A valuation allowance for deferred tax assets is provided if we believe all or some portion of the deferred tax asset may not be realized. An increase or decrease in the valuation allowance that results from the change in circumstances that causes a change in our judgment about the realizability of the related deferred tax asset is included in income.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, we had a net deferred tax asset of \$1.1 million and \$4.1 million, respectively, related to our TRS subsidiaries. The net deferred tax asset is included in deferred costs and other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and consists primarily of operating losses and other carryforwards for federal income tax purposes as well as the timing of the deductibility of losses or reserves from insurance subsidiaries. No valuation allowance has been recorded as we believe these amounts will be realized.

We are also subject to certain other taxes, including state and local taxes, franchise taxes, as well as income-based and withholding taxes on dividends from certain of our international investments, which are included in income and other taxes in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.



Corporate Expenses

Home and regional office costs primarily include compensation and personnel related costs, travel, building and office costs, and other expenses for our corporate home office and regional offices. General and administrative expense primarily includes executive compensation, benefits and travel expenses as well as costs of being a public company including certain legal costs, audit fees, regulatory fees, and certain other professional fees.

4. Real Estate Acquisitions and Dispositions

We acquire interests in properties to generate both current income and long-term appreciation in value. We acquire interests in individual properties or portfolios of retail real estate companies that meet our investment criteria and sell properties which no longer meet our strategic criteria. Unless otherwise noted below, gains and losses on these transactions are included in gain upon acquisition of controlling interests, sale or disposal of assets and interests in unconsolidated entities, and impairment charge on investment in unconsolidated entities, net in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. We expense acquisition and potential acquisition costs related to business combinations and disposition related costs as they are incurred. We incurred a minimal amount of transaction expenses during 2013, 2012, and 2011.

Our consolidated and unconsolidated acquisition and disposition activity for the periods presented are highlighted as follows:

2013 and 2014 Acquisitions

On January 10, 2014, we acquired one of our partner's remaining redeemable interests in a portfolio of ten properties for approximately \$113.3 million subject to a pre-existing contractual arrangement. The amount paid to acquire the interests in the seven properties which were previously consolidated is included in preferred units, at liquidation value, and noncontrolling redeemable interests in properties in the accompanying December 31, 2013 consolidated balance sheet.

During 2013, as further discussed in Note 7, we acquired noncontrolling interests in the property management and development companies of McArthurGlen as well as interests in five designer outlet properties.

On May 30, 2013, we acquired a 100% interest in a 390,000 square foot outlet center located near Portland, Oregon for cash consideration of \$146.7 million. The fair value of the acquisition was recorded primarily as investment property and lease related intangibles. As a result of the excess of fair value over amounts paid, we recognized a gain of approximately \$27.3 million.

2012 Acquisitions

On December 31, 2012, as discussed in Note 7, we contributed a wholly-owned property to a newly formed joint venture in exchange for an interest in a property contributed to the same joint venture by our joint venture partner.

On December 4, 2012, we acquired the remaining 50% noncontrolling equity interest in two previously consolidated outlet properties located in Grand Prairie, Texas, and Livermore, California, and, accordingly, we now own 100% of these properties. We paid consideration of \$260.9 million for the additional interests in the properties, 90% of which was paid in cash and 10% of which was satisfied through the issuance of units. In addition, the construction loans we had provided to the properties totaling \$162.5 million were extinguished on a non-cash basis. The transaction was accounted for as an equity transaction, as the properties had been previously consolidated.

On June 4, 2012, we acquired a 50% interest in a 465,000 square foot outlet center located in Destin, Florida for \$70.5 million.

On March 22, 2012, as discussed in Note 7, we acquired additional interests in 26 of our joint venture properties from SPG-FCM Ventures, LLC, or SPG-FCM, in a transaction valued at approximately \$1.5 billion, or the Mills transaction.



On March 14, 2012, as discussed in Note 7, we acquired a 28.7% equity stake in Klépierre for approximately \$2.0 billion.

On January 6, 2012, we paid \$50.0 million to acquire an additional 25% interest in Del Amo Fashion Center, thereby increasing our interest to 50%.

2011 Acquisitions

On December 31, 2011, we and our joint venture partner dissolved a venture in which we had a 50% interest and distributed a portfolio of properties previously held within the venture to us and our joint venture partner. As a result, we have a 100% interest in and now consolidate the six properties we received in the distribution. The distribution resulted in a remeasurement of the distributed assets to estimated fair value and a corresponding non-cash gain of \$168.3 million in the fourth quarter of 2011 representing the estimated fair value of the net assets received in excess of the carrying value of our interest in the joint venture portfolio. The asset and liability allocations were recorded based on preliminary portfolio fair value estimates at the date of distribution and were finalized during the third quarter of 2012 resulting in an allocation to investment property of \$585.0 million, lease related intangibles of \$59.1 million and mortgage debt of \$468.8 million, including debt premiums. We amortize these amounts over the estimated life of the related depreciable components of investment property, typically no greater than 40 years, the terms of the applicable leases and the applicable debt maturity, respectively. The adjusted allocations did not have a material impact on the results of operations for the year ended, or on our financial position at December 31, 2012.

On August 25, 2011, we acquired additional controlling interests of approximately 83.75% in The Plaza at King of Prussia and The Court at King of Prussia, or collectively, King of Prussia, thereby increasing our ownership interest to 96.1%. At the time of acquisition, the property was subject to a \$160.1 million mortgage. The consolidation of this previously unconsolidated property resulted in a remeasurement of our previously held interest to fair value and a corresponding non-cash gain of \$82.9 million in the third quarter of 2011. As a result of the 2014 acquisition of our partner's interest, we now own 100% of this property.

On July 19, 2011, we acquired a 100% ownership interest in a lifestyle center located in Albuquerque, New Mexico. Also, during the second quarter of 2011, we purchased an additional noncontrolling interest in an unconsolidated mall.

During the third quarter of 2011 we contributed a wholly-owned property to a joint venture which holds our interests in nine unconsolidated properties. The transaction effectively exchanged a portion of our interest in this previously wholly-owned property for increased ownership interests in the nine unconsolidated properties. This transaction had no material impact on the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

2013 Dispositions

During 2013, we increased our economic interest in three unconsolidated community centers and subsequently disposed of our interests in those properties. Additionally, we disposed of our interests in eight consolidated retail properties and three unconsolidated retail properties. The aggregate gain recognized on these transactions was approximately \$80.2 million.

On August 8, 2013, we disposed of our interest in an office property located in the Boston, Massachusetts area. The gain on the sale was \$7.9 million and is included in other income in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

2012 Dispositions

During 2012, we disposed of our interests in nine consolidated retail properties and four unconsolidated retail properties. The aggregate net gain on these disposals was \$15.5 million.

On May 3, 2012, we sold our interests in two residential apartment buildings located at The Domain in Austin, Texas. The gain from the sale was \$12.4 million, which is included in other income in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

On January 9, 2012, as discussed in Note 7, we sold our entire ownership interest in GCI.

2011 Dispositions

During 2011, we agreed to dispose of consolidated properties that had an aggregate carrying value of \$355.4 million and debt obligations of \$177.0 million for aggregate sales proceeds of \$136.0 million resulting in a net loss of \$42.4 million.

5. Per Unit Data

We determine basic earnings per unit based on the weighted average number of units outstanding during the period and we consider any participating securities for purposes of applying the two-class method. We determine diluted earnings per unit based on the weighted average number of units outstanding combined with the incremental weighted average units that would have been outstanding assuming all potentially dilutive securities were converted into units at the earliest date possible. The following table sets forth the computation of our basic and diluted earnings per unit.

	For the Year Ended December 31,						
	2013	2012	2011				
Net Income Attributable to Unitholders — Basic &							
Diluted	\$ 1,537,348	\$ 1,705,860	\$ 1,232,089				
Weighted Average Units Outstanding — Basic	362,355,581	361,322,520	354,025,957				
Effect of stock options of Simon Property	50	1,072	69,408				
Weighted Average Units Outstanding — Diluted	362,355,631	361,323,592	354,095,365				

For the year ended December 31, 2013, potentially dilutive securities include options to purchase shares of Simon Property common stock and long-term incentive performance units, or LTIP units. The only security that had a dilutive effect for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 were stock options of Simon Property.

We accrue distributions when they are declared. The taxable nature of the distributions declared for each of the years ended as indicated is summarized as follows:

		r the Year End December 31,	led			
	2013	2013 2012				
Total distributions paid per unit	\$4.65	\$4.10	\$3.50			
Percent taxable as ordinary income	97.50%	99.50%	98.30%			
Percent taxable as long-term capital gains	2.50%	0.50%	1.70%			
Percent nontaxable as return of capital	—		—			
	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %			

In January 2014, Simon Property's Board of Directors declared a quarterly common stock dividend for the first quarter of 2014 of \$1.25 per share. The distribution rate on our units is equal to the dividend rate on Simon Property's common stock.

6. Investment Properties

Investment properties consist of the following as of December 31:

	 2013	 2012
Land	\$ 3,747,079	\$ 3,736,882
Buildings and improvements	31,026,081	30,187,495
Total land, buildings and improvements	34,773,160	33,924,377
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	353,184	328,144
Investment properties at cost	35,126,344	34,252,521
Less — accumulated depreciation	10,067,743	9,068,388
Investment properties at cost, net	\$ 25,058,601	\$ 25,184,133
Construction in progress included above	\$ 364,542	\$ 329,663

7. Investments in Unconsolidated Entities

Joint ventures are common in the real estate industry. We use joint ventures to finance properties, develop new properties, and diversify our risk in a particular property or portfolio of properties. We held joint venture ownership interests in 73 properties in the United States as of December 31, 2013 and 78 properties as of December 31, 2012. We held interests in nine joint venture properties in Japan as of December 31, 2013 and eight as of December 31, 2012. We held interests in south Korea as of December 31, 2013 and two as of December 31, 2012. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, we also held interests in one joint venture property in Mexico and one joint venture property in Malaysia. On August 1, 2013, our first joint venture property in Canada opened. Also in 2013, as discussed below, we acquired noncontrolling interests in five operating properties in Europe through our joint venture with McArthurGlen. We account for these joint venture properties using the equity method of accounting. As discussed below, on January 9, 2012, we sold our interest in GCI which at the time owned 45 properties in Italy. Additionally, on March 14, 2012, we purchased a 28.7% equity stake in Klépierre. On May 21, 2012, Klépierre paid a dividend, which we elected to receive in additional shares, resulting in an increase in our ownership to approximately 28.9%.

Certain of our joint venture properties are subject to various rights of first refusal, buy-sell provisions, put and call rights, or other sale or marketing rights for partners which are customary in real estate joint venture agreements and the industry. We and our partners in these joint ventures may initiate these provisions (subject to any applicable lock up or similar restrictions), which may result in either the sale of our interest or the use of available cash or borrowings or units to acquire the joint venture interest from our partner.

We may provide financing to joint ventures primarily in the form of interest bearing construction loans. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, we had construction loans and other advances to related parties totaling \$140.3 million and \$25.4 million, respectively, which are included in deferred costs and other assets.

On December 31, 2012, we formed a joint venture with Institutional Mall Investors, or IMI, to own and operate The Shops at Mission Viejo in the Los Angeles suburb of Mission Viejo, California, and Woodfield Mall in the Chicago suburb of Schaumburg, Illinois. We and IMI each own a noncontrolling 50% interest in Woodfield Mall and we own a noncontrolling 51% interest in The Shops at Mission Viejo and IMI owns the remaining 49%. Prior to the formation of the joint venture, we owned 100% of The Shops at Mission Viejo and IMI owned 100% of Woodfield Mall. No gain was recorded as the transaction was recorded based on the carryover basis of our previous investment. Woodfield Mall is encumbered by a \$425.0 million mortgage loan which matures in March of 2024 and bears interest at 4.5%. In January 2013, the joint venture closed a \$295.0 million mortgage on the Shops at Mission Viejo which bears interest at 3.61% and matures in February of 2023. The proceeds from the financing were distributed to the venture partners and, as a result, we received a distribution of \$149.7 million.

On March 22, 2012, we acquired, through an acquisition of substantially all of the assets of The Mills Limited Partnership, or TMLP, additional interests in 26 properties. The transaction resulted in additional interests in 16 of the properties which remain unconsolidated, the consolidation of nine previously unconsolidated properties and the purchase of the remaining noncontrolling interest in a previously consolidated property. The transaction was valued at \$1.5 billion, which included repayment of the remaining \$562.1 million balance on TMLP's senior loan facility, and retirement of \$100.0 million of TMLP's trust preferred securities. In connection with the transaction, our \$558.4 million loan to SPG-FCM was extinguished on a non-cash basis. We consolidated \$2.6 billion in additional property-level mortgage debt in connection with this transaction. This property-level mortgage debt was previously presented as debt of our unconsolidated entities. We and our joint venture partner had equal ownership in these properties prior to the transaction.

The consolidation of the previously unconsolidated properties resulted in a remeasurement of our previously held interest in each of these nine newly consolidated properties to fair value and recognition of a corresponding non-cash gain of \$488.7 million. In addition, we recorded an other-than-temporary impairment charge of \$22.4 million for the excess of carrying value of our remaining investment in SPG-FCM over its estimated fair value. The gain on the transaction and impairment charge are included in gain upon acquisition of controlling interests, sale or disposal of assets and interests in unconsolidated entities, net in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. The assets and liabilities of the newly consolidated properties acquired in the Mills transaction have been reflected at their estimated fair value at the acquisition date.

We recorded our acquisition of the interest in these nine newly consolidated properties using the acquisition method of accounting. Tangible and intangible assets and liabilities were established based on their fair values at the date of acquisition. The results of operations of the newly consolidated properties have been included in our consolidated results from the date of acquisition. The purchase price allocations were finalized during the first quarter of 2013. No significant adjustments were made to the previously reported purchase price allocations.

On January 6, 2012, we paid \$50.0 million to acquire an additional 25% interest in Del Amo Fashion Center, increasing our interest to 50%.

On December 31, 2011, as further discussed in Note 4, we and our joint venture partner dissolved a venture in which we had a 50% interest and distributed a portfolio of properties previously held within the venture to us and our joint venture partner. The results of operations of these properties are now presented as loss from operations of discontinued joint venture interests and the non-cash gain of \$168.3 million recorded upon distribution to the partners is presented within (loss) gain on sale or disposal of discontinued operations, net in the "Summary Financial Information" below.

International Investments

We conduct our international operations through joint venture arrangements and account for all of our international joint venture investments using the equity method of accounting

European Investments. At December 31, 2013, we owned 57,634,148 shares, or approximately 28.9%, of Klépierre, which had a quoted market price of \$46.53 per share. At the date of purchase on March 14, 2012, our excess investment in Klépierre was approximately \$1.2 billion which we have allocated to the underlying investment property, other assets and liabilities based on estimated fair value. Our share of net income, net of amortization of our excess investment, was \$20.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. Our share of net income, net of the amortization of our excess investment, was \$0.5 million from the acquisition date through December 31, 2012. Based on applicable Euro:USD exchange rates and after our conversion of Klépierre's results to GAAP, Klépierre's total assets, total liabilities, and noncontrolling interests were \$17.1 billion, \$12.3 billion, and \$1.7 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2013 and \$17.2 billion, \$12.4 billion, and \$1.9 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2012. Klépierre's total revenues, operating income and consolidated net income were approximately \$1.5 billion, \$989.6 million and \$317.3 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2012.

During the second quarter of 2013, we signed a definitive agreement with McArthurGlen, an owner, developer, and manager of designer outlets, to form one or more joint ventures to invest in certain of its existing designer outlets, development projects, and its property management and development companies. In conjunction with that agreement, we purchased a noncontrolling interest in the property management and development companies of McArthurGlen, and a noncontrolling interest in a development property located in Vancouver, British Columbia. On August 2, 2013 we acquired a noncontrolling interest in Ashford Designer Outlets in Kent, UK. On October 16, 2013 we completed the remaining transactions contemplated by our previously announced definitive agreement with McArthurGlen by acquiring noncontrolling interests in portions of four existing McArthurGlen Designer Outlets — Parndorf (Vienna, Austria), La Reggia (Naples, Italy), Noventa di Piave (Venice, Italy), and Roermond (Roermond, Netherlands). Our legal ownership interests in these entities range from 22.5% to 90%. The aggregate consideration for the above transactions, which is subject to further adjustment based upon contractual obligations and customary purchase price adjustments, was approximately \$496.7 million. The carrying amount of our investment in these joint ventures, including all related components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) as well as subsequent capital contributions for development, was \$510.7 million as of December 31, 2013. Substantially all of our investment has been deemed excess investment and has been preliminarily allocated to the underlying investment property based on estimated fair values. The preliminary allocations are subject to revision within the measurement period, not to exceed one year from the date of the acquisitions.

We also have a minority interest in Value Retail PLC, which owns and operates nine luxury outlets throughout Europe and a direct minority ownership in three of those outlets. These investments are accounted for under the cost method. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the carrying value of these investments was \$115.4 million and \$95.5 million, respectively, and is included in deferred costs and other assets.

On January 9, 2012, we sold our entire ownership interest in GCI to our venture partner, Auchan S.A. The aggregate cash we received was \$375.8 million and we recognized a gain on the sale of \$28.8 million. Our investment carrying value included \$39.5 million of accumulated losses related to currency translation and net investment hedge accumulated balances which had been recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Asian Joint Ventures. We conduct our international Premium Outlet operations in Japan through a joint venture with Mitsubishi Estate Co., Ltd. We have a 40% ownership interest in this joint venture. The carrying amount of our investment in this joint venture was \$261.1 million and \$314.2 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively; including all related components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). We conduct our international Premium Outlet operations in South Korea through a joint venture with Shinsegae International Co. We have a 50% ownership interest in this joint venture. The carrying amount of our investment in this joint venture was \$76.4 million and \$62.9 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively; including all related components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Summary Financial Information

A summary of our equity method investments and share of income from such investments, excluding Klépierre, follows. The accompanying joint venture statements of operations include amounts related to our investment GCI which was sold on January 9, 2012. In addition, we acquired additional controlling interests in King of Prussia on August 25, 2011, and nine properties in the Mills transaction on March 22, 2012. These previously unconsolidated properties became consolidated properties as of their respective acquisition dates. Additionally, on December 31, 2011, we and our joint venture partner dissolved a venture in which we had a 50% interest and distributed a portfolio of properties previously held within the venture to us and our joint venture partner. During 2012, we disposed of our interests in one mall and three non-core retail properties. Finally, during 2013, we disposed of three non-core retail properties. These transactions are reported within discontinued operations in the accompanying joint venture statements of operations.

BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012		
Assets:					
Investment properties, at cost	\$	15,824,689	\$	14,607,291	
Less - accumulated depreciation		5,294,578		4,926,511	
		10,530,111		9,680,780	
Cash and cash equivalents		792,751		619,546	
Tenant receivables and accrued revenue, net		310,320		252,774	
Investment in unconsolidated entities, at equity		38,352		39,589	
Deferred costs and other assets		586,622		438,399	
Total assets	\$	12,258,156	\$	11,031,088	
Liabilities and Partners' Deficit:	_				
Mortgages	\$	13,024,257	\$	11,584,863	
Accounts payable, accrued expenses, intangibles, and deferred revenues		849,107		672,483	
Other liabilities		514,822		447,132	
Total liabilities	_	14,388,186		12,704,478	
Preferred units		67,450		67,450	
Partners' deficit		(2,197,480)		(1,740,840)	
Total liabilities and partners' deficit	\$	12,258,156	\$	11,031,088	
Our Share of:	_				
Partners' deficit	\$	(717,776)	\$	(799,911)	
Add: Excess Investment		2,059,584		2,184,133	
Our net Investment in unconsolidated entities, at equity	\$	1,341,808	\$	1,384,222	

"Excess Investment" represents the unamortized difference of our investment over our share of the equity in the underlying net assets of the joint ventures or other investments acquired and is allocated on a fair value basis primarily to investment property, lease related intangibles, and debt premiums and discounts. We amortize excess investment over the life of the related depreciable components of investment property, typically no greater than 40 years, the terms of the applicable leases and the applicable debt maturity, respectively. The amortization is included in the reported amount of income from unconsolidated entities.

As of December 31, 2013, scheduled principal repayments on joint venture properties' mortgage indebtedness are as follows:

2014	\$ 1,103,850
2015	2,090,963
2016	1,304,721
2017	866,378
2018	736,078
Thereafter	6,917,266
Total principal maturities	13,019,256
Net unamortized debt premiums and discounts	5,001
Total mortgages	\$ 13,024,257

This debt becomes due in installments over various terms extending through 2027 with interest rates ranging from 0.46% to 9.35% and a weighted average rate of 4.60% at December 31, 2013.

In November 2013, Aventura Mall in which we own a 33% interest refinanced its \$430.0 million mortgage maturing December 11, 2017 with a \$1.2 billion mortgage that matures December 1, 2020. The fixed interest rate was reduced from 5.91% to 3.75% as a result of this transaction and an extinguishment charge of \$82.8 million was incurred which is included in interest expense in the accompanying joint venture statements of operations. Excess proceeds from the financing were distributed to the venture partners.

STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	For the Year Ended December 31,		
	2013	2012	2011
Revenue:			
Minimum rent	\$ 1,666,886	\$ 1,487,554	\$ 1,424,038
Overage rent	180,772	176,609	140,822
Tenant reimbursements	765,357	691,564	660,354
Other income	200,104	171,698	150,949
Total revenue	2,813,119	2,527,425	2,376,163
Operating Expenses:			
Property operating	498,485	477,338	460,235
Depreciation and amortization	528,317	508,083	487,057
Real estate taxes	212,667	178,739	167,608
Repairs and maintenance	69,116	65,163	64,271
Advertising and promotion	62,339	55,175	50,653
Provision for credit losses	1,287	1,824	4,496
Other	156,115	170,510	148,110
Total operating expenses	1,528,326	1,456,832	1,382,430
Operating Income	1,284,793	1,070,593	993,733
Interest expense	(694,904)	(599,400)	(593,408)
Income from Continuing Operations	589,889	471,193	400,325
Gain (loss) from operations of discontinued joint venture interests	46	(20,311)	(57,961)
Gain (loss) on disposal of discontinued operations, net	51,164	(5,354)	347,640
Net Income	\$ 641,099	\$ 445,528	\$ 690,004
Third-Party Investors' Share of Net Income	\$ 353,708	\$ 239,931	\$ 384,384
Our Share of Net Income	287,391	205,597	305,620
Amortization of Excess Investment	(102,875)	(83,400)	(50,562)
Our Share of Loss (Gain) on Sale or Disposal of Assets and Interests in			
Unconsolidated Entities, net	_	9,245	(173,820)
Income from Unconsolidated Entities	\$ 184,516	\$ 131,442	\$ 81,238

Our share of income from unconsolidated entities in the above table, aggregated with our share of results of Klépierre, is presented in income from unconsolidated entities in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. Our share of the loss (gain) on sale or disposal of assets and interests in unconsolidated entities, net is reflected within gain upon acquisition of controlling interests, sale or disposal of assets and interests in unconsolidated entities, and impairment charge on investment in unconsolidated entities, net in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

2013 Dispositions

In 2013, we disposed of our interest in three non-core retail properties. We recognized no gain or loss on the disposal of these properties.

2012 Dispositions

In July 2012, we disposed of our interest in a mall, and in August 2012 we disposed of our interest in three non-core retail properties. Our share of the net loss on disposition was \$9.2 million.

2011 Dispositions

In April 2011, we disposed of our interest in a mall, resulting in a gain of \$7.8 million.

8. Indebtedness and Derivative Financial Instruments

Our mortgages and unsecured indebtedness, excluding the impact of derivative instruments, consist of the following as of December 31:

	2013	2012
Fixed-Rate Debt:		
Mortgage notes, including \$63,968 and \$101,104 net premiums, respectively. Weighted		
average interest and maturity of 5.62% and 4.2 years at December 31, 2013.	\$ 7,894,527	\$ 7,677,204
Unsecured notes, including \$38,519 and \$38,847 net discounts, respectively. Weighted		
average interest and maturity of 4.87% and 6.4 years at December 31, 2013.	13,931,705	13,400,154
Total Fixed-Rate Debt	21,826,232	21,077,358
Variable-Rate Debt:		
Mortgages notes, at face value. Weighted average interest and maturity of 1.52% and 3.7 years		
at December 31, 2013.	350,000	442,152
Unsecured Term Loan (see below)	240,000	
Credit Facility (see below)	1,172,299	1,593,497
Total Variable-Rate Debt	1,762,299	2,035,649
Total Mortgages and Unsecured Indebtedness	\$ 23,588,531	\$ 23,113,007

General. Our unsecured debt agreements contain financial covenants and other non-financial covenants. If we were to fail to comply with these covenants, after the expiration of the applicable cure periods, the debt maturity could be accelerated or other remedies could be sought by the lender including adjustments to the applicable interest rate. As of December 31, 2013, we are in compliance with all covenants of our unsecured debt.

At December 31, 2013, we or our subsidiaries were the borrowers under 80 non-recourse mortgage notes secured by mortgages on 80 properties, including seven separate pools of cross-defaulted and cross-collateralized mortgages encumbering a total of 27 properties. Under these cross-default provisions, a default under any mortgage included in the cross-defaulted pool may constitute a default under all mortgages within that pool and may lead to acceleration of the indebtedness due on each property within the pool. Certain of our secured debt instruments contain financial and other non-financial covenants which are specific to the properties which serve as collateral for that debt. If the borrower fails to comply with these covenants, the lender could accelerate the debt and enforce its right against their collateral. At December 31, 2013, the applicable borrowers under these non-recourse mortgage notes were in compliance with all covenants where non-compliance could individually, or giving effect to applicable cross-default provisions in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Unsecured Debt

At December 31, 2013, our unsecured debt consisted of \$13.9 billion of senior unsecured notes, net of discounts, \$960.1 million outstanding under our \$4.0 billion unsecured revolving credit facility, or Credit Facility, and \$212.2 million outstanding under our \$2.0 billion supplemental unsecured revolving credit facility, or Supplemental Facility, and \$240.0 million outstanding under an unsecured term loan. The December 31, 2013 balance on the Credit Facility included \$660.1 million (U.S. dollar equivalent) of Euro-denominated borrowings and the entire balance on the Supplemental Facility on such date consisted of Yendenominated borrowings, both of which are designated as net investment hedges of a portion of our international investments.

On December 31, 2013, we had an aggregate available borrowing capacity of \$4.8 billion under the two credit facilities. The maximum outstanding balance of the credit facilities during the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$1.6 billion and the weighted average outstanding balance was \$1.3 billion. Letters of credit of \$41.9 million were outstanding under the two facilities as of December 31, 2013.

The Credit Facility's initial borrowing capacity of \$4.0 billion can be increased at our sole option to \$5.0 billion during its term. The Credit Facility will initially mature on October 30, 2015 and can be extended for an additional year at our sole option. As of December 31, 2013, the base interest rate on the Credit Facility was LIBOR plus 95 basis points (reflects a five basis point reduction effective May 16, 2013) with an additional facility fee of 15 basis points. In addition, the Credit Facility provides for a money market competitive bid option program that allows us to hold auctions to achieve lower pricing for short-term borrowings. The Credit Facility also includes a \$2.0 billion multi-currency tranche.

The Supplemental Facility's borrowing capacity of \$2.0 billion can be increased at our sole option to \$2.5 billion during its term. The Supplemental Facility will initially mature on June 30, 2016 and can be extended for an additional year at our sole option. As of December 31, 2013, the base interest rate on the Supplemental Facility was LIBOR plus 95 basis points (reflects a five basis point reduction effective May 16, 2013) with an additional facility fee of 15 basis points. Like the Credit Facility, the Supplemental Facility provides for a money market competitive bid option program and allows for multi-currency borrowings.

During 2013, we redeemed at par or repaid at maturity \$504.5 million of senior unsecured notes with fixed rates ranging from 5.30% to 7.18% with cash on hand. In addition, we repaid a \$240.0 million mortgage loan with the proceeds from a \$240.0 million unsecured term loan. The term loan has a capacity of up to \$300.0 million, bears interest at LIBOR plus 110 basis points and matures on February 28, 2016 with two available one-year extension options.

On October 2, 2013, we issued \notin 750.0 million (\$1.0 billion USD equivalent) of senior unsecured notes at a fixed interest rate of 2.375% with a maturity date of October 2, 2020. Proceeds from the unsecured notes offering were used to pay down a portion of Euro-denominated borrowings on the Credit Facility and fund the acquisition of various assets in the McArthurGlen transactions further discussed in Note 7. These notes are designated as a net investment hedge of our Euro-denominated international investments.

On December 30, 2013, we borrowed \$300.0 million on our Credit Facility to partially fund the Sawgrass Mills mortgage repayment on January 2, 2014. These Credit Facility borrowings were repaid in full on January 22, 2014 using unsecured notes proceeds as discussed below.

On January 21, 2014, we issued \$600.0 million of senior unsecured notes at a fixed interest rate of 2.20% with a maturity date of February 1, 2019 and \$600.0 million of senior unsecured notes at a fixed interest rate of 3.75% with a maturity date of February 1, 2024. Proceeds from the unsecured notes offering were used to repay debt and for general corporate purposes.

Mortgage Debt

Total mortgage indebtedness was \$8.2 billion and \$8.0 billion at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. During 2013, we added \$370.0 million in new mortgage loans on previously unencumbered properties with a weighted average interest rate of 4.04%.

On January 2, 2014, we repaid the \$820.0 million outstanding mortgage at Sawgrass Mills originally maturing July 1, 2014 with cash on hand and a borrowing on our Credit Facility as discussed above.

Debt Maturity and Other

Our scheduled principal repayments on indebtedness as of December 31, 2013 are as follows:

2014	\$ 2,072,529
2015	1,972,833
2016	5,005,080
2017	3,594,748
2018	2,031,818
Thereafter	8,886,074
Total principal maturities	23,563,082
Net unamortized debt premium	25,449
Total mortgages and unsecured indebtedness	\$ 23,588,531

Our cash paid for interest in each period, net of any amounts capitalized, was as follows:

	 For the Year Ended December 31,				
	2013		2012		2011
Cash paid for interest	\$ 1,142,201	\$	1,122,223	\$	979,436

Derivative Financial Instruments

Our exposure to market risk due to changes in interest rates primarily relates to our long-term debt obligations. We manage exposure to interest rate market risk through our risk management strategy by a combination of interest rate protection agreements to effectively fix or cap a portion of variable rate debt. We are also exposed to foreign currency risk on financings of certain foreign operations. Our intent is to offset gains and losses that occur on the underlying exposures, with gains and losses on the derivative contracts hedging these exposures. We do not enter into either interest rate protection or foreign currency rate protection agreements for speculative purposes.

We may enter into treasury lock agreements as part of an anticipated debt issuance. Upon completion of the debt issuance, the fair value of these instruments is recorded as part of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and is amortized to interest expense over the life of the debt agreement.

The unamortized loss on our treasury locks and terminated hedges recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) was \$67.5 million and \$78.0 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. As of December 31, 2013, our outstanding LIBOR based derivative contracts consisted of:

- interest rate cap protection agreements with a notional amount of \$248.3 million which mature in June 2014, and
- fixed rate swap agreements with a notional amount of \$491.6 million which have a weighted average fixed pay rate of 3.13% and a weighted average variable receive rate of 2.0%.

Within the next year, we expect to reclassify to earnings approximately \$10.4 million of losses related to active and terminated interest rate swaps from the current balance held in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Fair Value of Debt

The carrying value of our variable-rate mortgages and other loans approximates their fair values. We estimate the fair values of consolidated fixed-rate mortgages using cash flows discounted at current borrowing rates and other indebtedness using cash flows discounted at current market rates. We estimate the fair values of consolidated fixed-rate unsecured notes using quoted market prices, or, if no quoted market prices are available, we use quoted market prices for securities with similar terms and maturities. The book value of our consolidated fixed-rate mortgages and unsecured indebtedness was \$21.8 billion and \$21.0 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The fair values of these financial instruments and the related discount rate assumptions as of December 31 are summarized as follows:

	2013	2012
Fair value of fixed-rate mortgages and unsecured indebtedness	\$23,297	\$23,373
Weighted average discount rates assumed in calculation of fair value for fixed-rate		
mortgages	3.07%	3.24%

9. Rentals under Operating Leases

Future minimum rentals to be received under non-cancelable tenant operating leases for each of the next five years and thereafter, excluding tenant reimbursements of operating expenses and percentage rent based on tenant sales volume as of December 31, 2013 are as follows:

2014	\$ 2,774,293
2015	2,507,650
2016	2,228,505
2017	1,943,459
2018	1,618,167
Thereafter	4,614,730
	\$ 15,686,804

10. Equity

Temporary Equity

We classify as temporary equity those securities for which there is the possibility that we could be required to redeem the security for cash, irrespective of the probability of such a possibility. As a result, we classify one series of preferred units and noncontrolling redeemable interests in properties in temporary equity. The carrying values for those securities classified in temporary equity are discussed below and summarized as follows as of December 31:

	2013	2012
7.50% Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units, 260,000 units authorized, 255,373		
issued and outstanding	\$ 25,537	\$ 25,537
Other noncontrolling redeemable interests in properties	164,948	152,469
Total preferred units, at liquidation value, and noncontrolling redeemable interests in		
properties	\$ 190,485	\$ 178,006

Noncontrolling Redeemable Interests in Properties Redeemable instruments, which typically represent the remaining interest in a property or portfolio of properties, and which are redeemable at the option of the holder or in

circumstances that may be outside our control, are accounted for as temporary equity within preferred units, at liquidation value, and noncontrolling redeemable interests in properties in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The carrying amount of the noncontrolling interest is adjusted to the redemption amount assuming the instrument is redeemable at the balance sheet date. Changes in the redemption value of the underlying noncontrolling interest are recorded within accumulated deficit. There are no noncontrolling interests redeemable at amounts in excess of fair value.

On January 10, 2014, we acquired one of our partner's remaining redeemable interests in a portfolio of ten properties for approximately \$113.3 million subject to a pre-existing contractual arrangement. The amount paid to acquire the interests in the seven properties which were previously consolidated is included in preferred units, at liquidation value, and noncontrolling redeemable interests in properties in the accompanying December 31, 2013 consolidated balance sheet.

7.5% Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units The 7.5% preferred units accrue cumulative quarterly distributions at a rate of \$7.50 annually. We may redeem the preferred units upon the death of the survivor of the original holders, or the transfer of any units to any person or entity other than the persons or entities entitled to the benefits of the original holder. The redemption price is the liquidation value (\$100.00 per preferred unit) plus accrued and unpaid distributions, payable either in cash or fully registered shares of common stock of Simon Property. In the event of the death of a holder of the 7.5% preferred units at the same redemption price payable at our option in either cash or fully registered shares of common stock of Simon Property.

Permanent Equity

Series J 8³/8% Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Units. Distributions accrue quarterly at an annual rate of 8³/8% per unit on the Series J 8³/8% preferred units, or Series J preferred units. Simon Property owns all of the Series J preferred units which have the same economic rights and preferences of an outstanding series of Simon Property preferred stock. We can redeem this series, in whole or in part, when Simon Property can redeem the related preferred stock, on and after October 15, 2027 at a redemption price of \$50.00 per unit, plus accumulated and unpaid distributions. The Series J preferred units were issued at a premium of \$7.5 million. The unamortized premium included in the carrying value of the preferred units at December 31, 2013 and 2012 was \$4.5 million and \$4.9 million, respectively. There are 1,000,000 Series J preferred units authorized and 796,948 issued and outstanding.

Unit Issuances and Repurchases

In 2013, 11 limited partners exchanged 596,051 units for an equal number of shares of common stock of Simon Property pursuant to our partnership agreement. These transactions increased Simon Property's ownership interest in us.

We issued 1,567 units to Simon Property related to employee stock options exercised during 2013. We used the net proceeds from the option exercises for general working capital purposes.

Other Equity Activity

Notes Receivable from Former CPI Stockholders. Notes receivable of \$15.3 million from stockholders of an entity we acquired in 1998 are reflected as a deduction from capital in excess of par value in the consolidated statements of equity in the accompanying financial statements. The notes do not bear interest and become due at the time the underlying shares are sold.

The Simon Property Group 1998 Stock Incentive Plan. We, along with Simon Property, have a stock incentive plan, or the 1998 plan, which provided for the grant of awards with respect to the equity of Simon Property, in the form of options to purchase shares of Simon Property common stock, or Options, stock appreciation rights, or SARs, restricted stock grants and performance unit awards, collectively, Awards. Options may be granted which are qualified as "incentive stock options" within the meaning of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code and options which are not so qualified. An aggregate of 17,300,000 shares of Simon Property common stock have been reserved for issuance under the 1998 plan.

Additionally, the partnership agreement requires Simon Property to purchase units for cash in an amount equal to the fair market value of such shares issued on the exercise of stock options.

Administration. The 1998 plan is administered by Simon Property's Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors, or the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee determines which eligible individuals may participate and the type, extent and terms of the awards to be granted to them. In addition, the Compensation Committee interprets the 1998 plan and makes all other determinations deemed advisable for its administration. Options granted to employees become exercisable over the period determined by the Compensation Committee. The exercise price of an employee option may not be less than the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant. Employee options generally vest over a three-year period and expire ten years from the date of grant.

Awards for Eligible Directors. Directors of Simon Property who are not employees or employees of affiliates of Simon Property, or eligible directors, receive awards under the 1998 plan. Currently, each eligible director receives on the first day of the first calendar month following his or her initial election an award of restricted stock with a value of \$82,500 (pro-rated for partial years of service). Thereafter, as of the date of each annual meeting of stockholders, eligible directors who are re-elected receive an award of restricted stock having a value of \$82,500. In addition, eligible directors who serve as chairpersons of the standing committees receive an additional annual award of restricted stock having a value of \$10,000 (in the case of the Audit and Compensation Committees) or \$7,500 (in the case of the Governance and Nominating Committees). The Lead Independent Director also receives an annual restricted stock award having a value of \$12,500. The restricted stock vests in full after one year.

Once vested, the delivery of the shares of restricted stock (including reinvested dividends) is deferred under our Director Deferred Compensation Plan until the director retires, dies or becomes disabled or otherwise no longer serves as a director. The directors may vote and are entitled to receive dividends on the underlying shares; however, any dividends on the shares of restricted stock must be reinvested in shares of Simon Property common stock and held in the deferred compensation plan until the shares of restricted stock are delivered to the former director.

Stock Based Compensation

Awards under our stock based compensation plans primarily take the form of LTIP units and restricted stock grants of Simon Property. Restricted stock and awards under the LTIP programs are all performance based and are based on various corporate and business unit performance measures as further described below. The expense related to these programs, net of amounts capitalized, is included within home and regional office costs and general and administrative costs in the accompanying statements of operations and comprehensive income.

LTIP Programs. Every year since 2010, the Compensation Committee has approved long-term, performance based incentive compensation programs, or the LTIP programs, for certain senior executive officers. Awards under the LTIP programs take the form of LTIP units, a form of limited partnership interest issued by us, and will be considered earned if, and only to the extent to which, applicable total shareholder return, or TSR, performance measures are achieved during the performance period. Once earned, LTIP units are subject to a two year vesting period. One-half of the earned LTIP units will vest on January 1 of each of the 2nd and 3rd years following the end of the applicable performance period, subject to the participant maintaining employment with us through those dates and certain other conditions as described in those agreements. Awarded LTIP units not earned are forfeited. Earned and fully vested LTIP units are the equivalent of units. During the performance period, participants are entitled to receive distributions on the LTIP units awarded to them equal to 10% of the regular quarterly distributions paid on a unit. As a result, we account for these LTIP units as participating securities under the two-class method of computing earnings per unit.

From 2010 to 2013, the Compensation Committee approved LTIP grants as shown in the table below. Grant date fair values of the LTIP units are estimated using a Monte Carlo model, and the resulting expense is recorded regardless of whether the TSR performance measures are achieved if the required service is delivered. The grant date fair values are being amortized into expense over the period from the grant date to the date at which the awards, if any, would become

vested. The extent to which LTIP units that were earned and the aggregate grant date fair values adjusted for estimated forfeitures, are as set forth as follows:

LTIP Units Earned	Grant Date Fair Value
133,673	1-year program — \$7.2 million
337,006	2-year program — \$14.8 million
489,654	3-year program — \$23.0 million
469,848	\$35.0 million
To be determined in 2015	\$35.0 million
To be determined in 2016	\$33.5 million
	133,673 337,006 489,654 469,848 To be determined in 2015

We recorded compensation expense, net of capitalization, related to these LTIP programs of approximately \$25.7 million, \$22.0 million, and \$16.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Restricted Stock. The 1998 plan also provides for shares of restricted common stock of Simon Property to be granted to certain employees at no cost to those employees, subject to achievement of individual performance and certain financial and return-based performance measures established by the Compensation Committee related to the most recent year's performance. Once granted, the shares of restricted stock then vest annually over a three -year period beginning on January 1 of each year. The cost of restricted stock grants, which is based upon the stock's fair market value on the grant date, is recognized as expense ratably over the vesting period. Through December 31, 2013 a total of 5,447,436 shares of restricted stock, net of forfeitures, have been awarded under the plan. Information regarding restricted stock awards is summarized in the following table for each of the years presented:

	 F		he Year Ende cember 31,	ed	
	 2013 2012				2011
Shares of restricted stock awarded during the year, net of forfeitures	 107,123		114,066		116,885
Weighted average fair value of shares granted during the year	\$ 160.20	\$	146.70	\$	110.12
Amortization expense	\$ 18,311	\$	14,001	\$	14,018

We recorded compensation expense, net of capitalization, related to restricted stock of approximately \$12.7 million, \$9.8 million, and \$9.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Other Compensation Arrangements. On July 6, 2011, in connection with the execution of an employment agreement, the Compensation Committee granted David Simon, our Chairman and CEO, a retention award in the form of 1,000,000 LTIP units (the "Award") for his continued service as our Chairman and Chief Executive Officer through July 5, 2019. Effective December 31, 2013, the Award was modified ("Current Award") and as a result the LTIP units will now become earned and eligible to vest based on the attainment of Company-based performance goals, in addition to the service-based vesting requirement included in the original Award. If the relevant performance criteria are not achieved, all or a portion of the Current Award will be forfeited. The Current Award does not contain an opportunity for Mr. Simon to receive additional LTIP Units above and beyond the original Award should our performance exceed the higher end of the performance criteria. The performance criteria of the Current Award are based on the attainment of specific funds from operations ("FFO") per share. If the performance criteria have been met, a maximum of 360,000 LTIP units ("A Units"), 360,000 LTIP units ("B Units") and 280,000 LTIP units ("C Units") may become earned December 31, 2015, 2016 and 2017, respectively. The earned A Units will vest on January 1, 2018, earned B Units will vest on January 1, 2019 and earned C Units will vest on June 30, 2019, subject to continued employment through such applicable date. The grant date fair value of the retention award of \$120.3 million is being recognized as expense over the eight-year term of his employment agreement on a straight-line basis based through the applicable vesting periods of the A Units, B Units and C Units.

Since 2001, we have not granted any options to officers, directors or employees, except for a series of reload options we assumed as part of a prior business combination. During the year ended December 31, 2013, 1,567 options with a weighted average exercise price per share of \$50.17 were exercised. As of December 31, 2013, there were no remaining options outstanding.

We also maintain a tax-qualified retirement 401(k) savings plan and offer no other post-retirement or post-employment benefits to our employees.

Exchange Rights

Limited partners have the right under our partnership agreement to exchange all or any portion of their units for shares of Simon Property common stock on a one-for-one basis or cash, as determined by Simon Property in its sole discretion. If Simon Property selects cash, Simon Property cannot cause us to redeem the exchanged units for cash without contributing cash to us as partners' equity sufficient to effect the redemption. If sufficient cash is not contributed, Simon Property will be deemed to have elected to exchange the units for shares of Simon Property common stock. The amount of cash to be paid if the exchange right is exercised and the cash option is selected will be based on the trading price of Simon Property's common stock at that time. The number of shares of Simon Property's common stock issued pursuant to the exercise of the exchange right will be the same as the number of units exchanged.

11. Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation

We are involved from time-to-time in various legal proceedings that arise in the ordinary course of our business, including, but not limited to commercial disputes, environmental matters, and litigation in connection with transactions including acquisitions and divestitures. We believe that such litigation, claims and administrative proceedings will not have a material adverse impact on our financial position or our results of operations. We record a liability when a loss is considered probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated.

In May 2010, Opry Mills sustained significant flood damage. Insurance proceeds of \$50 million have been funded by the insurers and remediation work has been completed. The property was re-opened March 29, 2012. The excess insurance carriers (those providing coverage above \$50 million) have denied the claim under the policy for additional proceeds (of up to \$150 million) to pay further amounts for restoration costs and business interruption losses. We and our lenders are continuing our efforts through pending litigation to recover our losses under the excess insurance policies for Opry Mills and we believe recovery is probable, but no assurances can be made that our efforts to recover these funds will be successful.

Lease Commitments

As of December 31, 2013, a total of 28 of the consolidated properties are subject to ground leases. The termination dates of these ground leases range from 2014 to 2090. These ground leases generally require us to make fixed annual rental payments, or a fixed annual rental plus a percentage rent component based upon the revenues or total sales of the property. In addition, we have several regional office locations that are subject to leases with termination dates ranging from 2014 to 2028. These office leases generally require us to make fixed annual rental payments plus pay our share of common area, real estate and utility expenses. Some of our ground and office leases include escalation clauses and renewal options. We incurred ground lease expense and office lease expense, which are included in other expense and home office and regional expense, respectively, as follows:

	Fo	or the Year Ended, December 31,	
	2013	2012	2011
Ground lease expense	\$ 40,042	\$ 43,421	\$ 42,284
Office lease expense	4,057	2,004	2,047

Future minimum lease payments due under these leases for years ending December 31, excluding applicable extension options and any sublease income, are as follows:

2014	\$	25,974
2015		30,991
2016		36,851
2017		36,863
2018		36,818
Thereafter	1	,012,997
	\$ 1	,180,494

Insurance

We maintain insurance coverage with third party carriers who provide a portion of the coverage for specific layers of potential losses including commercial general liability, fire, flood, extended coverage and rental loss insurance on all of our properties in the United States. The initial portion of coverage not provided by third party carriers is either insured through our wholly-owned captive insurance companies, Rosewood Indemnity, Ltd. and Bridgewood Insurance Company, Ltd., or other financial arrangements controlled by us. The third party carrier has, in turn, agreed to provide evidence of coverage for this layer of losses under the terms and conditions of the carrier's policy. A similar policy written through our captive insurance entities also provides initial coverage for property insurance and certain windstorm risks at the properties located in coastal windstorm locations.

We currently maintain insurance coverage against acts of terrorism on all of our properties in the United States on an "all risk" basis in the amount of up to \$1 billion. The current federal laws which provide this coverage are expected to operate through 2014. Despite the existence of this insurance coverage, any threatened or actual terrorist attacks where we operate could adversely affect our property values, revenues, consumer traffic and tenant sales.

Guarantees of Indebtedness

Joint venture debt is the liability of the joint venture and is typically secured by the joint venture property, which is non-recourse to us. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, we guaranteed joint venture related mortgage indebtedness of \$190.8 million and \$84.9 million, respectively (of which we have a right of recovery from our venture partners of \$83.0 million and \$38.6 million, respectively). Mortgages guaranteed by us are secured by the property of the joint venture which could be sold in order to satisfy the outstanding obligation and which has an estimated fair value in excess of the guaranteed amount.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Our malls, Premium Outlets, The Mills, and community/lifestyle centers rely heavily upon anchor tenants to attract customers; however, anchor retailers do not contribute materially to our financial results as many anchor retailers own their spaces. All material operations are within the United States and no customer or tenant accounts for 5% or more of our consolidated revenues.

Limited Life Partnerships

We are the controlling partner in several consolidated partnerships that have a limited life. We estimated the settlement values of these noncontrolling interests as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 as approximately \$125.0 million and \$143.0 million, respectively. The settlement values are based on the estimated fair values upon a hypothetical liquidation of the partnership interests and estimated yield maintenance or prepayment penalties associated with the payment to settle any underlying secured mortgage debt.

12. Related Party Transactions

Our management company provides management, insurance, and other services to Melvin Simon & Associates, Inc., a related party, unconsolidated joint ventures, and other non-owned related party properties. Amounts for services provided by our management company and its affiliates to our unconsolidated joint ventures and other related parties were as follows:

	F	ie Year Ende cember 31,	d	
	2013	2012		2011
Amounts charged to unconsolidated joint ventures	\$ 121,996	\$ 119,534	\$	125,306
Amounts charged to properties owned by related parties	4,510	4,416		4,353

During 2012 and 2011, we recorded interest income of \$2.0 million and \$9.8 million, respectively, net of inter-entity eliminations, related to the loans that we provided to TMLP and SPG-FCM. The loan to SPG-FCM was extinguished in the Mills transaction in 2012. In addition, during 2013, 2012 and 2011, we recorded development, royalty and other fee income related to our international investments of \$14.0 million, \$15.5 million and \$12.3 million, respectively. Also during 2013, 2012 and 2011, we received fees related to financing activities, net of elimination, provided to unconsolidated joint ventures of \$15.9 million, \$3.0 million and \$1.8 million, respectively. The fees related to our international investments and financing activities are included in other income in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income.

13. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

Quarterly 2013 and 2012 data is summarized in the table below. Quarterly amounts may not sum to annual amounts due to rounding.

	First Second Quarter Quarter			Third Quarter			Fourth Quarter
2013							
Total revenue	\$ 1,215,058	\$	1,236,563	\$	1,302,256	\$	1,416,260
Operating income	557,689		564,890		600,565		692,546
Consolidated net income	334,468		400,525		367,293		449,304
Net income attributable to unitholders	330,694		397,115		364,022		445,517
Net income per unit — Basic	\$ 0.91	\$	1.10	\$	1.00	\$	1.23
Net income per unit — Diluted	\$ 0.91	\$	1.10	\$	1.00	\$	1.23
Weighted average units outstanding	362,051,682		362,455,413		362,454,880		362,454,828
Diluted weighted average units outstanding	362,051,885		362,455,413		362,454,880		362,454,828
2012							
Total revenue	\$ 1,118,969	\$	1,188,066	\$	1,228,617	\$	1,344,431
Operating income	516,721		524,327		564,953		614,598
Consolidated net income	781,829		260,936		306,371		370,496
Net income attributable to unitholders	778,407		257,768		302,595		367,091
Net income per unit — Basic	\$ 2.18	\$	0.71	\$	0.84	\$	1.01
Net income per unit — Diluted	\$ 2.18	\$	0.71	\$	0.84	\$	1.01
Weighted average units outstanding	356,625,936		364,300,150		362,631,726		361,713,583
Diluted weighted average units outstanding	356,627,046		364,301,192		362,632,796		361,714,637

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")) that are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to Simon Property's management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. Because of inherent limitations, disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, and not absolute, assurance that the objectives of disclosure controls and procedures are met.

Simon Property's management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures are effective at a reasonable assurance level.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Management's report on internal control over financial reporting is set forth within Item 7 to this Form 10-K.

Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm. The audit report of Ernst & Young LLP on their assessment of our internal control over financial reporting is set forth within Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f)) that occurred during the fourth quarter of 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

During the fourth quarter of the year covered by this report, the Audit Committee of Simon Property's Board of Directors approved certain audit, auditrelated and non-audit tax compliance and tax consulting services to be provided by Ernst & Young LLP, Simon Property's independent registered public accounting firm. This disclosure is made pursuant to Section 10A(i)(2) of the Exchange Act, as added by Section 202 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

We are a limited partnership and Simon Property is our sole general partner. We do not have any directors or executive officers or any equity securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Comparable information for Simon Property can be found in its periodic reports and proxy statements it files with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

We are a limited partnership and Simon Property is our sole general partner. We do not have any directors or executive officers or any equity securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Comparable information for Simon Property can be found in its periodic reports and proxy statements it files with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

We are a limited partnership and Simon Property is our sole general partner. We do not have any directors or executive officers or any equity securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Comparable information for Simon Property can be found in its periodic reports and proxy statements it files with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

We are a limited partnership and Simon Property is our sole general partner. We do not have any directors or executive officers or any equity securities registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Comparable information for Simon Property can be found in its periodic reports and proxy statements it files with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The Audit Committee of Simon Property's Board of Directors pre-approves all audit and permissible non-audit services to be provided by Ernst & Young LLP, or Ernst & Young, Simon Property's and our independent registered public accounting firm, prior to commencement of services. The Audit Committee has delegated to the Chairman of the Audit Committee the authority to pre-approve specific services up to specified individual and aggregate fee amounts. These pre-approval decisions are presented to the full Audit Committee at the next scheduled meeting after such approvals are made. We have incurred fees as shown below for services from Ernst & Young as our independent registered public accounting firm. Ernst & Young has advised us that it has billed or will bill these indicated amounts for the following categories of services for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively:

	 2013	 2012
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 3,692,000	\$ 3,846,000
Audit-Related Fees (2)	5,435,000	4,366,000
Tax Fees (3)	305,000	436,000
All Other Fees	26,000	_

(1) Audit Fees include fees for the audit of the financial statements and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting for us, Simon Property, and certain of our subsidiaries and services associated with Securities and Exchange Commission registration statements, periodic reports, and other documents issued in connection with securities offerings.

(2) Audit-Related Fees include audits of individual or portfolios of properties and schedules of recoverable common area maintenance costs to comply with lender, joint venture partner or tenant requirements and accounting consultation and due diligence services. In addition, these fees include services related to the audits of SpinCo which accounts for the increase in fees over 2012. Our share of these Audit-Related Fees for the years ended 2013 and 2012 are approximately 64% and 58%, respectively.

(3) Tax Fees include fees for international and other tax consulting services. Tax Fees also include return compliance services associates with the tax returns for certain joint ventures. Our share of these fees for 2013 and 2012 is approximately 75% and 83%, respectively.

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a)	(1)	Financial Statements	Page No.
		The following consolidated financial statements of Simon Property Group, L.P. and subsidiaries are set forth in the Part II, item 8.	
		Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December31, 2013 and 2012 Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2011	<u>65</u> <u>67</u> <u>68</u> 69
		Consolidated Statements of Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>69</u> 70 72
	(2)	Financial Statement Schedule Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries Schedule III — Schedule of Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation Notes to Schedule III	<u>107</u> 113
		Other financial statement schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.	
	(3)	Exhibits	
		The Exhibit Index attached hereto is hereby incorporated by reference to this Item.	<u>114</u>
		104	

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SIMON PROPERTY GROUP, L.P.

By /s/ DAVID SIMON

David Simon Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of Simon Property Group, Inc., General Partner

March 4, 2014

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Capacity	Date
/s/ DAVID SIMON	Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of Simon Property Group, Inc., General Partner (Principal Executive Officer)	March 4, 2014
/s/ HERBERT SIMON	Chairman Emeritus and Director	March 4, 2014
Herbert Simon /s/ RICHARD S. SOKOLOV	President, Chief Operating Officer of Simon Property Group, Inc., General Partner and Director	March 4, 2014
Richard S. Sokolov /s/ MELVYN E. BERGSTEIN Melvyn E. Bergstein	Director	March 4, 2014
/s/ LARRY C. GLASSCOCK	Director	March 4, 2014
/s/ REUBEN S. LEIBOWITZ Reuben S. Leibowitz	Director	March 4, 2014
/s/ J. ALBERT SMITH, JR. J. Albert Smith, Jr.	Director	March 4, 2014
	105	

Table of Contents

Signature	Capacity	Date
/s/ KAREN N. HORN		
Karen N. Horn	Director	March 4, 2014
/s/ ALLAN HUBBARD		
Allan Hubbard	Director	March 4, 2014
/s/ DANIEL C. SMITH		
Daniel C. Smith	Director	March 4, 2014
/s/ STEPHEN E. STERRETT	Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of	March 4, 2014
Stephen E. Sterrett	Simon Property Group, Inc., General Partner (Principal Financial Officer)	
/s/ STEVEN K. BROADWATER	Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer of Simon	March 4, 2014
Steven K. Broadwater	Property Group, Inc., General Partner (Principal Accounting Officer)	
	106	

			Initi	al Cost (3)	Sub	Capitalized osequent to juisition (3)		ss Amounts At V ried At Close of			Date of Construction
Name	Location	Encumbrances (6)	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Total (1)	Accumulated Depreciation (2)	or
Malls Anderson Mall	Anderson, SC	20,398	5 1.712	\$ 15,227	\$ 851	\$ 20,893 \$	\$ 2,563	\$ 36,120	\$ 38,683	\$ 18,074	1072
Bangor Mall	Bangor, ME	80,000	5,478	59,740	\$ 051 	12,068	5,478		77,286		2004 (5)
Barton Creek	Austin, TX	—	2,903	20,929	7,983	63,969	10,886	84,898	95,784	51,860	1981
Square Battlefield Mall	Springfield, MO	125,000	3,919	27,231	3,000	64,059	6,919	91,290	98,209	60,631	1970
Bay Park	Green Bay, WI	_	6,358	25,623	4,106	26,331	10,464	51,954	62,418	26,730	1980
Square	Dervie		2 710	65.044	235	10.051	2.045	75.895	70.040	21 220	2001
Bowie Town Center	Bowie (Washington, D.C.), MD	_	2,710	65,044	255	10,851	2,945	/ 5,695	78,840	31,339	2001
Boynton Beach	Boynton Beach	—	22,240	78,804	4,666	27,315	26,906	106,119	133,025	53,062	1985
Mall Brea Mall	(Miami), FL Brea (Los	_	39,500	209,202	_	42,967	39,500	252,169	291,669	104,653	1998 (4)
Broadway	Angeles), CA Tyler, TX	_	11,306	32,431	_	23,763	11,306	56,194	67,500	29,351	1994 (4)
Square Brunswick	East	76,672	8,436	55,838	_	30,694	8,436	86,532	94,968	44,430	1073
Square	Brunswick (New York), NJ	70,072	0,430	33,030		50,034	0,430	00,002	94,900	44,430	1975
Burlington Mall	Burlington	-	46,600	303,618	19,600	97,860	66,200	401,478	467,678	160,319	1998 (4)
Castleton	(Boston), MA Indianapolis,	_	26,250	98,287	7,434	75,407	33,684	173,694	207,378	83,383	1972
Square	IN		20,230	50,207	/,434		55,004				1372
Charlottesville Fashion Square	Charlottesville, VA	—	_	54,738	_	17,948	_	72,686	72,686	32,683	1997 (4)
Chautauqua Mall	Lakewood, NY	—	3,116	9,641		16,435	3,116	26,076	29,192	14,185	1971
Chesapeake Square	Chesapeake (Virginia Beach), VA	65,242	11,534	70,461	_	19,489	11,534	89,950	101,484	53,113	1989
Cielo Vista Mall	El Paso, TX	-	1,005	15,262	608		1,613		66,842		
College Mall	Bloomington, IN	_	1,003	16,245	720	45,306	1,723	61,551	63,274	33,597	1965
Columbia Center	Kennewick, WA	—	17,441	66,580	—	26,566	17,441	93,146	110,587	43,792	1987
Copley Place Coral Square	Boston, MA Coral Springs	—	13,556	378,045 93,630	_		13,556	400,704	486,704 128,687		2002 (4)
Corar Square	(Miami), FL	—	13,330	93,030	_	21,301	13,330	113,131	120,007	00,000	1904
Cordova Mall Cottonwood Mall	Pensacola, FL Albuquerque, NM		18,626 10,122	73,091 69,958	7,321	61,890 7,542	25,947 10,122		160,928 87,622		1998 (4) 1996
Domain, The	Austin, TX	201,511	40,436	197,010	_	139,129	40,436	336,139	376,575	81,659	2005
Edison Mall	Fort Myers, FL Sioux Falls,	176 200	11,529	107,350		,=	11,529		150,651		1997 (4)
Empire Mall	SD	176,300	35,998	192,186	_	21,862	35,998	214,048	250,046	14,050	1998 (5)
Fashion Mall at Keystone, The	IN	—	_	120,579	27,027	ŕ	27,027		233,594		1997 (4)
Firewheel Town Center	Garland (Dallas), TX	_	8,485	82,716	_	28,862	8,485	111,578	120,063	38,740	2004
Forest Mall	Fond Du Lac, WI	—	721	4,491	—	8,682	721	13,173	13,894	9,167	1973
Forum Shops at		—	_	276,567	_	220,290	_	496,857	496,857	189,169	1992
Caesars, The Great Lakes Mall	Mentor (Cleveland),	_	12,302	100,362	_	30,661	12,302	131,023	143,325	57,785	1961
Groopwood	OH	76,677	2,423	23,445	5,253	116,978	7,676	140,423	148,099	6E 010	1070
Greenwood Park Mall	Greenwood (Indianapolis), IN	/6,6//	2,423	23,445	5,253	116,978	/,6/6	140,423	148,099	65,212	19/9
Gulf View	Port Richey	—	13,690	39,991	1,688	19,547	15,378	59,538	74,916	30,930	1980
Square Haywood Mall	(Tampa), FL Greenville, SC	_	11,585	133,893	6	22,440	11,591	156,333	167,924	83,551	1998 (4)
Independence Center	Independence (Kansas City), MO	200,000	5,042		—	35,198	5,042		86,038		1994 (4)
Ingram Park	San Antonio,	139,954	733	17,163	37	24,168	770	41,331	42,101	26,649	1979
Mall Irving Mall	TX Irving (Dallas),	_	6,737	17,479	2,533	43,025	9,270	60,504	69,774	37,218	1971
0	TX										
Jefferson Valley Mall	Yorktown Heights (New York), NY	—	4,868	30,304	_	27,767	4,868	58,071	62,939	36,880	1983
King of Prussia Mall	King of Prussia (Philadelphia),	118,082	175,063	1,128,200		58,646	175,063	1,186,846	1,361,909	103,212	2003 (5)
Knoxville	PA Knoxville, TN	_	5,006	21,617	3,712	32,451	8,718	54,068	62,786	34,704	1984
Center	McAllen TV		1 275	0 0 0 0	6 560	E0 6E0	7 0/4	CO 470	60 400	20.052	1076
La Plaza Mall Lakeline Mall	McAllen, TX Cedar Park	_	1,375 10,088	9,828 81,568	6,569 14		7,944 10,102		68,422 108,359		
	(Austin), TX										

			Init	ial Cost (3)	Sul	Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition (3)		Gross Amounts At Which Carried At Close of Period			Date of Construction
Name	Location	Encumbrances (6)	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Total (1)	Accumulated Depreciation (2)	or
Lenox Square	Atlanta, GA	—	38,058		_	95,038	38,058	587,449			1998 (4)
Lima Mall Lincolnwood	Lima, OH Lincolnwood		7,659 7,834		_	13,812 7,682	7,659 7,834	49,150 71,162	56,809 78,996	25,767 45,561	
Town Center	(Chicago), IL										
Lindale Mall	Cedar Rapids, IA	—	14,106	58,286	_	6,063	14,106	64,349	78,455	6,228	1998 (5)
Livingston Mall	Livingston (New York),	_	22,214	105,250	_	44,517	22,214	149,767	171,981	59,767	1998 (4)
Longview Mall	NJ Longview, TX	_	259	3,567	124	9,252	383	12,819	13,202	7,472	1978
Mall at Chestnut Hill, The	Chestnut Hill (Boston), MA	120,000	449	25,102	43,257	96,161	43,706	121,263	164,969	7,429	2002 (5)
Mall of	Buford	-	47,492	326,633		11,340	47,492	337,973	385,465	127,877	1999 (5)
Georgia Maplewood Mall	(Atlanta), GA St. Paul (Minneapolis),	—	17,119	80,758	—	24,267	17,119	105,025	122,144	37,102	2002 (4)
	MN					15.000		24556	04550	12.010	1000
Markland Mall McCain Mall	Kokomo, IN N. Little Rock, AR	_	_	7,500	10,530	17,008 24,457	10,530	24,576 33,972	24,576 44,502	13,219 8,535	
Melbourne	Melbourne,	—	15,762	55,891	4,160	30,434	19,922	86,325	106,247	39,350	1982
Square Menlo Park	FL Edison (New	_	65,684	223,252	_	43,986	65,684	267,238	332,922	127,857	1997 (4)
Mall Mesa Mall	York), NJ Grand	87,250	12,784	80,639		1,427	12,784	82,066	94,850	8,639	1998 (5)
Midland Park	Junction, CO	83,293	687			24,059	687	33,272	33,959		.,
Mall	Midland, TX	03,293		,						18,852	
Miller Hill Mall	Duluth, MN	—	2,965	18,092	1,811	39,338	4,776	57,430	62,206	34,847	1973
Montgomery Mall	North Wales (Philadelphia), PA	80,265	27,105	86,915	—	45,806	27,105	132,721	159,826	43,138	2004 (5)
Muncie Mall	Muncie, IN	_	172		52	28,234	224	34,010	34,234	20,382	
North East Mall	Hurst (Dallas), TX	—	128	12,966	19,010	151,137	19,138	164,103	183,241	87,794	1971
Northgate Mall	Seattle, WA	_	24,369		_	97,693	24,369		238,054	89,976	
Northlake Mall Northwoods	Atlanta, GA Peoria, IL		33,322 1,185		2,164	3,813 38,469	33,322 3,349	101,848 51,248	135,170 54,597	73,019 32,596	1998 (4) 1983
Mall											
Oak Court Mall	Memphis, TN	—	15,673		_	9,556	15,673	66,860	82,533		1997 (4)
Ocean County Mall	Toms River (New York), NJ	_	20,404	124,945	_	30,049	20,404	154,994	175,398	66,356	1998 (4)
Orange Park Mall	Orange Park (Jacksonville), FL	—	12,998	65,121	_	42,553	12,998	107,674	120,672	55,165	1994 (4)
Orland Square	Orland Park (Chicago), IL	—	35,514	129,906	_	48,985	35,514	178,891	214,405	77,349	1997 (4)
Oxford Valley Mall	Langhorne (Philadelphia),	67,722	24,544	100,287	—	16,005	24,544	116,292	140,836	66,273	2003 (4)
Paddock Mall	PA Ocala, FL	_	11,198	39,727	_	21,955	11,198	61,682	72,880	26,719	1980
Penn Square	Oklahoma	95,256	2,043		_	45,697	2,043		203,698	89,107	2002 (4)
Mall Pheasant Lane Mall	City, OK Nashua, NH	—	3,902	155,068	550	45,029	4,452	200,097	204,549	74,585	2004 (5)
Phipps Plaza	Atlanta, GA		16,725		2,225	37,141	18,950		266,701		1998 (4)
Plaza Carolina	Carolina (San Juan), PR	225,000	15,493	279,560	_	57,842	15,493	337,402	352,895	99,118	2004 (4)
Port Charlotte Town Center	Port Charlotte,	46,353	5,471	58,570	—	15,507	5,471	74,077	79,548	40,124	1989
Prien Lake	Lake Charles,	—	1,842	2,813	3,053	49,249	4,895	52,062	56,957	24,425	1972
Mall Richmond Town Square	LA Richmond Heights	-	2,600	12,112	—	56,081	2,600	68,193	70,793	51,751	1966
	(Cleveland), OH										
River Oaks Center	Calumet City (Chicago), IL	_	30,560	101,224	_	11,295	30,560	112,519	143,079	53,968	1997 (4)
Rockaway	Rockaway (New York),	-	41,918	212,257	—	40,440	41,918	252,697	294,615	104,858	1998 (4)
Rolling Oaks Mall	NJ San Antonio, TX	_	1,929	38,609	-	13,650	1,929	52,259	54,188	31,736	1988
Roosevelt Field	Garden City (New York), NY	—	163,160	702,008	48	69,475	163,208	771,483	934,691	323,660	1998 (4)
	Pittsburgh, PA		23,541		_	88,181	23,541		201,925	87,737	
Rushmore Mall	Rapid City, SD	94,000	18,839		—	1,183	18,839	68,547	87,386		1998 (5)
Santa Rosa Plaza	Santa Rosa, CA	—	10,400	87,864	_	24,458	10,400	112,322	122,722	45,859	1998 (4)
Shops at Nanuet, The	Nanuet, NY	—	28,125	143,120	—	—	28,125	143,120	171,245	1,574	2013 (7)
Shops at Riverside,	Hackensack (New York),	130,000	13,521	238,746	_	3,948	13,521	242,694	256,215	15,859	2007 (4) (5)
The South Hills Village	NJ Pittsburgh, PA	—	23,445	125,840	1,472	45,091	24,917	170,931	195,848	69,149	1997 (4)
South Shore	Braintree		101,200	301,495		158 334	101,200	459 829	561,029	164,478	1008 (4)

			Init	ial Cost (3)	Su	t Capitalized bsequent to quisition (3)		ss Amounts At V ied At Close of I		Date of Construction
Name	Location	Encumbrances (6)	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Total (1)	Constructio Accumulated or Depreciation (2) Acquisition
Southdale Center	Edina (Minneapolis),	155,000		184,967			40,172		259,464	14,058 2007 (4) (5)
Southern Hills Mall	MN Sioux City, IA	101,500	15,025	75,984	-	727	15,025	76,711	91,736	8,252 1998 (5)
Southern Park Mall	Youngstown, OH	_	16,982	77,767	97	27,091	17,079	104,858	121,937	52,442 1970
SouthPark Southridge Mall	(Milwaukee),	189,775 125,000		188,055 130,111	100 2,389	175,992 17,916	42,192 14,748		406,239 162,775	145,085 2002 (4) 11,556 2007 (4) (5)
St. Charles Towne Center	WI Waldorf (Washington, D.C.), MD	_	7,710	52,934	1,180	30,943	8,890	83,877	92,767	47,149 1990
Stanford Shopping Center	Palo Alto (San Jose), CA	_	_	339,537	_	46,751	_	386,288	386,288	110,118 2003 (4)
Summit Mall Sunland Park	Akron , OH El Paso, TX	65,000 28,359	15,374 2,896	51,137 28,900	_	46,586 9,695	15,374 2,896		113,097 41,491	44,203 1965 25,827 1988
Mall Tacoma Mall	Tacoma	_	37,803	125,826	_	87,609	37,803	213,435	251,238	91,275 1987
Fippecanoe Mall Fown Center at		—	2,897 9,959	8,439 56,832	5,517 6	47,150 55,963	8,414 9,965		64,003 122,760	37,655 1973 57,703 1998 (4)
Aurora Town Center at	(Denver), CO Boca Raton		64,200	307,317					538,575	199,104 1998 (4)
Boca Raton Town Center at	(Miami), FL Kennesaw	200,000		158,225	_		32,355		207,710	78,826 1998 (5)
Cobb Towne East	(Atlanta), GA Wichita, KS		8,525	18,479	4,108		12,633		75,492	38,939 1975
Square Towne West	Wichita, KS	49,360	972	21,203	61	12,647	1,033	33,850	34,883	22,502 1980
Square Treasure Coast	Jensen Beach,	—	11,124	72,990	3,067	38,066	14,191	111,056	125,247	54,717 1987
Square Tyrone Square	FL St. Petersburg	_	15,638	120,962	_	34,028	15,638	154,990	170,628	74,948 1972
University Park Mall	(Tampa), FL Mishawaka, IN	—	16,768	112,158	7,000	54,248	23,768	166,406	190,174	127,845 1996 (4)
Valle Vista Mall Virginia Center Commons	Harlingen, TX Glen Allen, VA	40,000	1,398 9,764	17,159 50,547	329 4,149		1,727 13,913		40,208 78,473	24,142 1983 29,650 1991
Walt Whitman Shops	Huntington Station (New York), NY	116,932	51,700	111,258	3,789	115,133	55,489	226,391	281,880	79,487 1998 (4)
West Ridge Mall Westminster		64,794	5,453 43,464	34,132 84,709	1,168	24,122	6,621 43,464	58,254	64,875 163,917	33,282 1988 51,246 1998 (4)
Mall	(Los Angeles), CA	_	43,404	04,703		33,744	43,404	120,435	105,517	51,240 1990 (4)
White Oaks Mall	Springfield, IL	50,000	3,024	35,692	2,102	61,835	5,126	97,527	102,653	37,770 1977
Wolfchase Galleria	Memphis, TN	225,000	15,881	128,276	—	11,960	15,881	140,236	156,117	68,585 2002 (4)
Woodland Hills Mall	Tulsa, OK	92,908	34,211	187,123		23,147	34,211	210,270	244,481	91,613 2004 (5)
Premium Outlets										
Albertville Premium Outlets	Albertville (Minneapolis), MN	_	3,900	97,059	_	5,758	3,900		106,717	35,694 2004 (4)
Allen Premium Outlets	Allen (Dallas), TX	_	13,855	43,687	97		13,952		71,639	22,663 2004 (4)
Aurora Farms Premium Outlets	Aurora (Cleveland), OH		2,370	24,326		4,179	2,370		30,875	17,634 2004 (4)
Birch Run Premium Outlets	Birch Run (Detroit), MI	104,240	11,560	77,856		3,120	11,560	80,976	92,536	14,041 2010 (4)
Calhoun Premium Outlets	Calhoun, GA	20,035		12,529	_	746	1,745		15,020	4,631 2010 (4)
Camarillo Premium Outlets	Camarillo (Los Angeles), CA	_	16,670	224,721	395	64,137	17,065	288,858	305,923	86,240 2004 (4)
Carlsbad Premium Outlets	Carlsbad (San Diego), CA		12,890	184,990	96		12,986		201,478	54,417 2004 (4)
Carolina Premium Outlets	Smithfield (Raleigh), NC	49,452	3,175	59,863	5,311	5,320	8,486		73,669	26,530 2004 (4)
Chicago Premium Outlets	Aurora (Chicago), IL	_	659	118,005		5,158	659		123,822	46,276 2004 (4)
Cincinnati Premium Outlets	Monroe (Cincinnati), OH		14,117	71,520	_	4,415	14,117	75,935	90,052	17,349 2008
Clinton Crossing Premium Outlets	Clinton, CT	_	2,060	107,556	1,532	2,543	3,592	110,099	113,691	37,823 2004 (4)
Columbia Gorge Premium Outlets	Troutdale (Portland), OR	_	7,900	16,492		2,652	7,900	19,144	27,044	9,407 2004 (4)
Desert Hills	Cabazon (Palm	_	3,440	338,679	_	62,681	3,440	401,360	404,800	97,116 2004 (4)

Premium Outlets	Springs), CA									
Edinburgh Premium Outlets	Edinburgh (Indianapolis), IN	— 2	2,857	47,309	_	13,273	2,857	60,582	63,439	23,497 2004 (4)
Ellenton Premium Outlets	Ellenton (Tampa), FL	102,442 15	5,807	182,412	_	3,485	15,807	185,897	201,704	36,306 2010 (4)
Folsom Premium Outlets	Folsom (Sacramento), CA	_ 9	9,060	50,281	—	4,188	9,060	54,469	63,529	23,134 2004 (4)

December 31, 2013

			Initial Cost (3)			Capitalized sequent to uisition (3)		s Amounts At V ied At Close of I			Date of Construction	
Name	Location	Encumbrances (6)	Land	Buildings and Improvements		Buildings and Improvements	Land	Buildings and Improvements	Total (1)	Accumulated Depreciation (2)	or	
Gaffney Premium Outlets		36,360	4,056	32,371		2,105	4,056	34,476	38,532		2010 (4)	
Gilroy Premium Outlets	Gilroy (San Jose), CA	_	9,630	194,122	—	9,347	9,630	203,469	213,099	67,532	2004 (4)	
Grand Prairie Premium Outlets	Grand Prairie (Dallas), TX	120,000	9,497	198,253	—	—	9,497	198,253	207,750	8,100	2012	
Grove City Premium Outlets	Grove City (Pittsburgh), PA	110,590	6,421	121,880	—	2,157	6,421	124,037	130,458	25,495	2010 (4)	
Gulfport Premium Outlets	Gulfport, MS	24,674	_	27,949	—	1,598	_	29,547	29,547	6,388	2010 (4)	
lagerstown Premium Outlets	Hagerstown (Baltimore/Washington DC), MD	87,586	3,576	85,883	—	1,826	3,576	87,709	91,285	15,427	2010 (4)	
louston Premium Outlets	Cypress (Houston), TX	—	9,090	69,350	—	46,834	9,090	116,184	125,274	26,571	2007	
ckson Premium Outlets	Jackson (New York), NJ		6,413	104,013	3	4,878	6,416	108,891	115,307	31,859	2004 (4)	
ersey Shore Premium Outlets	Tinton Falls (New York), NJ	68,630	15,390	50,979	—	75,219	15,390	126,198	141,588	30,828	2007	
ohnson Creek Premium Outlets	Johnson Creek, WI	_	2,800	39,546	—	6,778	2,800	46,324	49,124	15,467	2004 (4)	
Cittery Premium Outlets	Kittery , ME	—	11,832	94,994	_	7,008	11,832	102,002	113,834	27,960	2004 (4)	
as Americas Premium Outlets	San Diego, CA	178,806	45,168	251,878	—	5,948	45,168	257,826	302,994	48,063	2007 (4)	
as Vegas Premium Outlets — North	Las Vegas, NV	—	25,435	134,973	16,536	88,100	41,971	223,073	265,044	66,499	2004 (4)	
as Vegas Premium Outlets — South	Las Vegas, NV	—	13,085	160,777	_	22,769	13,085	183,546	196,631	46,983	2004 (4)	
ebanon Premium Outlets	Lebanon (Nashville), TN	15,170	1,758	10,189	_	1,019	1,758	11,208	12,966	2,771	2010 (4)	
ee Premium Outlets	Lee, MA	50,014	9,167	52,212	_	1,075	9,167	53,287	62,454	11,176	2010 (4)	
eesburg Corner Premium Outlets	Leesburg (Washington D.C.), VA		7,190	162,023	—	3,871	7,190	165,894	173,084	58,996	2004 (4)	
iberty Village Premium Outlets	Flemington (New York), NJ		5,670	28,904	_	1,550	5,670	30,454	36,124		2004 (4)	
ighthouse Place Premium Outlets	(Chicago, IL), IN	_	6,630	94,138	_	8,465	6,630	,	109,233	-,	2004 (4)	
ivermore Premium Outlets	Livermore (San Francisco), CA		21,925	308,694	_		21,925		330,619	11,557		
ferrimack Premium Outlets Japa Premium	Merrimack, NH Napa, CA	130,000		118,428	_		17,028		136,058	8,517		
Outlets Orth Bend Premium	North Bend (Seattle), WA	_	11,400 2,143	45,023 36,197	_	3,496	11,400 2,143	48,398 39,693	59,798 41,836		2004 (4) 2004 (4)	
Outlets orth Georgia Premium	Dawsonville (Atlanta), GA	—	4,300	132,325	_	3,183	4,300	135,508	139,808	45,209	2004 (4)	
Outlets rlando Premium Outlets —	Orlando, FL	—	32,727	472,815	—	2,156	32,727	474,971	507,698	63,945	2010 (4)	
International Dr rlando Premium Outlets —		_	14,040	304,410	38,632	76,159	52,672	380,569	433,241	97,093	2004 (4)	
Vineland Ave sage Beach Premium Outlets	Osage Beach, MO	—	9,460	85,804	—	5,828	9,460	91,632	101,092	33,158	2004 (4)	
	Petaluma (San Francisco), CA	_	13,322	13,710	-	1,336	13,322	15,046	28,368	8,721	2004 (4)	
hiladelphia Premium Outlets	Limerick (Philadelphia), PA	-	16,676	105,249	—	15,974	16,676	121,223	137,899	37,332	2006	
	Chandler (Phoenix), AZ	_	_	63,751	—	_	_	63,751	63,751	1,938	2013	
ismo Beach Premium Outlets	Pismo Beach, CA	33,850	4,317	19,044	—	1,266	4,317	20,310	24,627	5,156	2010 (4)	
leasant Prairie Premium Outlets	Pleasant Prairie (Chicago, IL/Milwaukee), WI	94,730	16,823	126,686	-	2,902	16,823	129,588	146,411	20,008	2010 (4)	
uerto Rico Premium Outlets	Barceloneta, PR	125,000	20,586	114,021	_	1,795	20,586	115,816	136,402	18,454	2010 (4)	

Queenstown Premium Outlets	Queenstown (Baltimore), MD	66,150 8,129	61,950	—	2,727 8,129	64,677 72,806	10,908 2010 (4)
Rio Grande Valley Premium Outlets	Mercedes (McAllen), n TX	— 12,229	41,547	—	33,564 12,229	75,111 87,340	26,739 2005
Round Rock Premium Outlets	Round Rock (Austin), TX	— 14,706	82,252	—	1,430 14,706	83,682 98,388	31,830 2005
San Marcos Premium Outlets	San Marcos (Austin/San Antonio), TX	140,276 13,180	287,179	—	5,195 13,180	292,374 305,554	39,066 2010 (4)
Seattle Premium Outlets	Tulalip (Seattle), WA		103,722	—	52,801 —	156,523 156,523	41,415 2004 (4)
St. Augustine Premium Outlets	St. Augustine (Jacksonville), FL	— 6,090	57,670	2	8,694 6,092	66,364 72,456	25,565 2004 (4)
The Crossings Premium Outlets	Tannersville , PA	115,000 7,720	172,931	—	11,172 7,720	184,103 191,823	54,325 2004 (4)

Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries

Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation

December 31, 2013 (Dollars in thousands)

			Initi	al Cost (3)	Su	t Capitalized bsequent to quisition (3)		ss Amounts At V ied At Close of 1			Date of Construction
				Buildings and		Buildings and		Buildings and		Accumulated	or
Name Vacaville Premium Outlets	Location Vacaville , CA	Encumbrances (6) —	Land 9,420	Improvements 84,850	Land	Improvements 10,269	Land 9,420	Improvements 95,119	<u>Total (1)</u> 104,539	Depreciation (2) 37,972	Acquisition 2004 (4)
Waikele Premium Outlets	Waipahu (Honolulu), HI	_	22,630	77,316	_	2,850	22,630	80,166	102,796	28,323	2004 (4)
Waterloo Premium Outlets	Waterloo, NY	—	3,230	75,277	_	8,362	3,230	83,639	86,869	32,115	2004 (4)
Williamsburg Premium Outlets	Williamsburg, VA	101,186	10,323	223,789	_	2,591	10,323	226,380	236,703	30,766	2010 (4)
Woodburn Premium Outlets	Woodburn (Portland), OR	-	9,414	150,414	_	125	9,414	150,539	159,953	4,011	2013 (4)
Woodbury Common Premium Outlets	Central Valley (New York), NY	_	11,110	862,559	1,658	43,690	12,768	906,249	919,017	252,964	2004 (4)
Wrentham Village Premium Outlets	Wrentham (Boston), MA	_	4,900	282,031	_	8,015	4,900	290,046	294,946	90,807	2004 (4)
The Mills											
Great Mall	Milpitas (San Jose), CA	269,306	70,496	463,101	—	6,311	70,496	469,412	539,908	30,378	2007 (4)(5)
Gurnee Mills	Gurnee (Chicago), IL	321,000	41,133	297,911	—	3,715	41,133	301,626	342,759	20,273	2007 (4)(5)
Opry Mills Potomac Mills	Nashville, TN Woodbridge (Washington, D.C.), VA	382,347 410,000	51,000 61,771	327,503 425,370	_	9,742 25,031	51,000 61,771	337,245 450,401	388,245 512,172		2007 (4)(5) 2007 (4)(5)
Sawgrass Mills	Sunrise (Miami), FL	820,000	194,002	1,641,153	_	28,981	194,002	1,670,134	1,864,136	103,801	2007 (4)(5)
Community/Lifestyle Centers											
ABQ Uptown	Albuquerque, NM	—	6,374	75,333	ŕ		10,428	79,336	89,764		2011 (4)
Arboretum Bloomingdale Court	Austin, TX Bloomingdale	25,164	7,640 8,422	36,774 26,184	71		7,711 8,422	49,014 39,613	56,725 48,035		1998 (4) 1987
Charles Towne Square	(Chicago), IL Charleston,	_	_	1,768	370	10,636	370	12,404	12,774	9,705	1976
Chesapeake Center	SC Chesapeake (Virginia Beach), VA	_	4,410	11,241	—	177	4,410	11,418	15,828	7,622	1989
Concord Mills Marketplace	Concord (Charlotte), NC	16,000	8,036	21,167	_	_	8,036	21,167	29,203	1,519	2007 (4)(5)
Countryside Plaza	Countryside (Chicago), IL	—	332	8,507	2,554	10,183	2,886	18,690	21,576	10,215	1977
Dare Centre	Kill Devil Hills, NC	—	—	5,702	-	649	—	6,351	6,351	2,157	2004 (4)
DeKalb Plaza	King of Prussia (Philadelphia), PA	2,377	1,955	3,405	_	1,348	1,955	4,753	6,708	2,722	2003 (4)
Empire East	Sioux Falls, SD	_	3,350	10,552	—	2,368	3,350	12,920	16,270	976	1998 (5)
Forest Plaza	Rockford, IL	17,733	4,132	16,818	453		4,585	29,961	34,546		
Gateway Centers Greenwood Plus	Austin, TX Greenwood (Indianapolis), IN		24,549 1,129	81,437 1,792	_	,	24,549 1,129	94,719 6,447	119,268 7,576		2004 (4) 1979
Henderson Square	King of Prussia (Philadelphia), PA	13,301	4,223	15,124	_	838	4,223	15,962	20,185	4,883	2003 (4)
Highland Lakes Center	Orlando, FL	_	7,138	25,284	4 226	_,	7,138	27,386	34,524		
Keystone Shoppes	Indianapolis, IN Waukegan		2,487	6,420	4,236		4,236 2,487	7,029	11,265 10,277		1997 (4) 1986
Lake Plaza Lake View Plaza	(Chicago), IL Orland Park		2,487	6,420	_	1,370	2,487 4,702	31,269	35,971	· · ·	
Lakeline Plaza	(Chicago), IL Cedar Park	16,613	5,822	30,875	_		5,822	40,183	46,005	,	
Lima Center	(Austin), TX Lima, OH		1,781	5,151	_		1,781	14,110	15,891		
Lincoln Crossing	O'Fallon (St. Louis), IL	-	674	2,192	_		674	3,085	3,759		
Lincoln Plaza	King of Prussia (Philadelphia),	_	_	21,299	_	3,496	_	24,795	24,795	13,155	2003 (4)
MacGregor Village	PA Cary, NC		502	8,897	_	400	502	9,297	9,799	2,556	2004 (4)
Mall of Georgia Crossing	Buford (Atlanta), GA	24,527	9,506	32,892	_	1,553	9,506	34,445	43,951		2004 (5)
Markland Plaza Martinsville Plaza	Kokomo, IN Martinsville,		206	738 584		6,328 461	206	7,066 1,045	7,272 1,045		1974 1967
Matteson Plaza	VA Matteson		1,771	9,737	_		1,771	13,341	15,112		
	(Chicago), IL										

			Initia	ll Cost (3)	Subse	Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition (3)		Amounts At W			Date of
Name	Location	Encumbrances (6)	Land	Buildings and Improvements		Buildings and mprovements		Buildings and mprovements	Total (1)	Accumulated Depreciation (2)	Construction or
Muncie Towne		6,907	267	10,509	87	2,777	354	13,286	13,640	6,147	
Plaza Naples Outlet Center	Naples, FL	15,718	1,514	519	—	44	1,514	563	2,077	409	2010 (4)
New Castle Plaza	New Castle, IN	_	128	1,621	—	1,608	128	3,229	3,357	1,876	1966
North Ridge Shopping Center	Raleigh, NC	12,500	385	12,838	—	1,512	385	14,350	14,735	3,956	2004 (4)
Northwood Plaza	Fort Wayne, IN	—	148	1,414	—	2,151	148	3,565	3,713	2,336	1974
Palms Crossing	McAllen, TX	37,179	13,496	45,925		9,232	13,496	55,157	68,653	15,868	2006
Richardson Square	Richardson (Dallas), TX	—	6,285	—	990	15,021	7,275	15,021	22,296	3,167	1977
Rockaway Commons	(Dallas), TX Rockaway (New York), NJ	_	5,149	26,435	-	8,443	5,149	34,878	40,027	12,129	1998 (4)
Rockaway Town Plaza	Rockaway (New York), NJ	—	_	18,698	2,225	3,225	2,225	21,923	24,148	6,157	2004
Shops at Arbor Walk, The	Austin, TX	42,020	—	42,546	—	6,124	—	48,670	48,670	12,828	2005
Shops at North East Mall, The	Hurst (Dallas), TX	-	12,541	28,177	402	5,835	12,943	34,012	46,955	18,837	1999
St. Charles Towne Plaza	Waldorf (Washington, D.C.), MD	_	8,216	18,993	_	4,477	8,216	23,470	31,686	13,191	1987
Tippecanoe Plaza	Lafayette, IN	—	—	745	234	5,298	234	6,043	6,277	3,784	1974
University Center	Mishawaka, IN	—	3,071	7,413	—	3,103	3,071	10,516	13,587	9,047	1980
University Town Plaza	Pensacola, FL	—	6,009	26,945	—	—	6,009	26,945	32,954	811	2013 (7)
Washington Plaza	Indianapolis, IN	—	941	1,697	_	1,221	941	2,918	3,859	2,708	1976
Waterford Lakes Town Center	Orlando, FL	—	8,679	72,836	—	17,229	8,679	90,065	98,744	46,600	1999
West Ridge Plaza	Topeka, KS	—	1,376	4,560	—	3,841	1,376	8,401	9,777	3,758	1988
White Oaks Plaza	Springfield, IL	13,813	3,169	14,267	—	6,546	3,169	20,813	23,982	9,581	1986
Wolf Ranch	Georgetown (Austin), TX	_	21,999	51,547	-	11,897	21,999	63,444	85,443	19,338	2004
Other Properties											
Florida Keys Outlet Center	Florida City, FL	10,454	1,560	1,748	-	1,457	1,560	3,205	4,765	1,065	2010 (4)
Huntley Outlet Center	Huntley, IL	29,243	3,477	2,027	—	335	3,477	2,362	5,839	706	2010 (4)
Northfield	Bourbonnais , IL	24,970	362	53,396	_	3,520	362	56,916	57,278	39,539	2004 (5)
Square Outlet Marketplace	, IL Orlando , FL	—	3,367	1,557	—	218	3,367	1,775	5,142	783	2010 (4)
Upper Valley Mall	Springfield, OH	42,447	8,421	38,745	—	10,590	8,421	49,335	57,756	25,515	1979
Washington Square	Indianapolis, IN	24,676	6,319	36,495	_	11,713	6,319	48,208	54,527	46,965	1974
Development Projects											
Other pre- development costs		_	78,483	19,142	—	_	78,483	19,142	97,625	3,284	
Other		\$ 8,180,559	2,614	8,007 \$ 24.045.011	\$206.910	<u>201</u>	2,614	8,208	10,822	3,226	
		ə ö,180,559	3,440,260	\$ 24,945,911	\$200,819	\$ 0,080,170 S	\$3,747,079	\$ 31,026,081 \$	54,773,160	\$ 9,817,090	

Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries Notes to Schedule III as of December 31, 2013 (Dollars in thousands)

(1) Reconciliation of Real Estate Properties:

The changes in real estate assets for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 are as follows:

	 2013	 2012	 2011
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 33,924,377	\$ 29,333,330	\$ 27,192,223
Acquisitions and consolidations (5)	288,835	4,438,848	2,068,452
Improvements	958,971	833,083	552,455
Disposals and deconsolidations	(399,023)	(680,884)	(479,800)
Balance, close of year	\$ 34,773,160	\$ 33,924,377	\$ 29,333,330

The unaudited aggregate cost of real estate assets for federal income tax purposes as of December 31, 2013 was \$29,419,072.

(2) Reconciliation of Accumulated Depreciation:

The changes in accumulated depreciation for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012, and 2011 are as follows:

	2013		2012	 2011
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 8,836,695	\$	8,148,170	\$ 7,485,821
Depreciation expense	1,108,602		1,069,607	906,554
Disposals and deconsolidations	(128,207)	(381,082)	(244,205)
Balance, close of year	\$ 9,817,090	\$	8,836,695	\$ 8,148,170

Depreciation of our investment in buildings and improvements reflected in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income is calculated over the estimated original lives of the assets as noted below.

- Buildings and Improvements typically 10-35 years for the structure, 15 years for landscaping and parking lot, and 10 years for HVAC equipment.
- Tenant Allowances and Improvements shorter of lease term or useful life.
- (3) Initial cost generally represents net book value at December 20, 1993, except for acquired properties and new developments after December 20, 1993. Initial cost also includes any new developments that are opened during the current year. Costs of disposals and impairments of property are first reflected as a reduction to cost capitalized subsequent to acquisition.
- (4) Not developed/constructed by us or our predecessors. The date of construction represents the initial acquisition date for assets in which we have acquired multiple interests.
- (5) Initial cost for these properties is the cost at the date of consolidation for properties previously accounted for under the equity method of accounting.
- (6) Encumbrances represent face amount of mortgage debt and exclude any premiums or discounts.
- (7) Property redeveloped and re-opened as of date shown.



EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibits

- 3.1 Second Amended and Restated Certificate of Limited Partnership of the Limited Partnership (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Simon Property Group, L.P.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002.
- 3.2 Eighth Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Simon Property Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 9, 2008).
- 3.3 Agreement between Simon Property Group, Inc. and Simon Property Group, L.P. dated March 7, 2007, but effective as of August 27, 1999, regarding a prior agreement filed under an exhibit 99.1 to Form S-3/A of Simon Property Group, L.P. on November 20, 1996 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to Simon Property Group, L.P.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006).
- 3.4 Agreement between Simon Property Group, Inc. and Simon Property Group, L.P. dated April 29, 2009, but effective as of October 14, 2004, regarding redemption of the Registrant's Series I Preferred Units (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Simon Property Group, L.P.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2009).
- 4.1(a) Indenture, dated as of November 26, 1996, by and among Simon Property Group, L.P. and The Chase Manhattan Bank, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on October 21, 1996 (Reg. No. 333-11491)).
- 10.1^{*} Simon Property Group, L.P. 1998 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Simon Property Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 9, 2008).
- 10.2^{*} Certificate of Designation of Series 2010 LTIP Units of Simon Property Group, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Simon Property Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 19, 2010).
- 10.3* Form of Simon Property Group Series 2010 LTIP Unit (Three Year Program) Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Simon Property Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 19, 2010).
- 10.4^{*} Form of Simon Property Group Series 2010 LTIP Unit (Two Year Program) Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Simon Property Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 19, 2010).
- 10.5* Form of Simon Property Group Series 2010 LTIP Unit (One Year Program) Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Simon Property Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 19, 2010).
- 10.6* Certificate of Designation of Series CEO LTIP Units of Simon Property Group, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Simon Property Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 7, 2011).
- 10.7* Simon Property Group Series CEO LTIP Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Simon Property Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 7, 2011).
- 10.8^{*} Certificate of Designation of Series 2011 LTIP Units of Simon Property Group, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Simon Property Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 7, 2011).
- 10.9^{*} Form of Simon Property Group Series 2011 LTIP Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Simon Property Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 7, 2011).
- 10.10^{*} Certificate of Designation of Series 2012 LTIP Units of Simon Property Group, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Simon Property Group, L.P.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed May 11, 2012).
- 10.11^{*} Form of Series 2012 Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Simon Property Group, Inc.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed May 8, 2012).

Exhibits

- 10.12* Option Agreement to acquire the Excluded Retail Property (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1993 filed by a predecessor of Simon Property Group, L.P.).
- 10.13 Voting Agreement dated as of June 20, 2004 among the Simon Property Group, Inc., Simon Property Group, L.P., and certain holders of shares of common stock of Chelsea Property Group, Inc. and/or common units of CPG Partners, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Simon Property Group, L.P. on June 22, 2004).
- 10.14 \$4,000,000,000 Credit Agreement dated as of October 5, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of Simon Property Group, L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed October 7, 2011).
- 10.15 \$2,000,000 Credit Agreement dated as of June 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of Simon Property Group, L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed June 4, 2012).
- 10.16^{*} Certificate of Designation of Series 2013 LTIP Units of Simon Property Group, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Simon Property Group, L.P.'s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed May 10, 2013).
- 10.17^{*} Form of Simon Property Group Series 2013 LTIP Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Simon Property Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 4, 2013).
- 10.18* First Amendment to Simon Property Group Series CEO LTIP Unit Award Agreement, dated as of December 22, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to Simon Property Group, Inc.'s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed February 28, 2012).
- 10.19* Second Amendment to Simon Property Group Series CEO LTIP Unit Award Agreement, dated as of March 29, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Simon Property Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 4, 2013).
- 10.20^{*} Simon Property Group Amended and Restated Series CEO LTIP Unit Award Agreement, dated as of December 31, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of Simon Property Group, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed January 2, 2014).
 - 12 Statement regarding computation of ratios.
 - 21 List of Subsidiaries of the Company.
- 23.1 Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
- 31.1 Certification by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32 Certification by the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- (a) Does not include supplemental indentures which authorize the issuance of debt securities series, none of which exceeds 10% of the total assets of Simon Property Group, L.P. on a consolidated basis. Simon Property Group, L.P. agrees to file copies of any such supplemental indentures upon the request of the Commission.
- * Represents a management contract, or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement required to be filed pursuant to Regulation S-K.

SIMON PROPERTY GROUP, L.P. Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges Unaudited, (in thousands)

	For the year ended December 31,									
		2013	_	2012		2011	_	2010		2009
Earnings:										
Pre-tax income from continuing operations	\$	1,591,324	\$	1,735,512	\$	1,257,495	\$	757,845	\$	386,818
Add:										
Distributions from unconsolidated entities		179,054		151,398		112,977		109,050		105,318
Amortization of capitalized interest		5,150		4,535		3,961		3,085		3,897
Fixed Charges		1,168,178		1,162,628		1,003,093		1,395,163		1,015,437
Less:										
Income from unconsolidated entities		(205,259)		(122,662)		(255,058)		(88,057)		(32,617)
Minority interest in pre-tax (income) loss of subsidiaries that have not										
incurred fixed charges		(1,063)		(1,286)		(1,249)		(1,066)		3,993
Interest capitalization		(16,604)		(21,145)		(5,815)	_	(3,715)	_	(14,502)
Earnings	\$	2,720,780	\$	2,908,980	\$	2,115,404	\$	2,172,305	\$	1,468,344
Fixed Charges:										
Portion of rents representative of the interest factor		14,435		14,458		13,752		13,669		8,870
Interest on indebtedness (including amortization of debt expense)		1,137,139		1,127,025		983,526		1,027,091		992,065
Interest capitalized		16,604		21,145		5,815		3,715		14,502
Loss on extinguishment of debt		—				—		350,688		
Fixed Charges	\$	1,168,178	\$	1,162,628	\$	1,003,093	\$	1,395,163	\$	1,015,437
Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends		2.33x		2.50x		2.11x		1.56x		1.45x
	_								_	

For purposes of calculating the ratio of earnings to fixed charges, the term "earnings" is the amount resulting from adding (a) pre-tax income from continuing operations before adjustment for noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries or income or loss from equity investees, (b) fixed charges, (c) amortization of capitalized interest and (d) distributed income of equity investees, reduced by (a) interest capitalized and (b) the noncontrolling interest in pre-tax income of subsidiaries that have not incurred fixed charges. "Fixed charges" consist of (a) interest expensed and capitalized, (b) amortized premiums, discounts and capitalized expenses related to indebtedness and (c) an estimate of the interest within rental expense.

There are generally no restrictions on our ability to receive distributions from our joint ventures where no preference in favor of the other owners of the joint venture exists.

Ratio calculations for years prior to the year ended December 31, 2012 have been revised to conform to the most recent presentation.

QuickLinks

Exhibit 12

SIMON PROPERTY GROUP, L.P. Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges Unaudited, (in thousands)

List of Subsidiaries of Simon Property Group, L.P.

Subsidiary	Jurisdiction
The Retail Property Trust	Massachusetts
Simon Property Group (Illinois), L.P.	Illinois
Simon Property Group (Texas), L.P.	Texas
Shopping Center Associates	New York
Simon Capital Limited Partnership	Delaware
M.S. Management Associates, Inc.	Delaware
Rosewood Indemnity, Ltd.	Bermuda
Marigold Indemnity, Ltd.	Delaware
Bridgewood Insurance Company, Ltd.	Bermuda
Simon Business Network, LLC	Delaware
Simon Brand Ventures, LLC	Indiana
Simon Global Limited	United Kingdom
Simon Services, Inc.	Delaware
Simon Property Group Administrative Services Partnership, L.P.	Delaware
SPGGC, LLC	Virginia
Kravco Simon Investments, L.P.	Pennsylvania
SPG ML Holdings, LLC	Delaware
Simon Management Associates II, LLC	Delaware
Simon Management Associates, LLC	Delaware
CPG Partners, L.P.	Delaware
Prime Retail, L.P.	Delaware
SPG Mayflower, LLC	Delaware
Simon KP I S.a.r.l.	Luxembourg
Simon KP II S.a.r.l.	Luxembourg
Simon-Mills I, LLC	Delaware
Simon-Mills II, LLC	Delaware
Simon-Mills III, LLC	Delaware
SPG-FCM II, LLC	Delaware
SPG-FCM III, LLC	Delaware

Omits names of subsidiaries that as of December 31, 2013 were not, in the aggregate, a "significant subsidiary."

QuickLinks

Exhibit 21

List of Subsidiaries of Simon Property Group, L.P.

Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

We consent to the incorporation by reference in the Registration Statement (Form S-3 No. 333-157794-01) of Simon Property Group, L.P. and in the related prospectus of our reports dated March 4, 2014, with respect to the consolidated financial statements and schedule of Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries, and the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting of Simon Property Group, L.P. and Subsidiaries included in this Annual Report (Form 10-K) for the year ended December 31, 2013.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Indianapolis, Indiana March 4, 2014

QuickLinks

Exhibit 23.1

Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Certification by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, David Simon, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Simon Property Group, L.P.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 4, 2014

/s/ DAVID SIMON

David Simon Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of Simon Property Group, Inc., General Partner

QuickLinks

Exhibit 31.1

Certification by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Certification by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

I, Stephen E. Sterrett, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Annual Report on Form 10-K of Simon Property Group, L.P.;
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 4, 2014

/s/ STEPHEN E. STERRETT

Stephen E. Sterrett Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Simon Property Group, Inc., General Partner

QuickLinks

Exhibit 31.2

Certification by the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

In connection with the Annual Report of Simon Property Group, L.P., on Form 10-K for the period ending December 31, 2013 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), each of the undersigned, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

- (1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- (2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Simon Property Group, L.P.

/s/ DAVID SIMON

David Simon Chairman of the Board of Directors and Chief Executive Officer of Simon Property Group, Inc., General Partner

March 4, 2014

/s/ STEPHEN E. STERRETT

Stephen E. Sterrett Senior Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Simon Property Group, Inc., General Partner

March 4, 2014

QuickLinks

Exhibit 32

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002